

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION OFFICE OF WATER PROGRAMS OFFICE OF OIL AND GAS MANAGEMENT

OFFICIAL USE ONLY
ID#
Date Received
AUTH
SITE
CLNT
APS
Fee
Check No.
Check Date

NOTICE OF INTENT (NOI) FOR COVERAGE UNDER THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL GENERAL PERMIT (ESCGP-3) FOR EARTH DISTURBANCE ASSOCIATED WITH OIL AND GAS EXPLORATION, PRODUCTION, PROCESSING, OR TREATMENT OPERATIONS OR TRANSMISSION FACILITIES

READ THE INSTRUCTIONS PROVIDED IN THIS PERMIT APPLICATION PACKAGE BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM. PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE INFORMATION IN BLACK OR BLUE INK.				
SEC	TION A. APPLICATION TY	PE		
Check one:				
NEW ⊠ RENEWAL □ MAJOR MO	DIFICATIONS (Provide ES	CGP n	iumber) 🗌	
$\textbf{PHASED} \boxtimes (\textbf{check only if applicable}; \textit{note: Most}$	projects are not submitted as	s phas	ed projects)	
Check one: E	XPEDITED STAI	NDAR	D 🖂	
	If an Expedited Review Process being requested, be advised that the Expedited Review is not available for all projects. Refer to Section D - Expedited Review Process of the ESCGP-3 NOI Instructions to determine if the project is eligible.			
SECT	ION B. CLIENT INFORMAT	ION		
Applicant's Last Name (If applicable)	First Name	MI	Telephone No	o. 732-938-1169
Valori	Mark			
Organization Name or Registered Fictitious Name Adelphia Gateway, LLC		Telephone No.		D.
DEP Client ID No.				
Headquarters Mailing Address	City		State	ZIP Code
1415 Wyckoff Road	Wall		NJ	7719
Email Address mvalori@njresources.com				
Co-Applicant's Last Name (If applicable)	First Name M		Telephone No).
Organization Name or Registered Fictitious Name			Telephone No	o.
Address	City		State	ZIP Code
Email Address	•			

		SECTION C. SI	TE INFORMATION		
Is there an existing I	Is there an existing ESCGP associated with this site? ⊠ Yes □ No If yes, Permit No. <u>ESG 01 00 19 001</u>				
Has a well permit ap	pplication been submit	ted for this site?	Yes 🛭 No If yes, Per	mit No	
Does this site have a	a 911 address? 🗌 Ye	s 🛚 No If yes, <u>pro</u>	vide site location addre	ess.	
Site Name					
N/A					
Site Location			Site No. (if another pe	ermit has be	een issued for the site)
	at Start: Ridge Rd and at End: Flower St and				
Site Location – City				State	ZIP Code
Start: Lower Chiches	ster / End: Chester			PA	19061 / 19013
Detailed Written Dire	ections to Site				
				ad Southw	est on Ridge Road toward
	proximately 1 mile to the	• •		ad Couth a	on Flawer Street toward
					on Flower Street toward e Tilghman Meter Station.
	· ·	•			· ·
Primary Location	County	Municipality		City	Boro Twp.
	Delaware	Lower Chichester			
		Trainer Chester			
SECTION D. EXPEDITED REVIEW					
I. Expedited Revi	ew Eligibility				
			ace water with an exis		
			lity pursuant to Chap I value wetland in acco		
with 25 Pa.	Code § 105.17, or in t	he watershed of an	impaired surface water		
	the impairment is ide				
2. Will the proje	ect in which the well p	ad will be constructe	ed be in or on a floodpla	ain?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
3. Is any earth disturbance located or proposed to be located on land known to be contaminated by the release of regulated substances as defined in Section 103 of		☐ Yes ⊠ No			
	S. § 6026.103?	gulated substances	as defined in Section	103 01	
			onditions provide haza		☐ Yes ⊠ No
	or surrounding environ when disturbed?	ment or have the po	otential to cause or cor	ntribute	
5. Do any unre	5. Do any unresolved non-compliance issues exist with the applicant or the facility? ☐ Yes ☒ No				☐ Yes ⊠ No
6. Is the project	6. Is the project a transmission project? ☐ Yes ☐ No				☐ Yes ⊠ No

		to any of the above questions the project is not eligible for Expedited Review ited Review, all the following items must be completed.	; If the project is eligible for
II.	Ex	pedited Review Process	
	1.	Is the technically and administratively complete and accurate NOI package prepared and certified by a licensed professional?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	2.	Are E&S and PCSM/Site Restoration Plan drawings and narrative prepared and sealed by a licensed professional? (Include interim restoration details when needed)	☐ Yes ☐ No
	3.	Include a Resource Delineation Report and answer the following questions: (If the then skip to #4. If the answer to a. is "No" the applicant must answer "Yes" to at through d. to be eligible for expedited review.)	answer to question a. is "Yes" least one of the questions, b.
		Were all wetland resources delineated during the growing season?	☐ Yes ☐ No
		b. If not during the growing season, was a follow-up visit conducted during the growing season to verify/adjust boundaries and look for potentially missed resources?	☐ Yes ☐ No
		c. Was a quality assurance field review conducted at a later date by an independent qualified wetland professional to verify boundaries and look for potentially missed resources? (If yes, attach Quality Assurance Field Review Report)	☐ Yes ☐ No
		d. Was a Jurisdictional Determination (JD) or Preliminary JD conducted by the US Army Corps of Engineers on the whole project? (If yes, attach Preliminary or Jurisdictional Determination Report)	☐ Yes ☐ No
	4.	If applicable, have you included PNDI clearance letters or other documentation from applicable resource agencies?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	5.	If the project site contains, is along, or within 100 feet of a river, stream, creek, lake, pond or reservoir, will you establish new or preserve existing riparian forest buffer at least 100 feet in width between the top of streambank or normal pool elevation of a lake, pond or reservoir and areas of earth disturbances.	☐ Yes ☐ No
		If no, will a waiver be obtained? Yes No	
	6.	Name of Licensed Professional	
		Company	
		Address	
		Phone	

