

**FINAL-OMITTED RULEMAKING
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD
[25 PA. CODE CH. 129]**

Control of VOC Emissions from Conventional Oil and Natural Gas Sources

The Environmental Quality Board (Board) amends Chapter 129 (relating to standards for sources) to read as set forth in Annex A. This final-omitted rulemaking amends §§ 129.131—129.140 to adopt reasonably available control technology (RACT) requirements and RACT emission limitations for conventional oil and natural gas sources of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions. These sources include natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controllers, natural gas-driven diaphragm pumps, reciprocating compressors, centrifugal compressors, fugitive emissions components and storage vessels installed at conventional well sites, gathering and boosting stations and natural gas processing plants, as well as storage vessels in the natural gas transmission and storage segment. The Board adds definitions, acronyms and United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) methods to § 129.132 (relating to definitions, acronyms and EPA methods) to support the implementation of the control measures. Notice of proposed rulemaking is omitted under section 204(3) of the act of July 31, 1968 (P.L. 769, No. 240) (45 P.S. § 1204(3)), known as the Commonwealth Documents Law (CDL). This final-omitted rulemaking is also being submitted as an emergency certified regulation under section 6(d) of the Regulatory Review Act (RRA) (71 P.S. § 745.6(d)).

Rulemaking Background and History

On December 17, 2019, the Board adopted the Control of VOC Emissions from Oil and Natural Gas Sources proposed rulemaking (referred to as the combined rulemaking). The combined rulemaking included VOC RACT requirements for five categories of oil and natural gas sources of VOC emissions in this Commonwealth, including sources used by the unconventional and conventional industries. On May 23, 2020, the combined rulemaking was published for a 66-day comment period at 50 Pa.B. 2633 (May 23, 2020). Three public hearings were held virtually on June 23, 24, and 25, 2020. Over 100 individuals provided verbal testimony. The comment period closed on July 27, 2020. The Board received over 4,500 comments, including comments from the House and Senate Environmental Resources and Energy Committees (ERE Committees), members of the General Assembly and the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC). The majority of the commentators expressed their support for the VOC RACT requirements in the combined rulemaking, noting the need to address air emissions from the oil and gas sector. On March 15, 2022, the Board adopted the combined rulemaking as a final-form rulemaking.

Also, on March 15, 2022, the Board submitted the final-form combined rulemaking to IRRC for its consideration. On April 26, 2022, the House ERE Committee sent a letter to IRRC indicating their disapproval of the combined rulemaking due to their interpretation of language in the Pennsylvania Grade Crude Development Act, the act of June 23, 2016 (P.L. 375, No. 52) (58 P.S. §§ 1201—1208), known as Act 52. The letter stated the House ERE Committee's position that Act 52 requires the Board to submit two rulemaking packages — one that applies to unconventional oil and natural gas sources and one that applies to conventional oil and natural gas sources. The House ERE Committee's letter to IRRC initiated the concurrent resolution process

under section 7(d) of the RRA (71 P.S. § 745.7(d)) which allows the General Assembly to adopt a resolution that disapproves and permanently bars a final regulation from taking effect.

While the Board disagrees with the House ERE Committee's interpretation of Act 52, to address their concerns and avoid further delay, on May 4, 2022, the Board withdrew the combined rulemaking from IRRC's consideration. The Board then revised the combined rulemaking to apply only to unconventional oil and natural gas sources. On June 14, 2022, the Board adopted the revised Control of VOC Emissions from *Unconventional* Oil and Natural Gas Sources final-form rulemaking (referred to as the unconventional rulemaking). On July 21, 2022, IRRC unanimously approved the unconventional rulemaking.

Given the concerns expressed by the House ERE Committee and other commentators during the regulatory process for the combined rulemaking, the Department developed a separate rulemaking to control VOC emissions from *conventional* oil and natural gas sources. At the October 12, 2022, meeting, the Board adopted the "Control of VOC Emissions from Conventional Oil and Natural Gas Sources" final-omitted rulemaking, Regulation #7-579. On November 14, 2022, the House ERE Committee disapproved the previously adopted final-omitted regulation triggering the 14-calendar-day legislative review period under section 5.1(j.2) of the RRA (71 P.S. § 745.5a(j.2)). During that 14-day period, the regulation may not be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*. The 14-day period began after IRRC issued its approval order of Regulation #7-579 on November 17, 2022, and the 2022 legislative session ended on November 30, 2022. Under section 5.1(j.3) of the RRA (71 P.S. § 745.5a(j.3)), the legislative review period will therefore run into the 2023 legislative session ensuring that Regulation #7-579 could not be published by the December 16, 2022, sanction deadline.

This final-omitted rulemaking, Regulation #7-580, is identical to the previous final-omitted rulemaking (Regulation #7-579) except it has received an emergency certification of need from the Governor.

Final-Omitted Rulemaking and Emergency Certification of Need

Under section 201 of the CDL (45 P.S. § 1201), an agency is required to provide public notice of its intention to promulgate, amend or repeal administrative regulations. Section 202 of the CDL (45 P.S. § 1202) also requires agencies to review and consider any written comments submitted under section 201 and authorizes agencies to hold public hearings as appropriate. However, under section 204 of the CDL (45 P.S. § 1204), an agency may omit or modify the procedures specified in sections 201 and 202, if:

The agency for good cause finds (and incorporates the finding and a brief statement of the reasons therefor in the order adopting the administrative regulation or change therein) that the procedures specified in sections 201 and 202 are in the circumstances impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.

Public notice and solicitation of public comments are impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest for the amendments included in this final-omitted rulemaking. These

procedures are impracticable and unnecessary because the VOC RACT requirements for the conventional oil and natural gas sources covered by this final-omitted rulemaking are identical to those contained in the combined rulemaking. As detailed above, the Board provided a comment period and three public hearings for the combined rulemaking and numerous members of the public provided testimony and submitted comments. Those comments were then used in the development of the final-form combined rulemaking and this final-omitted rulemaking. Therefore, this final-omitted rulemaking was already subject to a notice and comment process when the combined rulemaking was published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* on May 23, 2020.

The comment and response document included with this final-omitted rulemaking contains all comments received during the comment period for the combined rulemaking. A public comment period is also contrary to the public interest because it will delay the implementation of the VOC RACT requirements in this final-omitted rulemaking, resulting in the Commonwealth being unable to satisfy the December 16, 2022, sanction deadline, as explained in Section D of this Preamble under “*Findings of Failure to Submit, sanctions and deadline for action.*” If the Board were to provide notice of proposed rulemaking, and an additional public comment period and public hearings, the Commonwealth would be unable to submit this rulemaking to the EPA as a SIP revision by December 16, 2022. The entire rulemaking process in this Commonwealth takes about two years, sometimes longer, from start to finish, and the concurrent resolution process under the RRA further lengthens that timeline. Additional delay of this rulemaking would further harm the public interest because the Commonwealth would lose millions of dollars in Federal highway funding and much needed VOC and methane emission reductions. As a result, the Board finds that the use of the final-omitted rulemaking process is for good cause and that additional public comment in this case is not necessary or in the public interest.

This final-omitted rulemaking is also being submitted as an emergency certified regulation. Section 6(d) of the RRA allows an agency to immediately implement a final-omitted regulation when the Governor certifies that promulgation is necessary to respond to an emergency circumstance specified in the RRA. On **DATE**, 2022, Governor Tom Wolf issued a Certification of Need for Emergency Regulation finding that this final-omitted rulemaking is required to prevent “the need for supplemental or deficiency appropriations of greater than \$1,000,000.” (71 P.S. § 745.6(d)).

Governor Tom Wolf determined that this emergency certified final-omitted rulemaking is necessary to ensure the Commonwealth complies with the Federal CAA and the APCA. As discussed above, if the Commonwealth does not submit this final-omitted rulemaking to the EPA as a SIP revision by the December 16, 2022, sanction deadline, Federal highway funding will be withheld until the submission is made. For the upcoming fiscal year, Federal highway funds subject to these sanctions are estimated to be in the hundreds of millions of dollars in the **nonattainment areas**. The Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and the EPA have identified several projects **in the nonattainment areas** that would not receive funding and would therefore not be completed or would be subject to delay. Thus, this emergency certified final-omitted rulemaking will be effective upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

This final-omitted rulemaking will be submitted to the EPA for approval as a revision to the Commonwealth's State Implementation Plan (SIP) following promulgation of the final-form regulation.

This final-omitted rulemaking was adopted by the Board at its meeting on **DATE**, 2022.

A. *Effective Date*

This final-omitted rulemaking will be effective upon notice or publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

B. *Contact Persons*

For further information, contact Viren Trivedi, Chief, Division of Permits, Bureau of Air Quality, Rachel Carson State Office Building, P.O. Box 8468, Harrisburg, PA 17105-8468, (717) 783-9476; or Jennie Demjanick, Assistant Counsel, Bureau of Regulatory Counsel, Rachel Carson State Office Building, P.O. Box 8464, Harrisburg, PA 17105-8464, (717) 787-7060. Persons with a disability may use the Pennsylvania Hamilton Relay Service, (800) 654-5984 (TDD users) or (800) 654-5988 (voice users). This final-omitted rulemaking is available on the Department of Environmental Protection's (Department) web site at www.dep.pa.gov (select "Public Participation," then "Environmental Quality Board").

C. *Statutory Authority*

This emergency certified final-omitted rulemaking is authorized under section 5(a)(1) of the Air Pollution Control Act (APCA) (35 P.S. § 4005(a)(1)), which grants the Board the authority to adopt rules and regulations for the prevention, control, reduction and abatement of air pollution in this Commonwealth and section 5(a)(8) of the APCA (35 P.S. § 4005(a)(8)), which grants the Board the authority to adopt rules and regulations designed to implement the provisions of the Clean Air Act (CAA) (42 U.S.C.A. §§ 7401—7671q).

D. *Background and Purpose*

The purpose of this final-omitted rulemaking is to implement control measures to reduce VOC emissions from conventional oil and natural gas sources in this Commonwealth. Five air contamination source categories are affected by this final-omitted rulemaking: storage vessels; natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controllers; natural gas-driven diaphragm pumps; reciprocating and centrifugal compressors; and fugitive emissions components. These sources were selected by the EPA because data and information has indicated that they are significant sources of VOC emissions.

In accordance with sections 172(c)(1), 182(b)(2)(A) and 184(b)(1)(B) of the CAA (42 U.S.C.A. §§ 7502(c)(1), 7511a(b)(2)(A) and 7511c(b)(1)(B)), this final-omitted rulemaking establishes the VOC emission limitations and other RACT requirements consistent with the EPA's recommendations in the "Control Techniques Guidelines for the Oil and Natural Gas Industry," EPA 453/B-16-001, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, EPA, October 2016 (2016

O&G CTG) as RACT for these sources this Commonwealth. See 81 FR 74798 (October 27, 2016). The EPA defines RACT as “the lowest emission limitation that a particular source is capable of meeting by the application of control technology that is reasonably available considering technological and economic feasibility.” See 44 FR 53761 (September 17, 1979).

Background on the Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)

Under section 108 of the CAA (42 U.S.C.A. § 7408), the EPA is responsible for establishing National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), or maximum allowable concentrations in the ambient air, for six criteria pollutants considered harmful to public health and the environment: ground-level ozone; particulate matter; nitrogen oxides (NO_x); carbon monoxide; sulfur dioxide; and lead. Section 109 of the CAA (42 U.S.C.A. § 7409) established two types of NAAQS: primary standards, which are limits set to protect public health; and secondary standards, which are limits set to protect public welfare and the environment. In section 302(h) of the CAA (42 U.S.C.A. § 7602(h)), effects on welfare are defined to include protection against visibility impairment and from damage to animals, crops, vegetation and buildings. The EPA established primary and secondary ground-level ozone NAAQS to protect public health and public welfare, including the environment.

On April 30, 1971, the EPA promulgated primary and secondary NAAQS for photochemical oxidants, which include ground-level ozone, under section 109 of the CAA. See 36 FR 8186 (April 30, 1971). These standards were set at an hourly average of 0.08 parts per million (ppm) total photochemical oxidants not to be exceeded more than 1 hour per year. On February 8, 1979, the EPA revised the level of the primary 1-hour ozone standard from 0.08 ppm to 0.12 ppm and set the secondary standard identical to the primary standard. See 44 FR 8202 (February 8, 1979). This revised 1-hour standard was reaffirmed on March 9, 1993. See 58 FR 13008 (March 9, 1993).

On July 18, 1997, the EPA concluded that revisions to the then-current 1-hour ozone primary standard to provide increased public health protection were appropriate to protect public health with an adequate margin of safety. Further, the EPA determined that it was appropriate to establish a primary standard of 0.08 ppm averaged over 8 hours. At this time, the EPA also established a secondary standard equal to the primary standard. See 62 FR 38856 (July 18, 1997). In 2004, the EPA designated 37 counties in this Commonwealth as 8-hour ozone nonattainment areas for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. See 69 FR 23858, 23931 (April 30, 2004). Based on the Department’s certified ambient air monitoring data for the Commonwealth’s 2020 ozone season, all monitored areas of this Commonwealth are attaining and maintaining the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

In March 2008, the EPA lowered the primary and secondary ozone NAAQS to 0.075 ppm (75 parts per billion (ppb)) averaged over 8 hours to provide greater protection for children, other at-risk populations and the environment against the array of ozone-induced adverse health and welfare effects. See 73 FR 16436 (March 27, 2008). In May 2012, the EPA designated five areas in this Commonwealth as marginal nonattainment for the 2008 ozone NAAQS with the rest of this Commonwealth designated as attainment. See 77 FR 30088, 30143 (May 21, 2012). The five designated areas include all or a portion of Allegheny, Armstrong, Beaver, Berks, Bucks, Butler, Carbon, Chester, Delaware, Fayette, Lancaster, Lehigh, Montgomery, Northampton, Philadelphia,

Washington and Westmoreland Counties. Per the 1997 ozone NAAQS, the Department must ensure that the 2008 ozone NAAQS is attained and maintained by implementing permanent and enforceable control measures. Based on the Department's certified ambient air monitoring data for the Commonwealth's 2020 ozone season, all monitored areas of this Commonwealth are attaining and maintaining the 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS. Adoption of the VOC emission control measures in this final-omitted rulemaking will allow the Commonwealth to continue its progress in attaining and maintaining the 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

On October 26, 2015, the EPA again lowered the primary and secondary ozone NAAQS, this time to 0.070 ppm (70 ppb) averaged over 8 hours. See 80 FR 65291 (October 26, 2015). On June 4, 2018, the EPA designated Bucks, Chester, Delaware, Montgomery and Philadelphia Counties as marginal nonattainment for the 2015 ozone NAAQS, with the rest of this Commonwealth designated as attainment. See 83 FR 25776 (June 4, 2018). The Department must ensure that the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS is attained and maintained by implementing permanent and federally enforceable control measures. The certified ambient air ozone season monitoring data for the 2020 ozone season shows that all ozone samplers in this Commonwealth, except the Bristol sampler in Bucks County and the Northeast Airport and Northeast Waste samplers in Philadelphia County are monitoring attainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS. Reductions in VOC emissions that are achieved following the adoption and implementation of RACT emission control measures for source categories covered by this final-omitted rulemaking will assist the Commonwealth in making substantial progress in achieving and maintaining the 2015 ozone NAAQS.

Clean Air Act (CAA) requirements: Implementation of permanent and Federally enforceable control measures for attaining and maintaining the ozone NAAQS

Section 101(a)(3) of the CAA (42 U.S.C.A. § 7401(a)(3)) provides that air pollution prevention (that is, the reduction or elimination, through any measures, of the amount of pollutants produced or created at the source) and air pollution control at its source is the primary responsibility of States and local governments. Section 110(a) of the CAA (42 U.S.C.A. § 7410(a)) gives states the primary responsibility for achieving the NAAQS in nonattainment areas and for maintaining the NAAQS in areas of the state that are in attainment. Section 110(a) of the CAA provides that each state shall adopt and submit to the EPA a plan (a SIP) for implementation, maintenance and enforcement of the NAAQS or a revision to the NAAQS promulgated under section 109(b) of the CAA. Additionally, section 110(a) provides that the plan shall contain adequate provisions to prevent emissions activity within a state from contributing significantly to nonattainment in, or interference with maintenance by, any other state with respect to a NAAQS. The entirety of the SIP includes the regulatory programs, actions and commitments a state will carry out to implement its responsibilities under the CAA. Once approved by the EPA and incorporated into the state's SIP, the measures of a SIP are legally enforceable under both Federal and state law.

Section 172(c)(1) of the CAA (42 U.S.C.A. § 7502(c)(1)) provides that a SIP for states with nonattainment areas must include "reasonably available control measures," including RACT, for affected sources of VOC and NO_x emissions. Upon submittal to the EPA, state regulations to control VOC emissions from affected sources are reviewed by the EPA to determine if the provisions meet the RACT requirements of the CAA and its implementing regulations designed to attain and maintain the ground-level ozone NAAQS. If the EPA determines that the provisions

meet the applicable requirements of the CAA, the provisions are approved and incorporated as amendments to the state's SIP.

Section 182 of the CAA (42 U.S.C.A. § 7511a) requires that, for areas which exceed the ground-level ozone NAAQS, states must develop and implement a program that mandates certain major stationary sources develop and implement a RACT emission reduction program. Section 182(b)(2) of the CAA provides that for moderate ozone nonattainment areas, a state must revise its SIP to include RACT for sources of VOC emissions covered by a Control Techniques Guidelines (CTG) document issued by the EPA prior to the area's date of attainment of the applicable ozone NAAQS. CTG documents provide states with information about a VOC emission source category and recommendations of what the EPA considers to be RACT for the source category to attain and maintain the applicable ozone NAAQS. State air pollution control agencies may use the Federal recommendations provided in the CTG to inform their own determination as to what constitutes RACT for VOC emissions from the covered source category for subject sources located within the state. State air pollution control agencies may implement other technically-sound approaches that are consistent with the CAA requirements and the EPA's implementing regulations or guidelines.

Although the designated nonattainment areas in this Commonwealth for the 2008 and 2015 ground-level ozone NAAQS are classified as "marginal" nonattainment, this entire Commonwealth is treated as a "moderate" ozone nonattainment area for RACT purposes because this Commonwealth is included in the Ozone Transport Region (OTR) established by operation of law under sections 176A and 184 of the CAA (42 U.S.C.A. §§ 7506a and 7511c). Section 176A grants the Administrator of the EPA the authority to establish an interstate transport region and the associated transport commission. Section 184(a) of the CAA established the OTR comprised of the states of Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont and the Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area that includes the District of Columbia. More importantly, section 184(b)(1)(B) of the CAA requires that states in the OTR, including this Commonwealth, submit a SIP revision requiring implementation of RACT for all major stationary sources of VOC emissions in the state covered by a specific CTG and not just for those sources that are located in designated nonattainment areas of the state.

Consequently, the Commonwealth's SIP must include regulations implementing RACT requirements Statewide to control VOC emissions from the oil and natural gas sources, including from conventional well sites, covered by the 2016 O&G CTG. These sources, which are not regulated elsewhere in Chapter 129, were selected by the EPA because data and information has indicated that they are significant sources of VOC emissions. Significantly, this final-omitted rulemaking should achieve VOC emission reductions and lowered concentrations of ground-level ozone locally as well as in downwind states. Additionally, adoption of VOC emission reduction requirements is part of the Commonwealth's strategy, in concert with other OTR jurisdictions, to further reduce the transport of VOC ozone precursors and ground-level ozone throughout the OTR to attain and maintain the 8-hour ozone NAAQS. This final-omitted rulemaking will be submitted to the EPA for approval as a revision to the Commonwealth's SIP following promulgation of the final-omitted rulemaking.

Need to limit VOC emissions and ground-level ozone pollution

VOC emissions are precursors to the formation of ground-level ozone, a public health, welfare and environmental hazard. However, ground-level ozone is not emitted directly to the atmosphere from any sources, including conventional oil and natural gas sources. Ground-level ozone is formed by a photochemical reaction between emissions of VOC and NO_x in the presence of sunlight; oil and gas sources, including conventional well sites, do emit these two pollutants. Ground-level ozone is a highly reactive gas, which at sufficiently high concentrations can produce a wide variety of effects harmful to public health and welfare and the environment. Additionally, climate change may exacerbate the need to address ground-level ozone. According to the EPA, atmospheric warming, as a result of climate change, may increase ground-level ozone in regions across the United States. This impact could also be an issue for states trying to comply with future ozone standards.

Ground-level ozone is a respiratory irritant and repeated exposure to high ambient concentrations of ground-level ozone pollution, for both healthy people and those with existing conditions, may cause a variety of adverse health effects, including difficulty in breathing, chest pains, coughing, nausea, throat irritation and congestion. In addition, people with bronchitis, heart disease, emphysema, asthma and reduced lung capacity may have their symptoms exacerbated by high ambient concentrations of ground-level ozone pollution. Asthma, in particular, is a significant and growing threat to children and adults in this Commonwealth. Ozone can also cause both physical and economic damage to important food crops, forests and wildlife, as well as materials such as rubber and plastics.

The implementation of additional measures to address ozone precursor emissions impacts on air quality in this Commonwealth is necessary to protect the public health and welfare and the environment. Because VOC emissions are precursors for ground-level ozone formation, adoption of the VOC emission control measures and other requirements in this final-omitted rulemaking is in the public interest as it will allow the Commonwealth to continue to make substantial progress in maintaining the 1997 and 2008 NAAQS as well as attaining and maintaining the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS Statewide. Implementation of and compliance with the final-omitted VOC emission reduction measures will assist the Commonwealth in reducing the levels of ozone precursor emissions that contribute to potential nonattainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS in downwind states. As a result, the VOC emission control measures are reasonably necessary to attain and maintain the health-based and welfare-based 8-hour ozone NAAQS in this Commonwealth and to satisfy related CAA requirements.

The EPA's Control Techniques Guidelines for the Oil and Natural Gas Industry

The EPA issues guidance, in the form of a CTG, in place of regulations where the guidelines will be “substantially as effective as regulations” in reducing VOC emissions from a product or source category in ozone nonattainment areas. On October 27, 2016, the EPA issued the 2016 O&G CTG which provided information to assist states in determining what constitutes RACT for VOC emissions from select oil and natural gas industry emission sources. See 81 FR 74798 (October 27, 2016). On March 9, 2018, the EPA had proposed to withdraw the 2016 O&G CTG in its entirety because the CTG had relied upon underlying data and conclusions made in the 2016

new source performance standards which the EPA was reconsidering. See 83 FR 10478 (March 9, 2018). However, on March 5, 2020, the EPA announced in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget's Spring 2020 Unified Agenda and Regulatory Plan that the EPA was no longer pursuing the action to withdraw the CTG and "the CTG will remain in place as published on October 27, 2016." See Supplemental Notice of Potential Withdrawal of the Control Techniques Guidelines for the Oil and Natural Gas Industry, <https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/eAgendaViewRule?pubId=202004&RIN=2060-AT76>.

While the EPA provided information and RACT recommendations through the 2016 O&G CTG for VOC emissions, it is up to the Department to determine what is RACT for each source category of VOC emissions. As explicitly stated by the EPA in the 2016 O&G CTG, state air pollution control agencies are free to implement other technically-sound approaches that are consistent with the CAA and the EPA's regulations. See 81 FR 74798, 74799. The EPA also further clarified that "the information contained in the CTG document is provided only as guidance" and "this guidance does not change, or substitute for, requirements specified in applicable sections of the CAA or the EPA's regulations; nor is it a regulation itself." *Id.* While the EPA will ultimately need to approve the Department's RACT determinations by reviewing and approving the revision to the Commonwealth's SIP, the Department has made the initial RACT determinations in this final-omitted rulemaking based on the entirety of information available to the Department, including the 2016 O&G CTG. In other words, the Department's obligation is to affirmatively determine what constitutes RACT for the source group identified in the 2016 O&G CTG and the EPA's provision of guidance and data in the 2016 O&G CTG does not obviate that legal requirement. In the time since the 2016 O&G CTG was issued by the EPA, the Department acquired additional information and current emissions data specific to this Commonwealth that it analyzed to determine the RACT emission limitations and requirements established in this final-omitted rulemaking.

Findings of Failure to Submit, sanctions and deadline for action

If the EPA finds that a state has failed to submit an approvable SIP revision or has failed to implement the requirements of an approved measure in the SIP, the EPA issues a "finding of failure to submit notice." On November 16, 2020, the EPA issued a Final Rule entitled "Findings of Failure To Submit State Implementation Plan Revisions in Response to the 2016 Oil and Natural Gas Industry Control Techniques Guidelines for the 2008 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and for States in the Ozone Transport Region," with an effective date of December 16, 2020. 85 FR 72963 (November 16, 2020). This Commonwealth was one of the five states issued a finding of failure to submit a SIP revision to address the 2008 NAAQS and the RACT requirements associated with the 2016 O&G CTG by October 27, 2018. The EPA's finding triggers the sanction clock under section 179 of the CAA (42 U.S.C.A. § 7509). However, sanctions cannot be imposed until 18 months after the EPA makes the determination, and sanctions cannot be imposed if a deficiency has been corrected within the 18-month period. On June 16, 2022, the 18-month period ended. Thus, the Commonwealth must submit this final-omitted rulemaking as a SIP revision and the EPA must determine that the submittal is complete as soon as possible to remove the sanctions that took effect on June 16, 2022.

On December 16, 2021, the EPA issued “Findings of Failure to Submit SIP Revisions for the 2016 O&G CTG for the 2015 Ozone NAAQS and for states in the OTR,” with an effective date of January 18, 2022. 86 FR 71385 (December 16, 2021). This finding also triggers the sanction clock under section 179 of the CAA and the Commonwealth must submit a SIP revision to address the 2015 NAAQS and the EPA must determine that the submittal is complete by July 18, 2023.

Section 179 of the CAA authorizes the EPA to use two types of sanctions: 1) imposing what are called “2:1 offsets” on new or modified sources of emissions; and 2) withholding of certain Federal highway funds. Under section 179 of the CAA and its implementing regulations, the Administrator first imposes “2:1 offsets” sanctions for new or modified major stationary sources in the nonattainment area which took effect on June 16, 2022, and then, if the deficiency has not been corrected within 6 months, also applies Federal highway funding sanctions. See 40 CFR 52.31 (relating to selection of sequence of mandatory sanctions for findings made pursuant to section 179 of the Clean Air Act).

Additionally, the findings trigger an obligation under section 110(c) of the CAA for the EPA to promulgate a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) no later than 2 years after the effective date of the finding of failure to submit if the Commonwealth has not submitted, and the EPA has not approved, the required SIP submittal. If the EPA promulgates a FIP, the EPA could, in its discretion, also withhold a portion of the Department’s air pollution grant funds provided for in section 105 of the CAA. However, if the Commonwealth makes the required SIP submittal and the EPA takes final action to approve the submittal within 2 years of the effective date of these findings, the EPA is not required to promulgate a FIP.

The 2:1 offset sanctions went into effect for new or modified major stationary sources in this Commonwealth on June 16, 2022. If this final-omitted rulemaking and the separate unconventional rulemaking are not submitted to the EPA before December 16, 2022, the Federal highway sanctions will go into effect. The Department estimates that this could result in the loss of hundreds of millions of dollars in Federal highway funds.

This final-omitted rulemaking is being promulgated to attain and maintain both the 2008 and the 2015 ozone NAAQS and will be submitted to the EPA for approval as a revision to the Commonwealth’s SIP following promulgation. While this final-omitted rulemaking will not fully address the December 2021 and the November 2020 findings of failure to submit SIP revisions, the Department finalized a separate rulemaking for the RACT requirements for unconventional oil and natural gas sources of VOC emissions. Once published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* as a final regulation, the separate rulemaking for unconventional sources of VOC emissions will also be submitted as a SIP revision. Together these two rulemakings address all the sources identified by the EPA in the 2016 O&G CTG. The Department is working toward completing both submittals by December 16, 2022, to lift the existing sanctions and to stop the mandatory sanction clock.