SEC1	TION E. PROJI	ECT INFORMATION	
Total Project Area/Project Site (Ac):	39.04	Total Disturbed Area (Ac):	39.04
Increased disturbed acreage (for permit modification		()	0
		011 / // // // // // // // // // // // //	
Fee: (For additional information regarding fees.)	es, refer to N	OI Instructions #3 Permit NOI F	######################################
2. Project Name: Adelphia Gateway Project, T	ilghman Latera	l, Phase 2B	
3. Project Type (Check all that apply)			
☐ Oil/Gas Well ¹		☐ Transmission Facility	
☐ Gathering Facility		☐ Processing Facility	
☐ Treatment Facility		☐ Well Development Impound	ment
☐ Compressor Station		☐ Non-FERC regulated Transi	mission Facility
□ Pipeline		☐ Ground/Surface Water With	drawal Site
☐ Storage Field Facility			
☐ Other			
¹ If Oil/Gas Well; is the well conventional or unce <u>Project Description</u>	onventional?	☐ Conventional	Unconventional
The Adelphia Gateway Pipeline is an 84-mile pipeline that runs from Martins Creek to Marcus Hook. Facility upgrades will occur to thirteen (13) sites along the pipeline: Quakertown Compressor Station, East Perkiomen Blowdown, Skippack Pike Valve Site, Perkiomen Creek Blowdown, Schuylkill River Blowdown, Cromby Blowdown, French Creek Blowdown, Mainline Valve 2, Paoli Pike Blowdown, Chester Creek Blowdown, Mainline Valve 1, Transco Meter Station, and Marcus Hook Compressor and Meter Station. The Tilghman and Parkway lateral, known as Phase 2A consists of 1,820 LF of proposed pipeline between the Delaware State Line/Marcus Hook Compressor and Meter Station and Transco Meter Station. The installation consists of open cut and horizontal directional drill (HDD) installation methods. The Tilghman lateral pipeline, known as Phase 2B, consists of approximately 4.4 miles of a 16-inch O.D. pipeline. The installation consists of open cut for approximately 0.6 miles and horizontal directional drill (HDD) for approximately 3.8 miles. The Tilghman lateral pipeline, known as Phase 2B, consists of approximately 4.4 miles of proposed 16-inch O.D. pipeline. The installation consists of open cut for approximately 0.6 miles and horizontal directional drill (HDD) for approximately 3.8 miles. The total limit of disturbance is 24.99 acres. However, only 1.3 acres is open cut trench. The remaining pipe installation is to be completed using HDD, minimizing surface disturbance. The remaining area included in the LOD is temporary workspace intended for parking, staging materials and equipment, and stockpiling. Mechanical piping and two buildings are proposed at the PECO Meter Station where the Tilghman Lateral ends The entire limit of disturbance for the Tilghman Lateral section will be restored to existing conditions and site restoration and PCSM devices are proposed at the PECO Meter Station.			
This application is for Phase 2B only. Provide the date of pre-application meeting (if co	onducted with t	he Department) 2/21/2019	
 Provide the latitude and longitude coordin degrees and North American Datum 1983 accuracy. For linear projects provide the pro 	3. The coordina		
Latitude (DD) 39.8182	Lo	ongitude (DD) - 75.4346	
Latitude (DD) 39.8350	Lo	ongitude (DD) - 75.3771	
Horizontal Collection Method: 🛛 GPS	☐ Interpolate	ed from U.S.G.S. Topographic Map	DEP's eMAP
5. U.S.G.S. 7.5 min. topographic quadrangle N (Include a copy of the project area on the 7.5 min quadrangle N		ook Refer to PCSM Report, App	oendix A

	ject be conducted as a phased permit proje de Master Site Plan Estimated Timetable f		☐ No pjects. ☐	Additional she	et(s) attached.
Phase No.			Disturbed		
or Name	Description	Total Area	Area	Start Date	End Date
1	Adelphia Gateway	13.9 ac	13.9 ac	7/2/20	11/2/20
2A	Tilghman & Parkway Laterals (Transco to DE/PA State Line)	0.15 ac	0.15 ac	7/2/20	11/2/20
2B	Tilghman Lateral	24.99 ac	24.99 ac	1/1/21	6/1/21
(SR 3006,	g and previous land use for a minimum of t SR 291, Flower Street and Delaware Ave listurbance is land adjacent to and through	e), which was	constructed v		
	tants: Will the stormwater discharge conta ain and provide any available quantitative d	•	substances ot	ner than sedim	ient? ☐ Yes ⊠ No
	chemicals, solvents, other hazardous wast will Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) a			tored on site o	during earth disturbance
	☐ (If yes, Preparedness, Prevention th disturbance. See NOI Instructions, E.				
10. Is the proje	ct in the watershed of an impaired surface	water where th	ne cause of th	e impairment i	s identified as siltation?
	oxtimes (If yes, show how the project will nelow, and E.10 of NOI instructions.)	ot result in a	net change i	n volume, rate	e or water quality. See
	11. Are there potentially hazardous naturally occurring geological or soil conditions in any portion of the project or surrounding area? Yes ☐ No ⊠				
	If yes, do the potentially hazardous geologic or soil conditions have the potential to cause or contribute to pollution as a result of the proposed earth disturbance activities?				
If no, provid	le an explanation.	Refer to PC	SM Narrativ	ve, Section I	X
If yes, Geol	ogic Hazard Mitigation Plan must be attach	ed and explair	n where in thi	s application d	etails are provided.
12. Has the Act	t 14 Municipal Notification and proof of rece	eipt of notificati	ion been attac	ched to the NO) ?
Yes ⊠ N additional g	$\circ \square$ (If not, the NOI is not complete, seguidance.)	e E.12 and #4	Municipal N	otification in t	the NOI Instructions for
13. Has the PN	DI receipt been attached to the NOI?				
Yes ⊠ N guidance.)	○	see E.13 and	#5 PNHP in	the NOI Inst	tructions for additional
	&S Plan and PCSM/SR Plan been planned to \square	l and designed	I to be consist	ent?	
	ng and/or proposed Riparian Forest Buffers $1/A igotimes ($ If yes, they must be shown on the			SM/SR Plans.)	
16. Have antide	16. Have antidegradation implementation requirements for special protection waters been addressed?				
	o N/A (If yes, antidegradation				
for pits for o	asonal high groundwater level been identif conventional operations and Well Developn lo				