VOC RACT requirements in this final-omitted rulemaking

Under section 4.2(b)(1) of the APCA (35 P.S. § 4004.2(b)(1)), the Board has the authority to adopt control measures that are more stringent than those required by the CAA if the Board determines that it is reasonably necessary for the control measure to exceed minimum CAA

requirements for the Commonwealth to achieve or maintain NAAQS. To the extent that a requirement in this final-omitted rulemaking is more stringent than the recommendations of the 2016 O&G CTG, the more stringent requirement is reasonably necessary to satisfy the Department's RACT requirements under the CAA and to attain and maintain the health-based and welfare based 8-hour ozone NAAQS in this Commonwealth.

The Department reviewed the RACT recommendations included in the 2016 O&G CTG for their applicability to the ground-level ozone reduction measures necessary for this Commonwealth and determined that the VOC emission reduction measures and other requirements are appropriate for this source category. However, based on analysis of data specific to this Commonwealth, the Department determined in three cases that RACT requirements more stringent than the recommendations in the 2016 O&G CTG are cost-effective and necessary to continue the Commonwealth's progress in attaining and maintaining the ground-level ozone NAAQS. The Department addressed VOC emissions from unconventional sources in a separate rulemaking.

In the first case, the Department determined that a 2.7 TPY VOC emission threshold for storage vessels is RACT as it is technically and economically feasible for both potential to emit and actual emissions from all covered storage vessels. The Department's analysis examined the sensitivity to the initial capital cost of the control device and found that the total cost per ton of VOC reduced is below the RACT benchmark of \$6,600 per ton reduced. Therefore, in § 129.133(a)(1) of this final-omitted rulemaking a 2.7 TPY VOC emission threshold applies to conventional owners or operators of storage vessels installed at conventional well sites, gathering and boosting stations and natural gas processing plants, and in the natural gas transmission and storage segment, based on the Department's cost analysis.

In the second case, § 129.136 of this final-omitted rulemaking establishes requirements for conventional owners or operators to implement reciprocating compressor rod packing replacements on reciprocating compressors located at conventional well sites. The requirement is based on the Department's analysis, further detailed in the Regulatory Analysis Form (RAF), which shows that it is both technically and economically feasible to require reciprocating compressor rod packing replacements every 26,000 hours of operation or every three years for reciprocating compressors located at conventional well sites. The analysis showed that the cost-effectiveness of the rod packing replacement is highly sensitive to the emissions factor used to represent emissions from reciprocating compressors. Using the average of several emission factors from the University of Texas at Austin's Emission Factor Improvement Study, the cost per ton of VOC reduced is approximately \$6,600 which is consistent with the RACT benchmark. See Harrison, M., Galloway, K., Hendler, A., Shires, T., Allen, D., Foss, M., Thomas, J., Spinhirne, J., [Natural Gas Industry Methane Emission Factor Improvement Study Final Report](#) Cooperative Agreement No. XA-83376101, Dec. 2011, https://dept.ceer.utexas.edu/ceer/GHG/files/FReports/XA_83376101_Final_Report.pdf.

In the third case, the Department's analysis shows that it is both technically and economically feasible for an affected conventional owner or operator to implement instrument-based leak detection and repair (LDAR) inspections at a conventional well site with an average production of equal to or greater than 15 barrels of oil equivalent (BOE) per day with the frequency of inspections based on the production from each individual well at the well site. The owner or

operator of a conventional well site with an average production of 15 BOE or more per day and with at least one individual well producing 15 BOE or more per day, on average, shall conduct monthly audible, visual, olfactory inspections (AVO) and quarterly instrument-based LDAR inspections of fugitive emissions components. The owner or operator of a conventional well site with an average of 15 BOE or more per day and at least one individual well producing 5 BOE or more but less than 15 BOE per day, on average, shall conduct monthly AVO inspections and annual instrument-based LDAR inspections of fugitive emissions components. In this final-omitted rulemaking, the Department also included an option for the owner or operator of a conventional well site producing, on average, equal to or greater than 15 BOE per day, and at least one well producing, on average, equal to or greater than 5 BOE per day but less than 15 BOE per day to submit to the Department a request for an exemption from the annual instrument-based LDAR requirement. However, the request must include, among other information, a demonstration that the annual LDAR requirement is not RACT (technically or economically feasible) for the well site. If approved, this exemption request will be submitted to EPA as a revision to the Commonwealth's SIP.

In addition to the technically and economically feasible RACT requirements detailed previously, the Commonwealth is responsible for ensuring that the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS is attained and maintained by implementing permanent and Federally enforceable control measures. This final-omitted rulemaking is a primary component of the Commonwealth's strategy of ensuring that the ozone NAAQS are attained and maintained across this Commonwealth. Reductions in VOC emissions, that are achieved following the adoption and implementation of RACT VOC emission control measures for the select conventional oil and natural gas source categories covered by this final-omitted rulemaking, will assist the Commonwealth in making substantial progress in achieving and maintaining the ozone NAAQS. To the extent that a requirement in this final-omitted rulemaking is more stringent than the recommendations of the 2016 O&G CTG, the more stringent requirement is reasonably necessary to attain and maintain the health-based and welfare based 8-hour ozone NAAQS in this Commonwealth and to satisfy related CAA requirements.

VOC and methane emission reduction benefits

The Department estimates that in 2020, sources installed at conventional well sites emitted an estimated 18,971 TPY VOC and that implementation of the control measures in this final-omitted rulemaking could reduce VOC emissions by as much as 9,204 TPY. These VOC emission reductions will contribute to reductions in the formation of ground-level ozone and to achieving and maintaining the ozone NAAQS.

While this final-omitted rulemaking requires VOC emission reductions, methane emissions are also reduced as a co-benefit, because both VOC and methane are emitted from oil and gas operations. Methane is a potent greenhouse gas with a global warming potential more than 28 times that of carbon dioxide over a 100-year time period, according to the EPA. The EPA has identified methane, the primary component of natural gas, as the second-most prevalent greenhouse gas emitted in the United States from human activities. The Department estimates that conventional well sites emitted 365,103 TPY methane in 2020, and that the co-benefit methane emissions reduction from this final-omitted rulemaking may be as much as 175,788 TPY.

The emission reductions for gathering and boosting stations and natural gas processing plants are included in the control of VOC emissions from unconventional oil and natural gas sources final regulation. The Department does not have information and data on how many gathering and boosting stations and natural gas processing plants are used in the conventional industry. Therefore, to avoid double counting of emission reductions, all of the VOC and methane emission reductions from these sources are estimated in the control of VOC emissions from unconventional oil and natural gas sources final regulation.

Furthermore, the technically and economically feasible RACT determinations in this final-omitted rulemaking for storage vessels, reciprocating compressors at well sites and fugitive emissions components result in a greater reduction of VOC emissions than implementing the EPA's RACT recommendations from the 2016 O&G CTG resulting in an additional 304 TPY of VOC and 5,790 TPY of methane emissions reductions.

This final-omitted rulemaking is also consistent with Governor Tom Wolf's strategy to reduce emissions of methane from the oil and natural gas industry in this Commonwealth. In the strategy, announced on January 19, 2016, the Department committed to developing a regulation for existing sources to reduce leaks at existing oil and natural gas facilities. The strategy also states that the Commonwealth will reduce emissions by requiring LDAR inspections and more frequent use of leak-sensing technologies. This final-omitted rulemaking fulfills those parts of the strategy.

Applicability of this final-omitted rulemaking

This final-omitted rulemaking will apply statewide to owners or operators of one or more of the following conventional oil and natural gas sources of VOC emissions which were constructed on or before the effective date of this final-omitted rulemaking: natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controllers, natural gas-driven diaphragm pumps, centrifugal compressors, reciprocating compressors, fugitive emission components and storage vessels installed at conventional well sites, gathering and boosting stations and natural gas processing plants, as well as storage vessels in the natural gas transmission and storage segment.

The Department identified 4,719 conventional owners or operators of approximately 27,260 facilities in this Commonwealth that may be affected by this final-omitted rulemaking. Approximately 3,704 of the 4,719 conventional owners or operators may meet the definition of small business as defined in section 3 of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.3). Based on information supplied by commentators on the proposed combined rulemaking, the Oil and Gas Production Report, and the Department's Air Information Management System (AIMS) database, the Department estimates there are 27,260 conventional well sites. There are also 486 gathering and boosting stations, 15 processing plants, and 120 transmission stations in this Commonwealth that the Department cannot distinguish between conventional and unconventional sources. If any of these sources are used by the conventional industry, they are regulated through this final-omitted rulemaking. The Department estimates that conventional owners or operators have at least 6 storage vessels at 6 conventional well sites and 26,284 pneumatic controllers at 26,284 conventional well sites that will be subject to requirements under this final-omitted rulemaking.

The owners or operators of approximately 95 of 27,260 conventional well sites will be required to implement instrument-based LDAR inspections under this final-omitted rulemaking.

The Department estimates that the total industry-wide cost of complying with this final-omitted rulemaking will be about \$9.8 million per year. However, implementation of the control measures will also potentially save conventional owners or operators about \$15.7 million per year due to a lower natural gas loss rate during production. This cost estimate consists of two major categories of data. The first is the annual cost to implement the RACT requirements for each affected source or affected facility as provided by the EPA in the 2016 O&G CTG and from the Department's own analysis. The second is the number of potentially affected facilities, which was obtained from several data sources including the Department's Oil and Gas Production Report, Environmental Facility Application Compliance Tracking System (eFACTS) database and AIMS. For the owners or operators of conventional well sites or any gathering and boosting stations and natural gas processing plants used by the conventional industry, the anticipated annual cost to comply with the requirements will be based on the type of sources present at the site, the requirements that apply to those sources, and the type of control used to comply.

Most of the anticipated costs are due to new regulatory requirements but many of the costs associated with this final-omitted rulemaking are from common sense practices and controls, some of which conventional owners or operators may already be implementing due to regulatory requirements or voluntary emission reduction programs. An example includes periodic AVO inspections which can prevent natural gas releases, which in turn prevent environmental damage and significant financial losses for the operator. The Department anticipates there will be areas of cost savings that will occur as a result of this final-omitted rulemaking. The Department estimates that a majority of small business stationary sources will be below the applicability thresholds. However, affected small businesses may incur minimal costs as a result of this final-omitted rulemaking and gain net benefits of approximately \$218 per facility or, on average, \$1,258 per owner or operator. Overall, the Department does not anticipate that this final-omitted rulemaking will result in any significant adverse impact on small businesses.

Public Outreach

During the development of the combined rulemaking, the Department consulted with the Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee (AQTAC) and the Small Business Compliance Advisory Committee (SBCAC). On December 14, 2017, the Department presented concepts to AQTAC on a potential rulemaking incorporating the 2016 O&G CTG recommendations. The Department returned to AQTAC on December 13, 2018, for an informational presentation on a preliminary draft Annex A. The proposed combined rulemaking was presented for a vote to AQTAC on April 11, 2019, and SBCAC on April 17, 2019. Both committees concurred with the Department's recommendation to move the proposed rulemaking forward to the Board for consideration.

The Department also conferred with the Citizens Advisory Council's (CAC) Policy and Regulatory Oversight Committee concerning the proposed combined control of VOC emissions from oil and natural gas sources rulemaking on May 7, 2019. On June 18, 2019, the full CAC concurred with the Department's recommendation to move the proposed rulemaking forward to the Board for consideration.

The Department also met with industry and environmental stakeholders to receive additional input on the proposed combined rulemaking. On January 24, 2019, the Department updated the Pennsylvania Grade Crude Development Advisory Council (CDAC) on the status of the rulemaking. On March 21, 2019, the Department provided an informational presentation to the Oil and Gas Technical Advisory Board. On July 8, 2019, the Department met with industry stakeholders, including representatives from the Marcellus Shale Coalition, Penn Energy, Southwestern Energy, Range Resources, and Chesapeake Energy. On August 27, 2019, the Department met with environmental stakeholders, including representatives from PennFuture, Environmental Defense Fund, and the Clean Air Council.

The final-form combined rulemaking was presented to AQTAC on December 9, 2021, the CAC Policy and Regulatory Oversight Committee on January 12, 2022, and the full CAC on January 18, 2022, and SBCAC on January 27, 2022.

E. Summary of Final-Omitted Rulemaking

§ 129.131. General provisions and applicability

Subsection (a) establishes that this final-omitted rulemaking will apply statewide to the owner or operator of natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controllers, natural gas-driven diaphragm pumps, reciprocating compressors, centrifugal compressors, fugitive emissions components and storage vessels installed at conventional well sites, gathering and boosting stations and natural gas process plants, as well as storage vessels in the natural gas transmission and storage segment which were constructed on or before the effective date of this rulemaking.

Subsection (b) provides that compliance with the requirements of this final-omitted rulemaking assures compliance with the requirements of a permit issued under §§ 129.91—129.95 (relating to stationary sources of NO_x and VOCs) or §§ 129.96—129.100 (relating to additional RACT requirements for major sources of NO_x and VOCs) except to the extent the operating permit contains more stringent requirements.

§ 129.132. Definitions, acronyms and EPA methods

Section 129.132 adds definitions, acronyms and EPA methods applicable to this final-omitted rulemaking.

§ 129.133. Storage vessels

Subsection (a)(1) establishes the applicability threshold for the owner or operator of a storage vessel based on potential VOC emissions. Subsection (a)(2) establishes the methodology required for calculating the potential VOC emissions of a storage vessel.

Subsection (b) establishes the compliance requirements for the owner or operator of a storage vessel to reduce VOC emissions by 95.0% by weight or greater by either routing emissions to a control device or installing a floating roof that meets the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart

Kb (relating to standards of performance for volatile organic liquid storage vessels (including petroleum liquid storage vessels) for which construction, reconstruction, or modification commenced after July 23, 1984). If the owner or operator decides to route emissions to a control device, then the cover and closed vent systems must meet the requirements in § 129.138 (relating to covers and closed vent systems).

Subsection (c) provides for exceptions to the emissions limitations and control requirements in subsection (b) based on the actual VOC emissions of a storage vessel and lists compliance demonstration requirements for owners or operators claiming an exception.

Subsection (d) lists three categorical exemptions from the emissions limitations and control requirements of subsection (b).

Subsection (e) lists the requirements for removing a storage vessel from service.

Subsection (f) lists the requirements for a storage vessel returned to service.

Subsection (g) references the recordkeeping and reporting requirements under § 129.140(b) (relating to recordkeeping and reporting) and § 129.140(k)(3)(i) for owners or operators of storage vessels subject to this section.

§ 129.134. Natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controllers

Subsection (a) establishes the applicability for the owner or operator of a natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controller based on the controller's location. Subsection (b) provides for certain exceptions related to this subsection. Subsection (c) establishes VOC emissions limitation requirements. Subsection (d) sets forth compliance demonstration requirements. Subsection (e) identifies the recordkeeping and reporting requirements.

§ 129.135. Natural gas-driven diaphragm pumps

Subsection (a) establishes the applicability for the owner or operator of a natural gas-driven diaphragm pump based on the pump's location.

Subsection (b) establishes the compliance requirements for the owner or operator of a natural gas-driven diaphragm pump to reduce VOC emissions by 95.0% by weight or greater. For natural gas-driven diaphragm pumps located at a conventional well site, the owner or operator shall reduce VOC emissions by connecting the natural gas-driven diaphragm pump to a control device through a closed vent system that meets the requirements of § 129.138(b) and routing the emissions to a control device or process that meets the requirements of § 129.139 (relating to control devices). For natural gas-driven diaphragm pumps located at a natural gas processing plant, the owner or operator shall reduce VOC emissions by maintaining an emission rate of zero standard cubic feet per hour.

Subsection (c) provides for three exceptions to the emissions limitations and control requirements in subsection (b) based on the presence of a control device, the capability of the control device, or technical infeasibility of routing emissions to the control device.

Subsection (d) provides for a categorical exemption for the owner or operator of a natural gas-driven diaphragm pump located at a well site which operates less than 90 days per calendar year, so long as the owner or operator maintains records of the operating days.

Subsection (e) establishes the compliance requirements for the owner or operator when removing a control device or process to which emissions from a natural gas-driven diaphragm pump are routed.

Subsection (f) references the recordkeeping and reporting requirements listed under § 129.140(d) and (k)(3)(iii) for owners or operators of natural gas-driven diaphragm pumps.

§ 129.136. Compressors

Subsection (a) establishes the applicability for the owner or operator of a reciprocating compressor or centrifugal compressor based on the compressor's location.

Subsection (b) establishes the compliance requirements for the owner or operator of a reciprocating compressor choosing to either replace the rod packing or use a rod packing emissions collection system.

Subsection (c) establishes the compliance requirements for the owner or operator of a centrifugal compressor to reduce VOC emissions by 95.0% by weight or greater by connecting to a control device through a cover and closed vent system that meets the requirements of § 129.138.

Subsection (d) lists a categorical exemption from the emissions limitation and control requirements of subsection (c) for centrifugal compressors located at a well site or at an adjacent well site where the compressor services more than one well site.

Subsection (e) references the recordkeeping and reporting requirements listed under § 129.140(e) and (k)(3)(iv) for owners or operators of reciprocating compressors and under § 129.140(f) and (k)(3)(v) for owners or operators of centrifugal compressors.

§ 129.137. Fugitive emissions components

Subsection (a) establishes the applicability for the owner or operator of a fugitive emissions component based on the component's location.

Subsection (b) establishes the average production calculation procedure for a well site.

Subsection (c) establishes the compliance requirements for conventional well sites based on the gas to oil ratio (GOR) of the well and the production of the well site and the individual wells on the well site.

Subsection (d) establishes the LDAR inspection requirements for shut-in conventional well sites.

Subsection (e) establishes the compliance requirements for the owner or operator of a natural gas gathering and boosting station or natural gas processing plant to implement monthly AVO inspections and quarterly LDAR inspections.

Subsection (f) provides an option for owners or operators to request an extension of the LDAR inspection interval.

Subsection (g) establishes the requirement for owners or operators to develop and maintain a written fugitive emissions monitoring plan.

Subsection (h) establishes the verification procedures for optical gas imaging (OGI) equipment identified in the fugitive emissions monitoring plan.

Subsection (i) establishes the verification procedures for gas leak detection equipment using EPA Method 21 identified in the fugitive emissions monitoring plan.

Subsection (j) establishes the requirement for a fugitive emissions detection device to be operated and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer-recommended procedures and as required by the test method or a Department-approved method.

Subsection (k) establishes that the owner or operator may opt to perform the no detectable emissions procedure of section 8.3.2 of EPA Method 21.

Subsection (l) establishes the requirements to repair a leak detected from a fugitive emissions component and to resurvey the fugitive emissions component within 30 days of the leak repair.

Subsection (m) references the recordkeeping and reporting requirements for owners or operators of fugitive emissions components listed under § 129.140(g) and (k)(3)(vi).

§ 129.138. Covers and closed vent systems

Subsection (a) establishes the requirements for the owner or operator of a cover on a storage vessel, reciprocating compressor or centrifugal compressor, including a monthly AVO inspection requirement. The monthly AVO inspection requirement is consistent with the AVO inspection requirement for fugitive emissions components.

Subsection (b) establishes the design, operation and repair requirements for the owner or operator of a closed vent system installed on a subject source.

Subsection (c) establishes the requirement that the owner or operator of a closed vent system perform a design and capacity assessment and allows either a qualified professional engineer or an

in-house engineer, as defined in § 129.132, to perform the assessment as proposed in the 2016 NSPS reconsideration.

Subsection (d) establishes the requirement that the owner or operator conduct a no detectable emissions inspection, as required by subsection (b)(2)(ii).

§ 129.139. Control devices

Subsection (a) establishes the applicability for the owner or operator of a control device based on whether the control device receives a liquid, gas, vapor or fume from one or more subject storage vessel, natural gas-driven diaphragm pump or wet seal centrifugal compressor degassing system. The owner or operator must operate each control device whenever a liquid, gas, vapor or fume is routed to the device and must maintain the records under § 129.140(j) and submit reports under § 129.140(k)(3)(ix).

Subsection (b) establishes the general compliance requirements for the owner or operator of a control device. Subsections (c)—(i) outline specific requirements that apply for each type of control device in addition to the general requirements in subsection (b).

Subsection (c) lists the compliance requirements for a manufacturer-tested combustion device, meaning a control device tested under 40 CFR 60.5413a(d) (relating to what are the performance testing procedures for control devices used to demonstrate compliance at my centrifugal compressor and storage vessel affected facilities?). The performance testing procedure in 40 CFR 60.5413a(d) is incorporated by reference in Chapter 122 (relating to national standards of performance for new stationary sources).

Subsection (d) lists the compliance requirements for an enclosed combustion device.

Subsection (e) lists the compliance requirements for a flare. The flare must meet the requirements under 40 CFR 60.18(b) (relating to general control device and work practice requirements).

Subsection (f) lists the compliance requirements for a carbon adsorption system.

Subsection (g) lists specific compliance requirements for a regenerative carbon adsorption system.

Subsection (h) lists specific compliance requirements for a non-regenerative carbon adsorption system.

Subsection (i) lists the compliance requirements for condensers and other non-destructive control devices.

Subsection (j) identifies the general performance test requirements.

Subsection (k) identifies the performance test method for demonstrating compliance with the control device weight-percent VOC emission reduction requirements referenced in subsections (c), (d), (f) and (i).

Subsection (l) identifies the performance test method for demonstrating compliance with the outlet concentration requirements referenced in subsections (d), (f) and (i).

Subsection (m) lists the continuous parameter monitoring system requirements (CPMS) for control devices that are required to install CPMS.

§ 129.140. Recordkeeping and reporting

In an effort to assist the regulated community, the Department created a separate section for all the applicable recordkeeping and reporting requirements pertaining to each regulated source.

Subsection (a) establishes the general requirement for all owners or operators of regulated sources to maintain applicable records onsite or at the nearest local field office for 5 years and for the records to be made available to the Department upon request.

Subsection (b) establishes the specific recordkeeping requirements for storage vessels.

Subsection (c) establishes the specific recordkeeping requirements for natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controllers.

Subsection (d) establishes the specific recordkeeping requirements for natural gas-driven diaphragm pumps.

Subsection (e) establishes the specific recordkeeping requirements for reciprocating compressors.

Subsection (f) establishes the specific recordkeeping requirements for centrifugal compressors.

Subsection (g) establishes the specific recordkeeping requirements for fugitive emissions components.

Subsection (h) establishes the specific recordkeeping requirements for covers.

Subsection (i) establishes the specific recordkeeping requirements for closed vent systems.

Subsection (j) establishes the specific recordkeeping requirements for control devices.

Subsection (k) establishes the reporting requirements for all owners or operators of regulated sources to submit an initial report 1 year after the effective date of this rulemaking and subsequent annual reports, including an option to extend the due date of the initial report.

F. Summary of Comments and Responses on the Proposed Combined Rulemaking

The Board adopted the proposed combined rulemaking at its meeting on December 17, 2019. On May 23, 2020, the proposed combined rulemaking was published for a 66-day comment period at 50 Pa.B. 2633 (May 23, 2020). Three public hearings were held virtually on June 23, 24, and 25, 2020. Over 100 individuals provided verbal testimony. The comment period closed on July 27, 2020. The Board received over 4,500 comments, including comments from the House and Senate Environmental Resources and Energy Committees (ERE Committees), members of the General Assembly and the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC). The majority of the commentators expressed their support of the VOC RACT requirements, noting the need to address air emissions from the oil and gas sector. The comments received on the proposed combined rulemaking are summarized in this section and are addressed in a comment and response document which is available on the Department's website.

IRRC states that section 2 of the Regulatory Review Act (RRA) (71 P.S. § 745.2) explains why the General Assembly felt it was necessary to establish a regulatory review process. IRRC also notes that section 2(a) of the RRA states, “[t]o the greatest extent possible, this act is intended to encourage the resolution of objections to a regulation and the reaching of a consensus among the commission, the standing committees, interested parties and the agency.” The vast majority of public comments are from individuals and environmental advocacy organizations in support of the proposal, but still urging the Department to adopt more restrictive requirements in this final-form rulemaking. Numerous comments were also from parties representing the oil and gas industries who believe that the regulatory mandates for existing sources should not be more stringent than requirements for new or modified sources or the EPA's 2016 O&G CTG. Since the issues raised by the commentators are often in direct conflict with each other, IRRC recommends that the Board continue to actively seek input from all interested parties, including lawmakers, as it develops the final version of the rulemaking.

In response, the Board and the Department have and will continue to actively seek input from all interested parties, including lawmakers. In addition to the review outlined under the RRA, members of the General Assembly, particularly the House and Senate ERE Committees, have extensive involvement in the development of the Department's rulemakings through members appointed to the Department's advisory committees and four seats on the Board. The Board and the Department consistently seek opportunities to engage productively with interested parties, including the Legislature. The Department's Legislative Office works to address issues and ensure that the Legislature is informed of actions by the Department and the Board. Additionally, members of the public have several opportunities to provide input on the Department's rulemakings. This includes the formal proposed rulemaking public comment and hearing process, as well as opportunities to provide informal public comment at the Department's advisory committee meetings during both the proposed and final stages of development of a rulemaking.

1. This final-omitted rulemaking satisfies the criteria under the Regulatory Review Act.

a. This final-omitted rulemaking is supported by acceptable data.

IRRC states that Section 28 of the RAF relates to the regulatory review criterion of whether the regulation is supported by acceptable data. If data is the basis for a regulation, this section of the RAF asks for a description of the data, how the data was obtained, and how it meets the acceptability standard for empirical, replicable and testable data that is supported by documentation, statistics, reports, studies or research. IRRC notes that the Board states that the basis for this proposed rulemaking is the Federally mandated RACT requirements found in the 2016 O&G CTG. Commentators representing the oil and gas industry assert that the 2016 O&G CTG requirements are similar to performance standards developed for “new” or “modified” sources and question the appropriateness of applying these standards to existing sources such as conventional oil and gas wells. IRRC asks the Board to explain how it determined that the proposed standards are appropriate for both the conventional and unconventional oil and gas industries in this Commonwealth.

In response, the Board established control measures in this final-omitted rulemaking that are only applicable to conventional sources of VOC emissions installed at conventional well sites, gathering and boosting stations and natural gas processing plants. This final-omitted rulemaking implements control measures to reduce VOC emissions from five specific categories of air contamination sources, including storage vessels; natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controllers; natural gas-driven diaphragm pumps; reciprocating and centrifugal compressors; and fugitive emissions components at conventional well sites.

The EPA selected these categories of sources for RACT recommendations because the information gathered and reviewed by the EPA indicated that they are significant sources of VOC emissions. In developing the 2016 O&G CTG, the EPA reviewed the oil and natural gas NSPS, including several technical support documents prepared in support of the NSPS actions for the oil and natural gas industry, as well as existing state and local VOC emission reduction approaches, and information on emissions, available VOC emission control technologies, and costs. In producing and reviewing this information, the EPA’s Scientific Integrity Policy establishes that the EPA adheres to the 2002 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Information Quality Guidelines, the 2005 OMB Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review, the EPA’s Quality Policy for assuring the collection and use of sound, scientific data and information, the EPA’s Peer Review Handbook for internal and external review of scientific products, and the EPA’s Information Quality Guidelines for maximizing the transparency, integrity and utility of information published on the EPA’s website.