8000-PM-OOGM0006 9/2018 Notice of Intent

18. Receiving Waters	Chapter 93, Designated Use Stream Classification	Chapter 93, Existing Use Stream Classification
Delaware River	☐ HQ ☐ EV ☒ Other <u>WWF, MF</u>	☐ HQ ☐ EV ☒ Other <u>WWF, MF</u>
	☐ Siltation-impaired	☐ Siltation-impaired
Marcus Hook Creek	☐ HQ ☐ EV ☒ Other <u>WWF, MF</u>	☐ HQ ☐ EV ☒ Other <u>WWF, MF</u>
	⊠ Siltation-impaired	⊠ Siltation-impaired
Stoney Creek	☐ HQ ☐ EV ☒ Other <u>WWF, MF</u>	☐ HQ ☐ EV ☒ Other <u>WWF. MF</u>
	☐ Siltation-impaired	☐ Siltation-impaired
Naaman's Creek	☐ HQ ☐ EV ☒ Other WWF, MF	☐ HQ ☐ EV ☒ Other WWF, MF
Naaman's Creek	☐ Siltation-impaired	☐ Fig ☐ EV ☐ Cutter VVVI ; IVII ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
	Siliation-impaired	Siliation-impaired
Secondary Receiving Water	Secondary Chapter 93, Designated Use	Secondary Existing Use
Name of Municipal or Private Se	parate Storm Sewer Operator, if applicable.	
1		
Non Surface Receiving Water: (i	notudo officito dischargos)	
Non-Surface Receiving Water: (ii	nciude oil-site discriarges)	
1		

SECTION F. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL (E&S) PLAN See the attached Instructions for additional guidance with E&S Plans

Erosion and Sediment Control Plan BMPs should be designed to minimize accelerated erosion and sedimentation through limiting the extent and duration of earth disturbance, protection of existing drainage and vegetation, limiting soil compaction and controlling the generation of increased runoff. The Department recommends the use of the *Pennsylvania Erosion & Sedimentation Pollution Control Program Manual (E&S Manual)* (363-2134-008) to achieve this goal. The E&S Plan must meet the requirements of Pa. Code § 102.4(b) and submitted with the NOI. Also, see section 2. of the NOI instruction for detailed information on completing the E&S plan and additional requirements.

aei	tailed information on completing the E&S plan and additional requirements.
a.	E&S Plan Summary
	Provide a summary of proposed E&S BMPs and their performance to manage E&S for the project.
	The following measures are aimed at controlling accelerated erosion and sedimentation during construction. Temporary erosion and sediment control will be accomplished by utilizing Best Management Practices, such as compost filter sock, inlet protection, pumped filter water bag, temporary stream and wetland crossings. The extent and duration of earth disturbance is to be minimized to limit impacts of erosion and sedimentation to neighboring and downstream properties.
b.	E&S Plan BMP Design
	Check those that apply:
	☐ E&S Plan is designed using an alternative BMP or design standard approved by DEP.
	Note: NOI packages submitted with alternate BMPs not approved by the Department will be returned to the Applicant.

c.	Do you have any information regarding riparian buffer which differs from Section G, Riparian Buffer?
	Yes ☐ No ⊠
	Explain:
	The proposed earth disturbance activities of Phase 2B are not to be conducted within 150 ft of an HQ watershed; therefore, riparian buffers are not required.
	Refer to PCSM Report Narrative, Section XI.
d.	Thermal Impacts Analysis
	Explain how thermal impacts associated with this project were avoided, minimized, or mitigated.
	Thermal impacts associated with the project will be minimized and/or mitigated through the incorporation of various site restoration activities. The disturbed areas will be restored and seeded as soon as practicable and /or directing runoff to vegetated areas to reduce the temperature of runoff prior to discharge into the streams. Thermal impacts within the roadway will not be impacted since an increase in impervious is not proposed. The runoff from the pavement within the
	limit of disturbance will drain to a storm sewer network, which will provide an opportunity for the storwmater to cool before discharging into the receiving surface water.
	At the PECO Meter Station, the disturbed areas will be restored to existing conditions as soon as practicable or directing runoff prior to discharge into the streams into BMPs. Roof drains will be connected to the dry wells that will temporarily store and infiltrate stormwater runoff from the roofs of structures. This will provide an opportunity for the stormwater to cool before discharging into the receiving surface water.
	Refer to PCSM Report Narrative, Section X.
	Troisi to F Colif Report Hamative, Costion 7.
e.	Off-Site Discharge Analysis Does the activity propose any off-site discharges to areas other than surface waters? Yes No
	If yes, it is the applicant's responsibility to ensure that they have legal authority for any off-site discharge to neighboring properties.
	The applicant must provide a demonstration in both E&S and PCSM/SR plans that the discharge will not cause erosion, damage, or a nuisance to off-site properties.