During the development of the proposed combined rulemaking, the Department made initial RACT determinations based on the entirety of information available to the Department, including the data and analysis provided in the 2016 O&G CTG as well as 2017 oil and gas production data reported to the Department’s Oil and Gas Production Report and 2017 emissions data reported to the Department’s air emissions inventory. In the time since the 2016 O&G CTG was issued by the EPA, the Department acquired additional information during the public comment period and from the 2020 oil and gas production data and air emissions data, which was used in a cost/benefit

reanalysis (2020 reanalysis) to establish the RACT determinations in this final-omitted rulemaking.

b. This final-omitted rulemaking sufficiently protects public health, safety and welfare and this Commonwealth's natural resources.

IRRC also remains concerned that the final-omitted regulation fulfills the Board's obligation to protect the quality and sustainability of the Commonwealth's natural resources. To that end, IRRC asks the Board to explain how the standards set forth in the regulation meet the criterion under section 5.2(b)(2) of the RRA (71 P.S. § 745.5b(b)(2)) pertaining to the protection of the public health, safety and welfare and the effect on the Commonwealth's natural resources while imposing reasonable requirements upon the oil and natural gas industry.

In response, the Board maintains that this final-omitted rulemaking is protective of the public health, safety and welfare, as well as the environment. The implementation of the VOC emission control measures in this final-omitted rulemaking is reasonably necessary to protect the public health and welfare and the environment from harmful ground-level ozone pollution. Reduced levels of VOC and methane emissions will also promote healthful air quality and ensure the continued protection of the environment and public health and welfare. The control measures in this final-omitted rulemaking, when implemented, are expected to provide VOC emission reductions of approximately 9,204 TPY. The EPA estimated that the monetized health benefits of attaining the 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS of 0.075 ppm range from \$8.3 billion to \$18 billion on a national basis by 2020. Prorating that benefit to this Commonwealth, based on population, results in a public health benefit of \$337 million to \$732 million. Similarly, the EPA estimated that the monetized health benefits of attaining the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS of 0.070 ppm range from \$1.5 billion to \$4.5 billion on a national basis by 2025. Prorating that benefit to this Commonwealth, based on population, results in a public health benefit of \$63 million to \$189 million. The Board is not stating that these estimated monetized health benefits would all be the result of implementing the RACT measures contained in this final-omitted rulemaking, but the EPA estimates are indicative of the benefits to Commonwealth residents of attaining and maintaining the 2008 and 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS. In addition to causing adverse human and animal health effects, the EPA has concluded that ground-level ozone affects vegetation and ecosystems, leading to reductions in agricultural crop and commercial forest yields. Furthermore, the same measures in this final-omitted rulemaking that control VOC emissions will also control methane emissions. When fully implemented, the control measures for VOCs are anticipated to reduce approximately 175,788 TPY of methane as a co-benefit. Methane is a potent greenhouse gas (GHG) with a higher global warming potential than carbon dioxide (CO₂).

c. This final-omitted rulemaking will not have a negative economic or fiscal impact to this Commonwealth.

IRRC notes that the fiscal analysis provided by the Board estimates that the proposed regulation will cost operators approximately \$35.3 million (based on 2012 dollars) without consideration of the economic benefit of the saved natural gas. The value of the saved natural gas, in 2012 dollars, will yield a savings of approximately \$9.9 million, resulting in a total net cost of \$25.4 million. These figures were based on 2012 EPA cost estimates contained in the 2016 O&G CTG.

Commentators question the accuracy of the fiscal analysis because the supporting data is outdated and is not specific to this Commonwealth's oil and gas industry. IRRC agrees with the concerns raised by interested parties. In order for IRRC to determine whether this rulemaking is in the public interest, the Board must submit a revised estimate of the costs and/or savings to the regulated community using data that is current and Commonwealth industry specific.

In response, the Board provides an estimate of the cost and savings to the regulated community using current and Commonwealth-specific data in the RAF for this final-omitted rulemaking. The Department's analysis estimates that implementation of the control measures in this final-omitted rulemaking will cost affected conventional owners and operators as a whole approximately \$9.8 million (2021 dollars) without consideration of the economic benefit of the saved natural gas. The value of the saved natural gas using \$1.70 per thousand cubic feet (Mcf) as suggested by several commentators yields a savings of \$15.7 million (2021 dollars). This results in a total net benefit of \$5.9 million (2021 dollars), which is based on some of the worst conditions of the past decade. As the price of natural gas increases, the impact on industry is mitigated; at approximately \$5.00 per Mcf during the 2020/2021 timeframe for the development of this final-omitted rulemaking, the impact on industry is a net benefit of \$36.4 million (2021 dollars). Although the natural gas saved as a result of implementation of this final-omitted rulemaking is significant, when the Department made the individual RACT determinations for the sources recommended in the 2016 O&G CTG, the value of the natural gas saved was not counted.

d. This final-omitted rulemaking does not conflict with existing statutes or regulations.

IRRC notes that the Department states that it “concurred with the EPA’s proposal to allow in-house engineers to certify the determination of technical infeasibility to route pump emissions to a control and the design and capacity of a closed vent system, **regardless of professional licensure.**” The proposed rulemaking defines “*In-house engineer*” as an individual who is qualified by education, technical knowledge, and experience to make an engineering judgment and the required specific technical certification. Since there is no requirement that the individual be employed by the facility, IRRC asks the Board to clarify the intent of this provision, including the problem or situation that is being addressed, why it is needed and whether the term “*in-house engineer*” should be retained or, as some commentators have suggested, be replaced with “*qualified engineer.*” IRRC also asks the Board to explain how the term is consistent with the “Engineer, Land Surveyor, and Geologist Registration Law” and the regulations governing professional qualified engineers and engineers-in-training. Additionally, IRRC requests that the Board include a fiscal analysis that compares the costs of using an “*in-house engineer*” versus a “*qualified professional engineer*” under these sections. Finally, IRRC states that the Board should explain how permitting an unlicensed individual to certify the system he or she may have designed is in the public interest.

In response, the Board explains that the EPA added the term “*In-house engineer*” to the Reconsideration of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOOOa of the NSPS (relating to standards of performance for crude oil and natural gas facilities for which construction, modification or reconstruction commenced after September 18, 2015) to address a specific concern about the availability and costs associated with limiting the certification of closed vent system design and capacity or technical infeasibility of routing natural gas-driven diaphragm pump emissions to a

control to a “*Qualified professional engineer*” as defined in § 129.122. Because of the interrelatedness of the NSPS and the 2016 O&G CTG requirements, the Board pro-actively added this flexibility to the proposed combined rulemaking. The EPA stated in the Reconsideration that they “believe that an in-house engineer with knowledge of the design and operation of the [closed vent system] is capable of performing these certifications, regardless of licensure...” According to the EPA, a qualified professional engineer certification would cost \$547 while allowing an in-house engineer to make the certification would cost \$358. Unfortunately, the term “*In-house engineer*” was not defined in the NSPS or the 2016 O&G CTG, so the Board proposed the definition given. Based on comments received, the Board revised the definition of “*In-house engineer*” to require that the “*In-house engineer*” be employed by the same owner or operator as the responsible official that signs the certification required under § 129.130(k).

The term “in-house engineer” is consistent with the “Engineer, Land Surveyor and Geologist Registration Law” (Registration Law) and the regulations governing professional qualified engineers and engineers-in-training in that it narrowly defines who is permitted to perform the certification of a natural gas-driven diaphragm pump or closed vent system in accordance with section 152 of the Registration Law, 63 P.S. § 152 (relating to exemption from licensure and registration). Clause (i) of the definition in this final-omitted rulemaking recognizes that in accordance with sections 152(f) and (g) of the Registration Law, the individual must be an employee of the owner or operator. Clause (ii) of the definition tightens the criteria of sections 152(f), (g), and (j) by requiring the individual be qualified by education, technical knowledge, and expertise in the design and operation of a natural gas-driven diaphragm pump or closed vent system as those subsections of the Registration Law do not specify the level of technical knowledge required.

There are two provisions in this final-omitted rulemaking that authorize use of an in-house engineer: § 129.135(c)(3)(ii)(A) and § 129.138(c)(1). The provision in § 129.135(c)(3)(ii)(A) allows an in-house engineer to perform an assessment to determine whether it is technically infeasible for a natural gas-driven diaphragm pump to connect to a control device or process. The provision in § 129.138(c)(1) allows an in-house engineer to perform a design and capacity assessment to ensure an installed closed vent system is sufficient to convey emissions to a control device that can accommodate those emissions. Authorizing the use of an in-house engineer in these two limited situations is in the public interest because it will not affect “the public safety or health or the property of some other person or entity” in accordance with sections 152(f) and (g) of the Registration Law. In fact, in the 2016 O&G CTG, the EPA allowed for this certification by either a licensed professional engineer (PE) or an in-house engineer because in-house engineers may be more knowledgeable about site design and control than a third-party PE.

e. The requirements, implementation procedures and timetables for compliance of this final-omitted rulemaking are reasonable.

IRRC notes that the effective date of the proposed regulation is immediately upon publication as a final-omitted rulemaking in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*. Commentators suggest that a minimum of a 60-day effective date would give owners or operators additional time to reasonably transition into the new requirements so that existing facilities are not required to immediately implement and comply with the new rules. Others suggest that owners or operators will need considerably more

time to determine if their sources are required to comply with the rulemaking, as well as mobilize the necessary resources to perform the required inspections. In addition, interested parties representing the oil and gas industry request that time periods between inspections be extended or made consistent with current 2016 O&G CTG timeframes to avoid duplicate compliance activities. IRRC encourages the Board to work with the regulated community to resolve issues pertaining to inspection timeframes and recommends revising the effective date of the rulemaking to give sufficient time to the regulated community to implement and comply with requirements or explain why it is unnecessary to do so.

In response, this final-omitted rulemaking will be effective upon notice or publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*; however, the Board notes that compliance dates are established throughout this final-omitted rulemaking to provide affected owners or operators sufficient time to identify and comply with the applicable requirements.

IRRC notes that the *Benefits, Costs and Compliance* section of the Preamble describes how the VOC RACT requirements established by this proposed rulemaking will be incorporated into “an existing permit.” IRRC asks how the process to incorporate the requirements into an existing permit will be implemented based on the compliance schedule in Section 29F of the RAF (pertaining to expected date by which permits, licenses or other approvals must be obtained). IRRC asks the Board to provide a more detailed explanation of the process contained in this section and how it will be implemented.

In response, the Board explains that the incorporation of the requirements of this final-omitted rulemaking into an existing permit will follow the requirements of § 127.463 (relating to operating permit revisions to incorporate applicable standards). Owners or operators will not be required to submit an application for amendments to an existing operating permit. Instead, the requirements will be incorporated when the permit is renewed, if less than 3 years remain in the permit term, as specified under § 127.463(c). If 3 years or more remain in the permit term, the requirements would be incorporated as applicable requirements in the permit within 18 months of the promulgation of the final-omitted rulemaking, as required under § 127.463(b).

IRRC states that interested parties representing environmental concerns commend the Board for including alternative leak detection methods in the rulemaking. IRRC asks the Board to explain the approval process for alternative leak detection methods and whether alternative leak detection methods will be required to achieve equivalent emission reductions as currently allowed devices or methods. Additionally, IRRC asks the Board to describe the requirements and approval process for alternative leak detection methods in the Preamble to the final-omitted rulemaking.

In response, the Board explains that the Department has adopted a performance-based approach for evaluating leak detection equipment and the equipment’s documented ability to measure the compounds of interest at the detection level necessary to demonstrate compliance with the applicable requirement. In many cases, the technology has been evaluated by the EPA and appropriate quality assurance requirements have been specified. In addition to Method 21 and 40 CFR 60.18, 40 CFR 98.234 (relating to monitoring and QA/QC requirements) includes a list of other appropriate technologies and requirements. Since the Department’s criteria are performance based, an owner or operator seeking to use an alternative method should provide documented

evidence that the alternative technology is capable of detecting the leak at the specified leak threshold. For example, an alternative leak detection method with the appropriate performance criterion may be specified in a related, though not specifically applicable, regulation such as an NSPS or National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants.

f. This final-omitted rulemaking is needed.

IRRC notes that the Preamble and the RAF do not adequately describe the rationale or need for certain requirements or exclusions. Commentators representing environmental concerns identify two key provisions that they say are contrary to the goals of this rulemaking. The first is the exemption of low-producing wells from the requirements of LDAR inspections. The second one is the "step down" provision that allows owners or operations to decrease the frequency of LDAR inspections if the percentage of leaking components is less than 2% for two consecutive quarterly inspections. Owners or operators would have the option to reduce the inspection frequency to semi-annually. Opponents of these two measures say it is "faulty and risky" for the Department to assume that conventional operations do not emit at levels high enough to have a significant impact on air quality and climate. IRRC asks the Board to explain the need for each provision and how determinations were made, as well what data was used to justify the exemptions. Section 11 of the RAF also states that the Department determined that owners or operators must conduct quarterly LDAR inspections at their facilities, as opposed to the recommended semiannual frequency in the 2016 O&G CTG. IRRC asks the Board to explain the need for the quarterly LDAR inspection requirement, the low production threshold LDAR exemption, and the LDAR stepdown provision and how the determinations were made, as well what data was used to the justify the exemptions or more stringent regulations.

In response, the Board explains that the control measures in this final-omitted rulemaking are reasonably necessary to attain and maintain both the 2008 and 2015 ozone NAAQS. The Department removed the stepdown provision and altered the production thresholds for LDAR requirements in this final-omitted rulemaking. For fugitive emission components, the proposed combined rulemaking established monthly AVO inspections and quarterly instrument based LDAR inspections for well sites with a well that produces, on average, 15 BOE per well per day. The proposed combined rulemaking also established a stepdown provision which enabled owners or operators to track the percentage of leaking components at each inspection and, if in two consecutive inspections there were less than 2% of components leaking, the owner or operator could reduce the quarterly schedule of instrument based LDAR to semiannual. However, the Department's analysis shows that it is cost effective to implement instrument based LDAR at conventional well sites with an average production of 15 BOE per day, with the frequency based on individual well production on the well site. For applicable conventional well sites with at least one well that produces equal to or greater than 15 BOE per day the owner or operator must perform quarterly instrument based LDAR inspections. For applicable conventional well sites with at least one well that is less than 15 BOE per day and equal to or greater than 5 BOE per day, the owner or operator must perform annual instrument based LDAR inspections. The owner or operator is required to track well site production and the individual production of each well on the conventional well site on an annual basis. The owner or operator may reduce the inspection frequency based on the production calculations which shows two consecutive years of production

in the lower category. The owner or operator shall increase the inspection frequency immediately if the production calculations show an increase that is subject to more frequent inspections.

IRRC notes that representatives from the oil and gas industry observe that no analysis has been shared by the Board to support the Department's conclusion that the proposed requirements that are more stringent than the EPA's 2016 O&G CTG "are reasonably necessary" to achieve or maintain the NAAQS. Commentators question the need to exceed the 2016 O&G CTG when this Commonwealth is near universal compliance with the 1997, 2008 and 2015 ozone standards. IRRC further notes that the commentators explain that the state is not required to rely on the recommendations of the 2016 O&G CTG to establish the proposed rulemaking. Instead, it could make RACT determinations for a particular source on a case-by-case basis considering the technological and economic feasibility of the individual source.

In response, the Board agrees that the ambient air ozone monitoring data demonstrates that this Commonwealth is in near universal compliance with the 1997, 2008, and 2015 ozone NAAQS. The Department's analysis of the 2020 ambient air ozone season monitoring data shows that all ozone samplers in this Commonwealth are monitoring attainment of the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS except three: the Bristol sampler in Bucks County, and the Philadelphia Air Management Services Northeast Airport and Northeast Waste samplers in Philadelphia County. All ambient air ozone samplers in this Commonwealth are projected to monitor attainment of the 1997 and 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS. However, the Department must ensure that the 1997, 2008 and 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS continue to be attained and *maintained* by implementing permanent and federally enforceable control measures.

Additionally, section 182(b)(2) of the CAA requires states with moderate ozone nonattainment areas to revise their SIPs to include RACT for sources of VOC emissions covered by CTG documents issued by the EPA prior to the area's date of attainment of the applicable ozone NAAQS. More importantly, section 184(b)(1)(B) of the CAA requires states in the OTR, including this Commonwealth, submit a SIP revision requiring implementation of RACT for all sources of VOC emissions in the state covered by a specific CTG and not just for those sources located in designated nonattainment areas of the state. Consequently, since this Commonwealth is not designated by the EPA as in attainment with the 2015 ozone NAAQS and is not monitoring compliance Statewide with the 2015 ozone NAAQS, the Commonwealth's SIP must include regulations applicable Statewide to control VOC emissions from oil and natural gas sources that are not regulated elsewhere in Chapter 129. These sources were selected by the EPA because data and information has indicated that they are significant sources of VOC emissions.

The Department is obligated under the CAA to analyze the source sector, as defined in the 2016 O&G CTG, and regulate sources that have control techniques or equipment that is "reasonably available." The EPA issues guidance, in the form of a CTG, in place of regulations where the guidelines will be "substantially as effective as regulations" in reducing VOC emissions from a product or source category in ozone nonattainment areas. In other words, the 2016 O&G CTG has no legally binding effects. While the EPA provided information and RACT recommendations through the 2016 O&G CTG for VOC emissions, it is up to the Department to determine what is RACT for each source category of VOC emissions. As explicitly stated by the EPA in the 2016 O&G CTG, state air pollution control agencies are free to implement other technically-sound

approaches that are consistent with the CAA and the EPA's regulations. See 81 FR 74798, 74799. The EPA also further clarified that "the information contained in the CTG document is provided only as guidance" and "this guidance does not change, or substitute for, requirements specified in applicable sections of the CAA or the EPA's regulations; nor is it a regulation itself." *Id.* While the EPA will ultimately need to approve the Department's RACT determinations by reviewing and approving the revision to the Commonwealth's SIP, the Department has made the initial RACT determinations in this final-omitted rulemaking based on the entirety of information available to the Department, including the 2016 O&G CTG.

The Department's obligation is to affirmatively determine what constitutes RACT for the source group identified in the 2016 O&G CTG and the EPA's provision of guidance and data in the 2016 O&G CTG does not obliterate that legal requirement. In the time since the 2016 O&G CTG was issued by the EPA, the Department acquired additional information and current emissions data specific to this Commonwealth that it analyzed to determine the RACT emission limitations and requirements established in this final-omitted rulemaking.

The Department determined that the recommendations provided in the 2016 O&G CTG for natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controllers, natural gas driven-diaphragm pumps, and centrifugal compressors are RACT for sources in this Commonwealth. The EPA recommendations in the 2016 O&G CTG for storage vessels, reciprocating compressors, and fugitive emissions components were determined not to be RACT in this Commonwealth. The Department conducted a reanalysis to determine RACT for these three categories of sources: storage vessels, reciprocating compressor rod packing, and fugitive emissions components. The information used in the Department's analysis was obtained from the Department's Air Emission Inventory, Oil and Gas Production Database, and information provided by industry trade associations from the public comment period for the proposed combined rulemaking.

The quarterly LDAR inspection requirement for conventional well sites with a well that produces, on average, 15 BOE per well per day is reasonably necessary to achieve and maintain the NAAQS for ozone and is technically and economically feasible. For applicable conventional well sites with at least one well that is less than 15 BOE per day and equal to or greater than 5 BOE per day, the owner or operator must perform annual instrument based LDAR inspections. The Department determined that this is also reasonably necessary to achieve and maintain the NAAQS for ozone and is technically and economically feasible. Additionally, the Department notes that the leak rate-based LDAR stepdown provision has been removed in this final-omitted rulemaking.

To address the comment about case-by-case RACT determinations, the Board was incorrect in suggesting in the Preamble for the proposed combined rulemaking that a case-by-case RACT determination is available for this CTG-based rule. The Board decided not to exercise its discretion to conduct case-by-case RACT analysis for this final-omitted rulemaking. The process for submitting RACT determinations on a case-by-case basis to the EPA is administratively burdensome, particularly given the larger number of regulated facilities. Instead, for this final-omitted rulemaking, the Department modified the EPA's "presumptive norm" RACT recommendations. As stated by the EPA in a Federal Register Notice on September 17, 1979, titled, "State Implementation Plans; General Preamble for Proposed Rulemaking on Approval of

Plan Revisions for Nonattainment Areas— Supplement (on Control Techniques Guidelines)”: “Along with information, each CTG contains recommendations to the States of what EPA calls the “presumptive norm” for RACT, based on EPA’s current evaluation of the capabilities and problems general to the industry. Where the States finds the presumptive norm applicable to an individual source or group of sources, EPA recommends that the State adopt requirements consistent with the presumptive norm level in order to include RACT limitations in the SIP.” 44 FR 53761 (September 17, 1979).

g. This final-omitted rulemaking will not negatively impact small businesses.

IRRC notes that section 5(a)(12.1) of the RRA (71 P.S. § 745.5(a)(12.1)) requires promulgating agencies to provide a regulatory flexibility analysis and to consider various methods of reducing the impact of the proposed regulation on small business. IRRC does not believe that the Board has met its statutory requirement of providing a regulatory flexibility analysis or considering various methods of reducing the impact the proposed regulation will have on small business in its responses to various sections and questions in the RAF. It is unclear from the RAF whether the 303 conventional wells subject to LDAR inspections are owned by small businesses. However, commentators believe most, if not all, are small businesses and strongly disagree that they will incur minimal costs as a result of the proposed rulemaking. In Section 15 of the RAF, the Board states that “further analysis is required to determine if any of the affected sources are owned or operated by small businesses.” IRRC asks how the Board determined that costs would be minimal if it is unknown whether any of the affected sources are owned by small businesses. IRRC agrees with the commentators that further analysis is needed to determine the financial impact on small businesses and asks the Board to provide the required regulatory flexibility analysis when it submits the final-omitted rulemaking.

In response, the Board notes that as stated in the RAF for the proposed combined rulemaking, of the 71,229 conventional wells reporting production, only 303 were found to be above the 15 BOE/day production threshold as reported in the Department’s 2017 oil and gas production database and would have fugitive emissions component requirements. Upon further analysis by the Board, it seems that only 199 of the previously identified 303 conventional wells were potentially subject to the proposed LDAR requirements for fugitive emissions. In the analysis for the proposed combined rulemaking, the Board examined individual wells, not well sites. It is difficult to determine at the individual well level how many are owned or operated by small businesses as there may be several wells per well site. However, the costs to the owners or operators of those 199 conventional wells would have been minimal, because the Board’s cost analysis for quarterly LDAR was based on hiring a contractor, not purchasing equipment, hiring and training personnel, and conducting quarterly surveys.

The Board identified 4,719 client ID numbers for potentially affected owners or operators of facilities in this Commonwealth using the Department’s eFACTS and AIMS databases and the NAICS codes covered by the 2016 O&G CTG. These facilities include approximately 27,260 conventional well sites, 486 gathering and boosting stations, and 15 natural gas processing facilities in this Commonwealth. Of these potential 4,719 conventional owners or operators, approximately 3,704 may meet the definition of small business as defined in section 3 of the RRA. However, it is possible that far fewer than the 4,719 conventional owners or operators will be

subject to the control measures of this final-omitted rulemaking, depending on the amount of VOC emissions that are emitted by the affected sources they own or operate or if they are subject to other regulations in Chapter 129. While many of the anticipated costs are due to new regulatory requirements, many of the costs associated with this final-omitted rulemaking are from what the Board believes are best management practices and controls that affected owners or operators may already be implementing. Additionally, the Board notes that the EPA did not distinguish between unconventional and conventional sources of emissions in the 2016 O&G CTG, and the Board does not have the authority to exempt sources from Federal requirements.

In this final-omitted rulemaking, the Board estimates that there are 27,260 conventional well sites with 68,519 producing conventional wells. Based on comments, the Board estimates there is approximately 1 storage vessel per well site; of these, only 6 are estimated to have VOC emissions that would require control, for a cost of approximately \$185,453 (2021 dollars) and reducing 71 TPY VOC yielding \$2,612 per ton reduced. For natural gas continuous bleed pneumatic controllers, based on comments and assuming those that are subject to Federal regulation are in compliance, the Board estimates there are 26,284 natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controllers that would require replacement. The cost to replace these natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controllers is estimated to be \$9.1 million (2021 dollars). This would result in a VOC emission reduction of 8,336 TPY at a cost of \$1,093 per ton reduced and an estimated savings in natural gas of \$14.3 million (2021 dollars), or \$546 in savings per natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controller replaced.

Of the 27,260 conventional well sites, the Board estimates that 64 well sites with 289 wells would be required to implement quarterly instrument-based LDAR and 31 well sites with 970 wells would be required to implement annual instrument-based LDAR. This would cost an estimated \$482,408 (2021 dollars) and result in approximately 797 TPY VOC emissions reduction or \$605 per ton reduced. The Board estimates that implementation of LDAR at these well sites would result in an estimated savings in natural gas of approximately \$1.4 million (2021 dollars), or \$14,447 in savings per facility conducting LDAR. These cost and savings figures represent a net benefit to the conventional industry of \$889,129 which implies a financial benefit, not an impact, to the conventional industry. Therefore, the Board estimates total industry costs for conventional operators will be \$9.8 million (in 2021 dollars), the total industry savings will be \$15.7 million, for a total net benefit of \$5.9 million.

In addition, those well sites all have one or more high producing wells. High producing wells generate the most oil, which leads to higher revenue and profits. In other words, for the conventional O&G industry, only the 95 highest producing well sites out of 27,260 well sites will be subject to the LDAR requirements. To the extent that the regulated well sites, which represent the 0.3% highest producing well sites, are small businesses, the economic burden will be small because these are among the very highest revenue generating well sites. Additional details on small businesses and the effects of this final-omitted rulemaking on small businesses can be found in Sections 15, 24 and 27 of the RAF.

2. Act 52 of 2016 does not apply to this final-omitted rulemaking.

IRRC comments that section 1207(b) of the Pennsylvania Grade Crude Development Act, the act of June 23, 2016 (P.L. 375, No. 52) (58 P.S. §§ 1201—1208), known as Act 52, requires any rulemaking concerning conventional oil and gas wells that is considered by the Board must “be undertaken separately and independently of unconventional wells or other subjects and shall include a regulatory analysis form submitted to the Independent Regulatory Review Commission that is restricted to the subject of conventional oil and gas wells.” IRRC notes that lawmakers and commentators state that the Board has violated clear legislative directives by proposing a VOC emissions rule that includes requirements for conventional oil and gas well owners and operators along with, not “separately and independently” from, requirements for unconventional well operations. IRRC further notes that the Board has not prepared or submitted an RAF restricted to the need and impact of the rulemaking on the conventional oil and gas industry. IRRC highlights that lawmakers request that the provisions that apply to the conventional oil and gas industry be withdrawn from the rulemaking. IRRC asks the Board to explain how it has and will comply with the legislative directives of Act 52 of 2016.

In response, the Board explains that this final-omitted rulemaking establishes control measures that are only applicable to conventional sources of VOC emissions installed at conventional well sites, gathering and boosting stations and natural gas processing plants.

On March 15, 2022, the Board adopted the combined rulemaking (both conventional and unconventional sources) as a final-form rulemaking. Also, on March 15, 2022, the Board submitted the final-form combined rulemaking to IRRC for its consideration. On April 26, 2022, the House ERE Committee sent a letter to IRRC indicating their disapproval of the combined rulemaking due to their interpretation of language in the Pennsylvania Grade Crude Development Act, the act of June 23, 2016 (P.L. 375, No. 52) (58 P.S. §§ 1201—1208), known as Act 52. The letter stated the House ERE Committee’s position that Act 52 requires the Board to submit two rulemaking packages — one that applies to unconventional oil and natural gas sources and one that applies to conventional oil and natural gas sources. The House ERE Committee’s letter to IRRC initiated the concurrent resolution process under section 7(d) of the RRA (71 P.S. § 745.7(d)) which allows the General Assembly to adopt a resolution that disapproves and permanently bars a final regulation from taking effect.