	SECTION G. RIPARIAN BUFFER
1.	Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a voluntary riparian forest buffer as part of this project? \square Yes \square No If yes, as part of the PCSM/SR Plan, provide a Buffer Management Plan.
2.	Will proposed earth disturbance activities be conducted in an EV or HQ watershed AND within 150 feet of a perennial or intermittent river, stream, or creek, or lake, pond, or reservoir? \square Yes \square No
	If no, proceed to the next section/module.
3.	Does this project qualify for an exception (see § 102.14(d)(1))? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, indicate below the type of project for which the exception applies by marking the appropriate box.
	Oil and gas activities for which site reclamation or restoration is part of the permit authorization in Chapter 78 and 78a.
	☐ Road maintenance activities.
	☐ The repair or maintenance of existing pipelines and utilities.
	☐ Other (see §102.14(d)(1))
	If exceptions are checked, explain how existing riparian buffer will be undisturbed to the extent practicable. Provide a demonstration that the requirements of §102.14(b) are met, or provide the necessary information to request a riparian buffer waiver.
4.	Are you requesting a riparian buffer waiver for this project (see § 102.14(d)(2))? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, indicate below the type of project for which you are requesting a waiver by marking the appropriate box.
	☐ Linear project that may include pipelines, public roadways, rail lines, or utility lines.
	Project is of a temporary nature where the site will be fully restored to its preexisting conditions during the ESCGP permit term.
	Project where compliance with mandatory riparian buffers is not appropriate or feasible due to site characteristics or existing structures at the project site.
	☐ Other (see §102.14(d)(2)):
	If waivers are checked, explain how existing riparian buffers will be undisturbed to the extent practicable.
	Note: If "Yes" to #2 AND "No" to #3 and #4, provide an attachment to demonstrate how the requirements of §102.14 are met.

SECTION H. POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER MANAGEMENT (PCSM) AND/OR SITE RESTORATION(SR) PLAN See NOI Instructions for additional guidance with PCSM Plans

		See NOI Instructions for additi	onal guidance with Po	CSM Plans	
PCSM/SR BMPs should be designed to use natural measures to eliminate pollution, infiltrate runoff, not require extensive construction/maintenance, promote pollutant reduction, and preserve the integrity of stream channels. All PCSM/SR BMPs proposed in the PCSM/SR Plan must be designed in accordance with Ch. 102, Ch. 78a for unconventional operations, Ch. 78 for conventional operations and the <i>Pennsylvania Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual (Stormwater BMP Manual)</i> (363-0300-002). If alternate design criteria are utilized for the proposed project, they must have prior approval by the Department, or the NOI Application will be returned to the Applicant.					
	ruction is com conditions?	pleted, how much of the entire disturl ☑ All	oed area will be restore	d to meadow in good condition	n or better,
	SM narrative toration plan.	and drawings for remaining impervio	us area. Also include a	map showing the proposed of	ontours of
required by	subsection 'a	nges of the project prior to permit term a' to section 'g' for each stage (e.g. pa s areas). Upload a narrative for each a	artial restoration or char	nges to the amount of compac	
	EXAMPLE				
	Stage No	Stage Name	PCSM Plan	SR Plan	
	Stage 1	Phase 1: Adelphia Gateway	\boxtimes		
	Stage 2	Phase 2A: Tilghman and Parkway Laterals (DE/PA State Line to Transco)			
	Stage 3	Phase 2B: Tilghman Lateral			
	Stage 4				
Act 167	Consistency.	Check those that apply.			
Is there a	n Act 167 Pla	n? ☐ Yes No			
☐ The a	attached PCS	M/SR Plan is consistent with an applic	cable approved Act 167	Plan.	
Complete	the following	for all approved Act 167 Stormwater	Management Plans. (U	lse additional sheets if necess	ary)
	Plan Name	Date Adopted	C	Consistency Letter Included	
N/A		<u>N/A</u>	V	erification Report Included	
		letter is not required if a verification ther sub paragraph 1, 2, or 3 below. (e NOI Instructions. The PCSI	M/SR Plan
1.	with all	Plan approvals on or after January 2 requirements pertaining to rate, volur proved by DEP on or after January 20 sts.	ne, and water quality fro	om an Act 167 Stormwater Ma	anagement
2.	Stormw Chapter stormwa or to a c	CSM/SR Plan meets the standard rater BMP Manual. For projects involuted in 78 or Chapter 78a (well pads) or picture management requirements are recondition of meadow in good condition of the regulations, which are	ving oil and gas activiti pelines and other similanet for all areas that ar n or better. [Note: PCS]	es authorized by a permit iss ar utility infrastructure, post co e restored to preconstruction M plans must meet both the v	sued under construction conditions colume and
3.	in 102.8 will be 6	ive Design Standard – The attached β(g)(2)(iv) and 102.8(g)(3)(iii). Demone either more protective than what is red water quality and existing and design	nstrate/explain in the s quired in 102.8(g)(2) and	pace provided below how this	s standard