While the Board disagrees with the House ERE Committee’s interpretation of Act 52, to address their concerns and avoid further delay, on May 4, 2022, the Board withdrew the combined rulemaking from IRRC’s consideration. The Board then revised the combined rulemaking to apply only to unconventional oil and natural gas sources. On June 14, 2022, the Board adopted the revised Control of VOC Emissions from *Unconventional* Oil and Natural Gas Sources final-form rulemaking (referred to as the unconventional rulemaking). On July 21, 2022, IRRC unanimously approved the unconventional rulemaking.

Given the concerns expressed by the House ERE Committee and other commentators during the regulatory process for the combined rulemaking, the Department developed this separate rulemaking, including a separate Regulatory Analysis Form, to control VOC emissions from *conventional* oil and natural gas sources of VOC emissions.

IRRC also commented that commentators representing the conventional oil and gas industry are uncertain whether the proposed regulation applies to conventional oil and gas operations in this Commonwealth. IRRC commented that these industry representatives claim that the regulation would apply to some equipment utilized in conventional oil and gas operations but were informed that this regulation would not apply to their sector of the industry. IRRC asks the Board to clarify which provisions, if any, apply to the conventional oil and gas industry.

In response, the Board explains that given the concerns expressed by the commentators during the regulatory process for the combined rulemaking, the Department developed this separate rulemaking, including a separate Regulatory Analysis Form, to control VOC emissions from *conventional* oil and natural gas sources.

The Department estimates that approximately 95 of the 27,193 conventional well sites may need to implement a new LDAR program because those well sites produce at least 15 BOE per day with at least one well producing a minimum of 5 BOE. Based on the Department's record of when conventional well sites were drilled, the Department assumes that 67 conventional well sites are subject to Subpart OOOOa, which applies to oil and natural gas facilities constructed, modified or reconstructed after September 18, 2015. Of the approximately 95 conventional well sites that may be required to implement a new LDAR program under this final-omitted rulemaking, 31 would have to meet the annual instrument-based inspection requirement and the remaining 64 would have to meet the quarterly instrument-based inspection requirement.

3. The EPA is no longer withdrawing the 2016 O&G CTG.

IRRC notes that the Board states in Section 9 of the RAF that “[e]ven though a finalized withdrawal of the 2016 O&G CTG would relieve the state of the requirement to address RACT for existing oil and gas sources, the Department is still obligated to reduce ozone and VOC emissions to ensure that the NAAQS is attained and maintained under section 110 of the CAA. 42 U.S.C.A. § 7410.” Commentators have asked the Board to consider another public comment period should the Federal regulations or guidelines be significantly changed before promulgation of the final-omitted rulemaking. IRRC asks the Board to explain how it will proceed if there are significant changes made to 2016 O&G CTG or 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts OOOO (relating to standards of performance for crude oil and natural gas facilities for which construction, modification, or reconstruction commenced after August 23, 2011, and on or before September 18, 2015) and OOOOa prior to the promulgation of the final-omitted rulemaking.

In response, the Board explains that the relevant Federal regulations and the 2016 O&G CTG have not significantly changed and will not change prior to promulgation of this final-omitted rulemaking. In March of 2020, the Department received notice that the EPA had decided not to proceed with the withdrawal of the 2016 O&G CTG. The EPA announced in the OMB's Spring 2020 Unified Agenda and Regulatory Plan that the CTG will remain in place as published on October 27, 2016. On November 16, 2020, the EPA issued a Final Rule entitled “Findings of Failure To Submit State Implementation Plan Revisions in Response to the 2016 Oil and Natural Gas Industry Control Techniques Guidelines for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS and for States in the Ozone Transport Region (OTR).” 85 FR 72963 (November 16, 2020). This Commonwealth was

one of the five states issued a finding of failure to submit a SIP revision incorporating the 2016 O&G CTG RACT requirements by October 27, 2018. The EPA's finding triggers the sanction clock under the CAA. The Commonwealth must submit this final-omitted rulemaking, along with the unconventional rulemaking, as a SIP revision and the EPA must determine that the submittal is complete within 18 months of the effective date (December 16, 2020) of the EPA's finding, that is, by June 16, 2022, or sanctions may be imposed. The offset ratio sanctions went into effect on June 16, 2022, and the Commonwealth now has until December 16, 2022 to submit the SIP revision or highway funding sanctions will be imposed.

4. Provisions of this final-omitted rulemaking were amended for clarity.

IRRC notes that § 129.121(a) provides that the proposed rulemaking would apply to the owners or operators of storage vessels in all segments except natural gas distribution; natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controllers; natural gas driven diaphragm pumps; reciprocating compressors; centrifugal compressors; or fugitive emissions component which were in existence on or before the effective date of the final-omitted rulemaking. Commentators ask how "existing" will be interpreted under this rulemaking since there may be facilities that have initiated construction but are not yet operational on the effective date of the rulemaking. IRRC asks the Board to explain, in the Preamble to the final-omitted regulation, how "existing" will be interpreted under this chapter.

In response, the Board revised the applicability section, § 129.131(a), of this final-omitted rulemaking by removing the phrase "in existence" and replacing it with "constructed" to clarify that the requirements apply to sources constructed on or before the effective date of this final-omitted rulemaking. Sources constructed after the effective date will not be subject to this final-omitted rulemaking. However, new sources are subject to best available technology (BAT) requirements, so it is likely that the requirements for new sources will be equivalent to or more stringent than the RACT requirements of this final-omitted rulemaking.

IRRC mentions that subparagraph (iii) of the definition of "*Deviation*" includes a failure to meet an emission limit, operating limit, or work practice standard during start-up, shutdown or malfunction as a "*Deviation*" regardless of whether a failure is permitted by these rules. IRRC requests that the Board clarify this definition because commentators have asked the Board to make clear that failure to meet a limit or standard should not be considered a "*Deviation*" if permit conditions are met.

In response, the Board explains that a deviation under subparagraph (iii) is not considered to be a violation of this final-omitted rulemaking or a permit and deviations must be recorded and reported as required under § 129.140. A facility that has a permit must evaluate the terms and conditions of the permit and the requirements of this final-omitted rulemaking and comply with the most stringent requirement. The deviation must be evaluated against the most stringent requirement. The Board will evaluate these instances for compliance with the applicable requirements and standards. Additionally, the definition of "deviation" is consistent with the EPA's guidance in the 2016 O&G CTG.

IRRC suggests that for consistency, the definition of “*First attempt at repair*” should be revised to replace “organic material” with “VOCs.”

In response, the Board explains that in the proposed rulemaking it used the definition of “*First attempt at repair*” from the EPA’s regulations at 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart VVa (relating to Standards of Performance for Equipment Leaks of VOC in the Synthetic Organic Chemicals Manufacturing Industry for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After November 7, 2006). While the term “*First attempt at repair*” is used in Sections A, D, and G in the 2016 O&G CTG, it was not defined. After the EPA’s Reconsideration of the NSPS, a definition that differed slightly from that in Subpart VVa was added to Subpart OOOOa. As the definition of “*First attempt at repair*” from Subpart OOOOa is closer in line with the usage in the 2016 O&G CTG, the Board revised the definition in this final-omitted rulemaking. The Board removed the proposed definition which stated, “action taken for the purpose of stopping or reducing leakage of organic material to the atmosphere using best practices” and replaced it with “for purposes of § 129.127 (relating to fugitive emissions components): an action using best practices taken to stop or reduce fugitive emissions to the atmosphere.” The Board also clarified that the term includes tightening bonnet bolts, replacing bonnet bolts, tightening packing gland nuts and injecting lubricant into lubricated packing. This change accommodates the revision suggested by the commentators.

IRRC asks what the Board means by the phrase “an engineering judgment” in the definition of “*In-house engineer*” and suggests that the Board define this term or explain why it is unnecessary to do so.

In response, the Board removed the phrase “an engineering judgment” and made further revisions to the definition of “*In-house engineer*” in this final-omitted rulemaking. Instead of the phrase “an engineering judgment,” the Board revised the definition of “*In-house engineer*” in this final-omitted rulemaking to require the engineer to be qualified by having expertise in the design and operation of a natural gas-driven diaphragm pump or closed vent system.

IRRC notes that subparagraph (i) in the definition of “*Leak*” reads “A positive indication, whether audible, visual or odorous, determined during an AVO inspection.” IRRC also agrees with commentators who have suggested that this subparagraph be amended for clarity to state “A positive indication **of a leak**...”

In response, the Board revised subparagraph (i) of the definition of “*Leak*” in this final-omitted rulemaking by removing “A positive indication, whether audible, visual or odorous, determined” and replacing it with “Through audible, visual or odorous evidence.” The Board further clarified the definition of “*Leak*” by adding that it is “an emission detected” and providing for methods for detecting the emission. Additionally, the Board did not add “A positive indication **of a leak**...” to the definition as suggested by the commentators in accordance with section 2.11(h) (relating to definitions) of the Pennsylvania Code and Bulletin Style Manual. Section 2.11(h) states that “the term being defined may not be included as part of the definition.”

IRRC suggests that the phrase “For purposes of this section, §§ 129.121 and 129.123—129.130” in the definition of “*TOC—Total organic compounds*” is unnecessary and should be

deleted from the definition. In response, the Board agrees that the phrase “For purposes of this section, §§ 129.121 and 129.123—129.130” is redundant and removed that phrase from the definition in this final-omitted rulemaking.

IRRC questions the need for the provision in subparagraph (ii) of the definition of “*Qualified professional engineer*” providing that “The individual making this certification must be currently licensed in this Commonwealth or another **state in which the responsible official, as defined in § 121.1 (relating to definitions), is located** and with which the Commonwealth offers reciprocity.” In response, the Board explains that the EPA defined “*Qualified professional engineer*” in the 2016 O&G CTG as “an individual who is licensed by a state as a Professional Engineer to practice one or more disciplines of engineering and who is qualified by education, technical knowledge and experience to make the specific technical certifications required under this subpart. Professional engineers making these certifications must be currently licensed in at least one state in which the certifying official is located.” Therefore, the requirement that the “*Qualified professional engineer*” be licensed in one of the states where the responsible official does business is part of the EPA’s RACT recommendation. The Board added the requirement for reciprocity due to requirements that an engineer be legally qualified to engage in the practice of engineering and that the standards of the other state or territory be at least equal to the standards of this Commonwealth.

IRRC recommends that the definitions of “conventional well” and “unconventional well” as defined in 25 Pa. Code §§ 78.1 and 78a.1 be included by reference in § 129.122(a).

In response, the Board added definitions for “conventional well,” “conventional well site,” “unconventional formation,” “unconventional well,” and “unconventional well site” in this final-omitted rulemaking, since the applicability section was amended to clarify that this final-omitted rulemaking only applies to conventional sources installed at a “conventional well site.” The definitions of “unconventional formation” and “unconventional well” in this final-omitted rulemaking are identical to the definitions in § 78a.1. The definition of “conventional well” in this final-omitted rulemaking is identical to the definition in § 78.1.

IRRC notes that § 129.123(a)(2)(i) requires that potential VOC emissions for conventional, unconventional, gathering and boosting station and at a facility in the natural gas transmission and storage segment use a generally accepted model or calculation methodology, based on the maximum average daily throughput prior to the effective date of the rulemaking. Commentators ask the Department to revise this section to allow all generally accepted models or calculation methodologies and request the language referencing historical data be deleted. However, commentators stated that use of past maximum averages that are no longer representative of the facilities throughputs will not provide an accurate emissions profile to justify the proposed compliance requirements. IRRC requests that the Board explain its rationale for and the reasonableness of the provision relating to historical data.

In response, the Board revised § 129.133(a)(2)(i) in this final-omitted rulemaking to add that the maximum average daily throughput is as defined in § 129.132 and to extend the calculation requirement from the date of publication to 60 days after. This revision was made to provide

clarity, to be more representative of the facility operations and to provide a more accurate emissions profile.

IRRC notes that § 129.123(a)(2)(ii) provides that the determination of potential VOC emissions must consider requirements under a legally and practically enforceable limit established in an operating permit or plan approval approved by the Department. IRRC requests that the Board explain in the Preamble to the final-omitted regulation whether state permitting programs such as GP-5, GP-5A, and Exemption 38 of the Air Quality Permit Exemptions list will be considered satisfactory for this requirement.

In response, the Board explains that when calculating the potential VOC emissions for this final-omitted rulemaking, an owner or operator must ensure that they are complying with existing VOC limits in an operating permit or plan approval. Section 129.133(a)(2)(ii) has been revised to replace “must” with “may” to read “The determination of potential VOC emissions *may* consider requirements under a legally and practically enforceable limit established in an operating permit or plan approval approved by the Department.” It was not the EPA’s recommendation, nor the Board’s intent, to require that legally and practically enforceable limits be considered when calculating potential VOC emissions to determine applicability to the rule. GP-5, GP-5A and Exemption 38 are not applicable for sources at conventional well sites, so this provision has no effect on the calculation of potential emissions for storage vessels at conventional well sites.

IRRC notes that § 129.123(b)(1)(iii) requires routing emissions to a control device or process that meets the applicable requirements of § 129.129. Commentators note that § 129.129 contains requirements specific only to “control devices” and not to “processes.” IRRC requests that the Board explain the intent of the proposed language and revise it if necessary. IRRC also notes that similar language appears in §§ 129.125(b)(1)(ii), 129.126(c)(2), 129.128(a)(2)(ii) and 129.128(b)(1).

In response, the Board explains that the requirements for “processes” can be found in § 129.139(d) of this final-omitted rulemaking. In particular, § 129.139(d)(1)(iv), regarding compliance requirements for an enclosed combustion device, establishes the requirements for the use of a boiler or process heater – a “process” – to control the VOC emissions. VOC emissions routed to a boiler or process heater are considered controlled if the vent stream containing the VOC emissions is injected into the flame zone of the boiler or process heater.

IRRC notes that § 129.124(d) requires the owner or operator to tag each affected natural gas-driven pneumatic controller with the date the controller is required to comply with the requirements of this section and an identification number that ensures traceability to the records for that controller. IRRC asks the Board to explain the rationale for this requirement, including why it believes it is reasonable.