PC	SM/SR BMP Alternative Standards:
На	s the alternative BMP or design standard been approved by the Department?
	Yes
	No – Do not submit the ESCGP-3 application and see Section (H) of the NOI Instructions concerning the alternative BMP approval process.
Wa	ater Quality Compliance:
Do	es the PCSM/SR plan comply with requirements for volume control? 🛮 Yes 🔲 No
lf y	res, is at least 90% of the disturbed area controlled by a PCSM BMP? 🖂 Yes 🗌 No
	res, do you have the Standard PCSM Worksheet # 10 attached to show water quality compliance has achieved? Yes No
lf r	no, attach Standard PCSM Worksheets # 12 and #13 to show water quality compliance has achieved.
	PCSM/SR plan is not complying with the requirements for volume control, attach Standard PCSM Worksheets # 11, # 12 d #13 to show water quality compliance has achieved.
a.	PCSM/SR Plan Summary
	Provide a summary of proposed BMPs and their performance to manage PCSM/SR for the project.
	Through site restoration and dry wells at the PECO Meter Station, there will be no net increase in volume runoff and peak rate or increase impact to water quality.
	Check all that apply ☐ PCSM BMPs ☐ SR BMPs
b.	Do you have any information regarding riparian buffer which differs from what was submitted in the Section G, Riparian Buffer?
	☐ Yes No
	Explain:
	Refer to PCSM Narrative, Section XI
c.	Thermal Impacts Analysis
	Explain how thermal impacts associated with this project were avoided, minimized, or mitigated.
	Thermal impacts associated with the project will be minimized and/or mitigated through the incorporation of various site restoration activities. The disturbed areas will be restored and seeded as soon as practicable and /or directing runoff to vegetated areas to reduce the temperature of runoff prior to discharge into the streams. Thermal impacts within the roadway will not be impacted since an increase in impervious is not proposed. The runoff from the pavement within the limit of disturbance will drain to a storm sewer network, which will provide an opportunity for the storwmater to cool before discharging into the receiving surface water. At the PECO Meter Station, the disturbed areas will be restored to existing conditions as soon as practicable or
	directing runoff prior to discharge into the streams into BMPs. Roof drains will be connected to the dry wells that will temporarily store and infiltrate stormwater runoff from the roofs of structures. This will provide an opportunity for the stormwater to cool before discharging into the receiving surface water. Refer to PCSM Report Narrative, Section X
d.	Off-Site Discharge Analysis.
	Does the activity propose any off-site discharges to areas other than surface waters? Yes No If yes, it is the applicant's responsibility to ensure that they have legal authority for any off-site discharge to neighboring properties.
	The Applicant must provide a demonstration in both the E&S and PCSM/SR Plans that the discharge will not cause erosion, damage, or a nuisance to off-site properties.

e. Summary Table for Supporting Calculation and Measurement Data (See NOI Instructions for additional guidance with this section)

The remainder of this section (Summary Table for Calculation and Measurement Data) does not need to be completed for areas of projects involving oil and gas activities authorized by Chapter 78 or Chapter 78a (well pads) or pipelines and other similar utility infrastructure which will be restored to meadow in good condition or better or existing conditions.

Watershed Name: Delaware River - PECO Meter Station									
Volume Control design storm frequency <u>2-yr</u> Rainfall amount <u>3.25</u> inches	Pre-construction	Post Construction	Net Change						
Impervious area (acres)	0.000	0.007	+0.007						
Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) without planned stormwater BMPs	0.005	0.006	+0.001						
Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) with planned stormwater BMPs		0.003	-0.002						
Stormwater discharge rate for the design frequency storm	Pre-construction	Post Construction	Net Change						
1) 2-Year/24-Hour	0.11	0.11	0.00						
2) 10-Year/24-Hour	0.23	0.21	-0.02						
3) 50-year/24-Hour	0.39	0.36	-0.03						
4) 100-year/24-Hour	0.47	0.44	-0.03						

f. Summary Description of PCSM/SR BMPs

In the lists below, check the BMPs identified in the PCSM Plan. The primary function(s) of the BMP listed in the functions column (infiltration/recharge; detention/retention; water quality). Additional functions may be added if applicable to that BMP. List the stormwater volume and area of runoff to be treated by each BMP type when calculations are required. If any BMP in the PCSM/SR Plan is not listed below, describe it in the space provided after "Other". A summary table with infiltration testing information (Attachment E, included in the NOI Instructions) must be submitted for all Bio-infiltration BMPs included in PCSM/SR plan.

For Rate control provide the volume of stormwater treated and acres treated for the 100-year/24-hour storm event.

For volume control and water quality provide the volume of stormwater treated and acres treated for the 2-year/24-hour storm event.

Key for BMP purpose(s): VC = Volume Control; RC = Rate Control; and WQ = Water Quality

, , , ,			· ·	
ВМР	Function(s)	Purpose(s)	Volume of stormwater treated	Acres treated
Site Restoration ONLY				
Restore Site to Meadow in Good Condition or Better, or Existing Conditions	Infiltration/Recharge Detention/WQ Treatment	⊠ VC ⊠ RC ⊠ WQ		0.047
Bio-infiltration areas	Infiltration/Recharge			
☐ Infiltration Trench		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
☐ Infiltration Bed		☐ VC ☐ RC ☐ WQ		
☐ Infiltration Basin		☐ VC ☐ RC ☐ WQ		
☐ Rain Garden/ Bioretention		☐ VC ☐ RC ☐ WQ		
☐ Infiltration Berm		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		

8000-PM-OOGM0006 9/2018 Notice of Intent

Natural Area Conservation	Infiltration/Recharge			
☐ Streamside Buffer Zone		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
☐ Sensitive Area Buffer Zone		☐ VC ☐ RC ☐ WQ		
☐ Pre-Construction Drainage		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
Pattern Intact				
Stormwater Retention	Detention/Retention			
☐ Constructed Wetlands		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
☐ Wet Ponds		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
☐ Retention Basin		☐ VC ☐ RC ☐ WQ		
Sediment and Pollutant	Water Quality			
Removal	Treatment			
☐ Vegetated Filter Strips		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
Compost Filter Sock		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
☐ Detention Basins		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
Access Road Design	Infiltration/Recharge			
☐ Road Crowning	_	□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
Ditches		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
☐ Turnouts		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
Culverts		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
☐ Roadside Vegetated Filter				
Strips		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
Stormwater Energy Dissipaters	Infiltration/Recharge			
Level Spreaders		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
Riprap Aprons		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
Upslope Diversions		□ VC □ RC □ WQ		
☐ OtherDry wells		⊠ VC ⊠ RC ⊠ WQ	166	0.006
g. Critical PCSM Plan stage	S			
Identify and list critical stages be present on site.		he PCSM Plan for which	a licensed professional	or designee shall
A licensed professional to be	nrecent during the site	restoration activities as r	noted in the Site Restor	ation Schedule on
SR-4, and the installation of the	he dry wells.	restoration dotavities do r	ioted in the one rector	ation concadic on

Naaman's Creek

SECTION I. ANTIDEGRADATION ANALYSIS

This section must be completed where earth disturbance activities will be conducted in the watershed of a surface water with an existing or designated use of exceptional value or high quality pursuant to Chapter 93 (relating to water quality standards), projects where any part is located in an exceptional value wetland in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 105.17, and projects where any part is located in the watershed of an impaired surface water where the cause of impairment is identified as siltation.

Part 1 - NONDISCHARGE ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION

The applicant must consider and describe any and all non-discharge alternatives for the entire project area which are environmentally sound and will:

- Minimize accelerated erosion and sedimentation during the earth disturbance activity
- Achieve no net change from pre-development to post-development volume, rate and concentration of pollutants in water quality

E & S Plan PCSM/SR Plan Check off the environmentally sound nondischarge Best Check off the environmentally sound nondischarge Best Management Practices (BMPs) listed below to be used Management Practices (BMPs) listed below to be used after prior to, during, and after earth disturbance activities that construction that have been incorporated into the PCSM/SR Plan based on your site analysis. For non-discharge BMPs have been incorporated into your E & S Plan based on the site analysis. For non-discharge BMPs not checked, not checked, provide an explanation of why they were not provide an explanation of why they were not utilized. Also utilized. Also for BMPs checked, provide an explanation of for BMPs checked, provide an explanation of why they why they were utilized. (Provide the analysis and attach were utilized. (Provide the analysis and attach additional additional sheets if necessary) sheets if necessary)

An alternative location, configuration or location was not utilized since upgrades are required to connect the pipeline to existing sites; however, the limit of disturbance is minimized. Regulations do not require a riparian buffer or riparian forest buffer since Marcus Hook Creek is not EV/HQ quality.

An alternative location, configuration or location of discharge was not utilized since upgrades are required to connect the pipeline to existing sites; however, the limit of disturbance is minimized. Regulations do not require a riparian buffer or riparian forest buffer since Marcus Hook Creek is not EV/HQ quality. No structural BMPs proposed (site restoration only); therefore, no infiltration and water reuse is applicable.

EV/nQ quality.	therefore, no infiltration and water reuse is applicable.
Nondischarge BMPs Alternative Siting Alternative location Alternative configuration Alternative location of discharge Limited Disturbed Area Limiting Extent & Duration of Disturbance (Phasing, Sequencing) Riparian Buffers (150 ft. min.) Riparian Forest Buffer (150 ft. min.) Other	Nondischarge BMPs Alternative Siting Alternative location Alternative configuration Alternative location of discharge Low Impact Development (LID / BSD) Riparian Buffers (150 ft. min.) Riparian Forest Buffer (150 ft. min.) Infiltration Water Reuse Other Site Restoration
Will the non-discharge alternative BMPs eliminate the net change in rate, volume and quality during construction? ☑ Yes ☐ No	Will the non-discharge alternative BMPs eliminate the net change in rate, volume and quality after construction? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes, antidegradation analysis is complete. If no, proceed to Part 2.	If yes, antidegradation analysis is complete. If no. proceed to Part 2.

PART 2 - ANTIDEGRADATION BEST AVAILABLE COMBINATION OF TECHNOLOGIES (ABACT)

If the net change in stormwater discharge from or after construction is not fully managed by nondischarge BMPs, the applicant must utilize ABACT BMPs to manage the difference. The Applicant must specify whether the discharge will occur during construction, post-construction or both, and identify the technologies that will be used to ensure that the discharge will be a non-degrading discharge. ABACT BMPs include but are not limited to:

E & S Plan	PCSM/SR Plan				
☑ Treatment BMPs: ☐ Sediment basin with skimmer ☐ Sediment basin ratio of 4:1 or greater (flow length to basin width) ☐ Sediment basin with 4-7 day detention ☐ Flocculants ☐ Compost Filter Socks ☐ Compost Filter Sock Sediment Basin ☐ RCE w/ Wash Rack ☐ Land disposal: ☐ Vegetated filters ☐ Riparian buffers <150ft.	☐ Treatment BMPs: ☐ Infiltration Practices ☐ Wet ponds ☐ Created wetland treatment systems ☐ Vegetated swales ☐ Manufactured devices ☐ Bio-retention/infiltration ☐ Green Roofs ☐ Land disposal: ☐ Vegetated filters ☐ Riparian Buffers <150ft.				
Are the ABACT BMPs selected sufficient to minimize E&S discharges to the extent that existing or designated surface water uses are protected? Yes No If yes, Antidegradation analysis is complete. If no, NOI Application will be returned to the Applicant.	Are the ABACT BMPs selected sufficient to achieve no net change and assure that existing or designated surface water uses are protected? Yes No If yes, Antidegradation analysis is complete. If no, NOI Application will be returned to the Applicant.				

If no, proceed to Part 2.

Marcus Hook Creek

SECTION I. ANTIDEGRADATION ANALYSIS

This section must be completed where earth disturbance activities will be conducted in the watershed of a surface water with an existing or designated use of exceptional value or high quality pursuant to Chapter 93 (relating to water quality standards), projects where any part is located in an exceptional value wetland in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 105.17, and projects where any part is located in the watershed of an impaired surface water where the cause of impairment is identified as siltation.

Part 1 - NONDISCHARGE ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION

The applicant must consider and describe any and all non-discharge alternatives for the entire project area which are environmentally sound and will:

- Minimize accelerated erosion and sedimentation during the earth disturbance activity
- Achieve no net change from pre-development to post-development volume, rate and concentration of pollutants in water quality

E & S Plan PCSM/SR Plan Check off the environmentally sound nondischarge Best Check off the environmentally sound nondischarge Best Management Practices (BMPs) listed below to be used Management Practices (BMPs) listed below to be used after prior to, during, and after earth disturbance activities that construction that have been incorporated into the PCSM/SR Plan based on your site analysis. For non-discharge BMPs have been incorporated into your E & S Plan based on the site analysis. For non-discharge BMPs not checked, not checked, provide an explanation of why they were not provide an explanation of why they were not utilized. Also utilized. Also for BMPs checked, provide an explanation of for BMPs checked, provide an explanation of why they why they were utilized. (Provide the analysis and attach were utilized. (Provide the analysis and attach additional additional sheets if necessary) sheets if necessary) An alternative location, configuration or location was not An alternative location, configuration or location of discharge utilized since upgrades are required to connect the pipeline was not utilized since upgrades are required to connect the to existing sites; however, the limit of disturbance is pipeline to existing sites; however, the limit of disturbance is minimized. Regulations do not require a riparian buffer or minimized. Regulations do not require a riparian buffer or riparian forest buffer since Marcus Hook Creek is not riparian forest buffer since Marcus Hook Creek is not EV/HQ EV/HQ quality. quality. No structural BMPs proposed (site restoration only); therefore, no infiltration and water reuse is applicable. Nondischarge BMPs Nondischarge BMPs ☐ Alternative Siting ☐ Alternative Siting Alternative location Alternative location Alternative configuration Alternative configuration Alternative location of discharge Alternative location of discharge Low Impact Development (LID / BSD) □ Limiting Extent & Duration of Disturbance (Phasing, Riparian Buffers (150 ft. min.) Riparian Forest Buffer (150 ft. min.) Sequencing) Riparian Buffers (150 ft. min.) Infiltration Riparian Forest Buffer (150 ft. min.) Water Reuse Other _ Other Site Restoration Will the non-discharge alternative BMPs eliminate the net Will the non-discharge alternative BMPs eliminate the net change in rate, volume and quality during construction? change in rate, volume and quality after construction? ⊠ Yes □ No ⊠ Yes □ No If yes, antidegradation analysis is complete. If yes, antidegradation analysis is complete.

If no, proceed to Part 2.

PART 2 - ANTIDEGRADATION BEST AVAILABLE COMBINATION OF TECHNOLOGIES (ABACT)

If the net change in stormwater discharge from or after construction is not fully managed by nondischarge BMPs, the applicant must utilize ABACT BMPs to manage the difference. The Applicant must specify whether the discharge will occur during construction, post-construction or both, and identify the technologies that will be used to ensure that the discharge will be a non-degrading discharge. ABACT BMPs include but are not limited to:

E & S Plan	PCSM/SR Plan				
☑ Treatment BMPs: ☐ Sediment basin with skimmer ☐ Sediment basin ratio of 4:1 or greater (flow length to basin width) ☐ Sediment basin with 4-7 day detention ☐ Flocculants ☐ Compost Filter Socks ☐ Compost Filter Sock Sediment Basin ☐ RCE w/ Wash Rack ☐ Land disposal: ☐ Vegetated filters ☐ Riparian buffers <150ft.	☐ Treatment BMPs: ☐ Infiltration Practices ☐ Wet ponds ☐ Created wetland treatment systems ☐ Vegetated swales ☐ Manufactured devices ☐ Bio-retention/infiltration ☐ Green Roofs ☐ Land disposal: ☐ Vegetated filters ☐ Riparian Buffers <150ft.				
Are the ABACT BMPs selected sufficient to minimize E&S discharges to the extent that existing or designated surface water uses are protected? Yes No If yes, Antidegradation analysis is complete. If no, NOI Application will be returned to the Applicant.	Are the ABACT BMPs selected sufficient to achieve no net change and assure that existing or designated surface water uses are protected? Yes No If yes, Antidegradation analysis is complete. If no, NOI Application will be returned to the Applicant.				

SECTION J. COMPLIANCE HISTORY REVIEW								
Is/was the applicant(s) in violation of any Department regulation, order, schedule of compliance or permit or in violation of any department regulated activities within the past five years? ☐ Yes ☑ No								
If yes, provide the permit number or facility name, a brief description of the violation, the compliance schedule (includin dates and steps to achieve compliance) and the current compliance status. (Attach additional information on a separat sheet, when necessary)								
Permit Program or Activity:	Permit Number (if applicable):							
Steps taken to achieve compliance	Date(s) compliance achieved							
Current Compliance Status:	In Non-Compliance							
If in non-compliance, attach schedule for achieving compliance	e.							

SECTION K. CERTIFICATION BY PERSON PREPARING E&S AND PCSM/SR PLANS

I do hereby certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, that the Erosion and Sediment Control and PCSM/Site Restoration Plans are true and correct, represent actual field conditions, and are in accordance with the 25 Pa. Code Chapters 78/78a and 102 of the Department's rules and regulations. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

ior submitting raise information, including the	ie possibility of life and in	iprisorinent.		
Print Name Shiny Mathew	Professional Seal			
Company JMT			REGISTERED A	
Address 1600 Market Street, Suite 520	PROFESSIONAL THE			
Phone 215-496-4780			ENGINEER NO.	
Most Recent DEP Training Attended	Location	Date	PE082407	
NDPES Workshop	Monroe County	02/20	SYL	
e-Mail Address smathew@jmt.com			Drught. Mathew	

EXPEDITED REVIEW PROCESS

In addition to the certification required above, applicants using the expedited permit review process must attach an E&S and PCSM/Site Restoration Plans developed and sealed by a licensed professional engineer, surveyor or professional geologist. The plans shall contain the following certification:

I do hereby certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, that the E & S Control and PCSM/SR BMPs are true and correct, represent actual field conditions and are in accordance with the 25 Pa. Code Chapters 78 / 78a and 102 of the Department's rules and regulations. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

SECTION L. APPLICANT CERTIFICATION

Applicant Certification

I certify under penalty of law, as provided by 18 Pa. C.S.A. § 4904, that this application and all related attachments were prepared by me or under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my own knowledge and on inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. The responsible official's signature also verifies that the activity is eligible to participate in the ESCGP, and that the applicant agrees to abide by the terms and conditions of the permit. BMP's, E&S Plan, PPC Plan, PCSM Plan, and other controls are being or will be, implemented to ensure that water quality standards and effluent limits are attained.

I grant permission to the agencies responsible for the permitting of this work, or their duly authorized representative to enter the project site for inspection purposes. I will abide by the conditions of the permit if issued and will not begin work prior to permit issuance.

(For individuals no indication of title is necessary, choose the box below. All others proceed to the next paragraph)

n.
J

I hereby certify under penalty of law, as provided by 18 Pa. C.S.A. § 4904, that I am the person who is responsible for decision-making regarding environmental compliance functions for Adelphia Gateway, LLC, the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities of the applicant and am authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of regulated facility including having explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure the applicant's long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; and I am responsible for ensuring that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements.

DocuSign Envelope ID: DFACD133-0D8D-4E98-9F5E-81D58AD98E14 8000-PM-OOGM0006 9/2018 Notice of Intent

(choose one of the following; not applicable for individuals):	
▼ The responsible corporate officer ☐ president ☒ vice president.	ent \square secretary
treasure of(Entity name	
☐ The ☐ member or ☐ manager of Entity name	LLC
☐ The general partner of partnership/LP	
Entity name	TLLP
☐ The principal executive officer or ranking elected official of	Municipality/State/Federal/other public agency tity name
Power of Attorney/delegation of contractual authority (documust be provided) for	mentation supporting delegation of contracting authority
Entity name	
Mark F. Valori, Vice President	
Print Name and Title of Applicant	Print Name and Title of Co-Applicant (if applicable)
Mark F. Valori	
ा Signatere 2 of Applicant	Signature of Co-Applicant
6/8/2020	
Date Application Signed	Date Application Signed
Notarization	
Sworn to and subscribed to before me this	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
day of	County of
Jan Do July	My Commission expires
Notary Public	•
AFFIX SEAL	
Jill DePhillips A Notary Public of New Jersey My Commission Expires May 29, 2023	

SECTION M. ADDITIONAL CONTACT INFORMATION								
Contact's Last Name	First Name	MI	Phone					
			FAX					
Mailing Address	City		State	ZIP + 4				
e-Mail Address	·							

	Summary of Bio-Infiltration BMPs													
	Infiltration Information						Drainage Information			BMP Information				
Proposed Structural bio-Infiltration BMPs (site specific)	Measured Infiltration Rate ¹ (in./hr)	Factor of safety (min. of 2)	Design Infiltration rate (in./hr)	time ²	Elevation of limiting zone-water table bedrock, etc. ³	Total drainage area to BMP (sq. ft)	impervious drainage area	Infiltration BMP Surface area (sq. ft)	Volume of runoff tributary to BMP during the 2yr/24 hr design storm ⁴ (cf)	Calculated removed volume (cf)	elevation in	bottom of	Elevation of infiltration test ⁷	Elevation of E&S sediment basin bottom (if applies)
Dry well 1	1.00	2	0.50	66	9	180	180	41.3	45	45	11.62	11.00	7.00	N/A
Dry well 2	1.25	2	0.625	6	N/A	80	80	41.3	20	20	11.22	11.00	7.00	N/A

All information should be based on the 2-yr/24-hr storm.

Provide page numbers from the stormwater narrative identifying the location of the above information.

Any deviation from the recommendations above should be adequately justified by a qualified professional and included with the application.

Note: This chart is for summary purposes only and should be consistent with all design calculations and worksheets.

¹The infiltration testing information should be located on the plan view of the PCSM plan and should include infiltration test elevation and rate

²Can include active infiltration time-dewatering time should not exceed 72 hours after the 2-yr/24-hr storm

³Depth to limiting zone is recommended to be at least 2 ft below infiltration

⁴The value should be greater than or equal to the volume to be infiltrated or managed by the BMP

⁶A maximum of 2 ft hydraulic head is recommended

⁷Provide supporting field notes/documentation from soil evaluation