In response, the Board explains that the requirement is based on the EPA’s recommendation from the 2016 O&G CTG, and the Department has determined that the tagging would facilitate the determination that the owners or operators are in compliance with this final-omitted rulemaking, and is not overly burdensome.

IRRC asks the Board to specify a timeframe in § 129.127(a) that will be used to determine per-day average production figures for the 15 BOE per day applicability threshold or explain why it is unnecessary to do so.

In response, the Board added a calculation procedure to estimate the average production of a conventional well site in § 129.137(b) of this final-omitted rulemaking. The owner or operator of a conventional well site shall calculate the average production in BOE per day of the well site using the previous 12 calendar months of operation as reported to the Department.

IRRC asks the Board to clarify whether the adjustments to the LDAR inspection intervals in proposed § 129.127(b) are required under proposed § 129.127(e).

In response, the Board explains that the LDAR inspection frequency reductions under § 129.137(c)(4)(i) of this final-omitted rulemaking do not require an owner or operator to request an extension of the LDAR inspection frequency under § 129.137(f) of this final-omitted rulemaking.

IRRC notes that § 129.127(e) permits the owner or operator of an affected facility to request, in writing, an extension of the LDAR inspection interval. IRRC asks the Board to explain the need for an extension, including under what conditions or circumstances an owner or operator may request an extension. IRRC also asks whether certain conditions or requirements are needed to request an extension, how owners or operators will be informed about those conditions or requirements and what the maximum amount of time is that an extension may be granted.

In response, the Board explains that the flexibility granted to an owner or operator by allowing them to request an extension of the LDAR inspection interval may be for any reason. Examples for requesting an extension of the inspection frequency could include that the owner or operator's inspection equipment requires repair and will be unavailable when the inspection is due, the owner or operator has numerous facilities and it will take longer than the time allowed under this final-omitted rulemaking to determine applicability, plan, and perform the initial inspections, or it is not possible to have a contractor perform the required inspection when it is due because there are no contractors available by that date. However, the conditions required for and the duration of the extension will be determined on a case-by-case basis by the Air Program Manager of the appropriate Department Regional Office when approving the extension request.

IRRC notes that § 129.129(b)(5)(ii) refers to an "inspection and maintenance plan" in § 129.129(b)(1) that does not exist. IRRC asks the Board to clarify the intent of this subparagraph and revise, if necessary.

In response, the Board removed the reference to an "inspection and maintenance plan" and instead requires the use of the best combustion engineering practice applicable to the control device if the manufacturer's repair instructions are not available.

IRRC asks the Board to delete the reference to subsection (c)(1)(ii) in § 129.129(k)(5) since subsection (c)(1)(ii) does not require or refer to a weight-percent VOC emission reduction requirement.

In response, the Board did not remove the reference to subsection (c)(1)(ii) and added a weight-percent VOC emission reduction requirement to § 129.139(c)(1)(ii).

IRRC notes that §§ 129.129(j)(1)(v)(D) and 129.129 (j)(1)(vi)(B) provide for requests for extension of initial performance test reports and asks the Board to refer to IRRC's comments regarding the LDAR inspection interval extension requests in § 129.127(e) as the questions apply also to this subsection.

In response, the Board explains that the allowance for an owner or operator to request an extension of the initial performance test requirements provides flexibility to the owner or operator. The owner or operator may request an extension for any reason. For example, it is possible that an operator could request an extension due to scheduling issues with source testing contractors. However, the conditions required for and the duration of the extension will be determined on a case-by-case basis by the Air Program Manager of the appropriate Department Regional Office when reviewing and approving/denying the extension request.

IRRC notes that § 129.130(d)(1) requires the records for each natural gas-driven diaphragm pump to include the date, location and manufacturer specifications for each pump. IRRC requests that the Board revise this section to clarify the date referenced.

In response, the Board clarified that the date in § 129.140(d)(1) is the "required compliance" date.

IRRC notes that § 129.130(g)(2)(ii)(G)(II) requires the "instrument reading of each fugitive emission component" that meets the definition of a leak under the rulemaking. IRRC asks if this subsection should be revised for consistency to account for leaks that are detected with OGI equipment.

In response, the Board did not make a revision and explains that the instrument reading for OGI equipment is a visible leak.

IRRC notes that Section 15 of the RAF indicates that the table in Section 23 provides a breakdown of the cost data for the industry. The figures provided in the table in Section 23 of the RAF represent industry-wide cost and savings estimates. IRRC recommends that the Board either include in the chart as described in the RAF for the final-omitted regulation or remove this statement if one does not exist.

In response, the Board explains that the response to Question 15 of the RAF details the breakdown of cost data for the conventional industry on a per owner or operator and a per facility basis. The response to Question 19 of the RAF details the individual source costs, including the total conventional industry cost based on the estimated number of affected sources in each category. The response to Question 23 provides a breakdown of the total costs to the industry. Additionally, the Board did not include a reference in the response to Question 15 to the table in the response to Question 23 as suggested.

IRRC recommends that in § 121.1, under the term “*Responsible official*” subparagraph (iv) clause (B) after “or Chapter 129,” the Board should include parentheses containing a description of what the chapter is relating to. In response, the Board explains that § 121.1 is not included in this final-omitted rulemaking.

IRRC notes that § 129.122(a) states that “the following words and terms, when used in this **section**, §§ 129.121 and 129.123-120.130, **have** the following meaning...” IRRC suggests inserting “shall” before “have” and revising “section” to “chapter.” Additionally, IRRC recommends deleting “section” replacing it with “chapter” in the definitions for “*Deviation*” and “*TOC –Total organic compounds.*”

In response, the Board respectfully disagrees with these recommendations and did not add the word “shall” as suggested as the phrasing used in § 129.132(a) is consistent with other sections in Chapter 129 as well as the phrasing used in § 121.1. This is also consistent with section 6.7(a) (relating to use of “shall,” “will,” “must” and “may”) of the Pennsylvania Code and Bulletin Style Manual. Section 6.7(a) states that the term “shall” “expresses a duty or obligation. The subject of the sentence must be a person, committee or other nongovernmental entity that is required to or has the power to make a decision or take an action.” Additionally, the definitions in § 129.132(a) apply only to §§ 129.131—129.140, not the entirety of Chapter 129; therefore, the Board did not revise “section” to read “chapter” as recommended.

IRRC notes that the following terms and definitions appear in § 129.122(a) but are not used in the text of the Annex: “*completion combustion device*,” “*fuel gas*,” “*fuel gas system*,” “*natural gas and oil production segment*,” “*natural gas processing segment*,” “*transmission compression station*,” and “*underground storage vessel*.” IRRC suggests that these terms and definitions be deleted. In response, the Board agrees with this suggestion and did not include these terms from this final-omitted rulemaking.

IRRC recommends that for consistency the Board include a reference to the recordkeeping and reporting requirements found in § 129.130(i)(2) in § 129.128(d). In response, the Board notes that the recordkeeping and reporting requirements for closed vent systems in § 129.140(i)(2) are found in § 129.138(b)(6). The provisions of § 129.138(d) specify the procedures for the no detectable emissions inspection required in § 129.138(b)(2)(ii).

IRRC recommends amending § 129.130(k) to replace “can” with “may” so that the statement reads “The due date of the initial report *may* be extended with the written approval of the Air Program Manager of the appropriate Department Regional Office.” In response, the Board agrees with this recommendation and § 129.140(k)(1)(ii) uses the word “may.”

5. The Board has fulfilled its duties as a trustee as set forth in Article I, Section 27 of the Pennsylvania Constitution.

Commentators, including members of the General Assembly, referenced the Commonwealth’s Environmental Rights Amendment in Article I, Section 27 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, Pa.Const. Art. I, § 27, and note that it states, “The people have a right to clean air, pure water, and

to the preservation of the natural, scenic, historic and esthetic values of the environment.” They commented that the Board and the Department must satisfy their constitutional responsibilities.

In response, the Board has fulfilled its duties as a trustee of the environment, set forth in Article I, Section 27 of the Pennsylvania Constitution and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court Ruling on the Environmental Rights Amendment in *Pennsylvania Environmental Defense Foundation v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania*, 161 A.3d 911 (Pa. 2017) during the development of this final-omitted rulemaking. This final-omitted rulemaking was developed under the authority of sections 5(a)(1) and 5(a)(8) of the APCA. The APCA is built on a precautionary principle to protect the air resources of this Commonwealth for the protection of public health and welfare and the environment, including plant and animal life and recreational resources, as well as development, attraction and expansion of industry, commerce and agriculture. Implementation of the VOC emission control measures in this final-omitted rulemaking will help the Department protect the air resources of this Commonwealth as well as public health and welfare by reducing harmful VOC and methane emissions from the conventional oil and gas industry. The Department recognizes the rights of this Commonwealth’s residents and the Commonwealth’s obligations under the Pennsylvania Constitution and must meet those obligations in every action the agency takes. Because this final-omitted rulemaking simultaneously reduces VOC and methane emissions, resulting in considerable health and other benefits, the Department is satisfied that its Article I, Section 27 obligations have been met with development of this final-omitted rulemaking.

G. *Benefits, Costs and Compliance*

Benefits

The Department estimates that implementation of the proposed control measures could reduce VOC emissions by as much as 9,204 TPY. Approximately 304 TPY of these VOC emission reductions are due to the RACT determinations by the Department that reduce emissions over and above the EPA’s RACT recommendations. These reductions would benefit the health and welfare of the approximately 12.8 million residents and the numerous animals, crops, vegetation and natural areas of this Commonwealth by reducing the amount of ground-level ozone air pollution resulting from these sources.

Adoption of the VOC emission control measures and other requirements in this final-omitted rulemaking would allow the Commonwealth to make substantial progress in achieving and maintaining the 1997, 2008, and 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS statewide. Implementation of and compliance with the proposed VOC emission reduction measures would also assist the Commonwealth in reducing the levels of ozone precursor emissions that contribute to potential nonattainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS. As a result, the VOC emission control measures are reasonably necessary to attain and maintain the health-based and welfare-based 8-hour ozone NAAQS in this Commonwealth and to satisfy related CAA requirements. Achieving and maintaining the ground-level ozone NAAQS provides healthful air quality which attracts and retains residents and industry, supports healthy environmental conditions for agriculture and the ecosystems of this Commonwealth, and reduces transport of VOC emissions and ground-level ozone to downwind states.

While this final-omitted rulemaking requires VOC emission reductions, methane emissions are also reduced as a co-benefit, because both VOC and methane are emitted from oil and natural gas operations. Except for storage vessels, the requirements for control of emissions are not dependent on an applicability threshold for VOC, meaning that most requirements have no minimum level of VOC emissions under which sources are granted an exemption. The control measures implemented for VOC emissions simultaneously control methane emissions and could reduce methane emissions by as much as 175,788 TPY with 8 TPY from the installation of controls for storage vessels, 160,430 TPY from pneumatic controllers, and 15,350 TPY from fugitive emissions components through the performance of LDAR inspections. Approximately 5,790 TPY of the methane emission reductions are due to the technically and economically feasible VOC RACT determination by the Department that is over and above the reductions from EPA's VOC RACT recommendations.

Additionally, as previously discussed, this final-omitted rulemaking is consistent with Governor Tom Wolf's strategy to reduce emissions of methane from the oil and natural gas industry in this Commonwealth. Methane is a potent greenhouse gas with a global warming potential more than 28 times that of carbon dioxide over a 100-year time period, according to the EPA. The EPA has identified methane, the primary component of natural gas, as the second-most prevalent greenhouse gas emitted in the United States from human activities. According to Federal estimates, the natural gas and oil industries account for a quarter of United States methane emissions. In addition to climate change impacts, methane and VOC emissions have harmful effects on air quality and human health. Thus, reducing methane leaks from conventional oil and natural gas sources is essential to reducing global greenhouse gas emissions and protecting public health.

Adverse health and welfare effects of ground-level ozone on humans, animals, and the environment

Exposure to high levels of ground-level ozone air pollution correlates to increased respiratory disease and higher mortality rates. Ozone can inflame and damage the lining of the lungs. Within a few days, the damaged cells are shed and replaced. Over a long time period, lung tissue may become permanently scarred, resulting in permanent loss of lung function and a lower quality of life. When ambient ozone levels are high, more people with asthma have attacks that require a doctor's attention or use of medication. Ozone also makes people more sensitive to allergens including pet dander, pollen and dust mites, all of which can trigger asthma attacks. The EPA has concluded that there is an association between high levels of ambient ozone and increased hospital admissions for respiratory ailments including asthma. While children, the elderly and those with respiratory problems are most at risk, even healthy individuals may experience increased respiratory ailments and other symptoms when they are exposed to high levels of ambient ozone while engaged in activities that involve physical exertion. High levels of ground-level ozone also affect animals including pets, livestock and wildlife, in ways similar to humans.

In addition to causing adverse human and animal health effects, the EPA has concluded that ground-level ozone affects vegetation and ecosystems, leading to reductions in agricultural crop and commercial forest yields. Ozone damage to the foliage of trees and other plants can decrease the aesthetic value of ornamental species used in residential landscaping, as well as the natural

beauty of parks and recreation areas. Through deposition, ground-level ozone also contributes to pollution in the Chesapeake Bay. These effects can have adverse impacts including loss of species diversity and changes to habitat quality and water and nutrient cycles. The implementation of additional measures to address ground-level ozone precursor emissions impacts on air quality in this Commonwealth is necessary to protect the public health and welfare and the environment.

Adverse effects of ground-level ozone on this Commonwealth's economy

The economic value of the impacts of ground-level ozone on this Commonwealth's farm crops, fruit industries, forests, parks and timber due to high concentrations of ground-level ozone can be calculated, through things such as crop yield loss from both reduced growth and smaller, lower-quality seeds and tubers with less oil or protein. If ozone episodes last a few days, visible injury to some leaf crops, including lettuce, spinach and tobacco, as well as visible injury to the leaves of ornamental plants, including grass, flowers and shrubs, can appear. Other types of welfare loss may not be quantifiable, such as the reduced aesthetic value of trees growing in heavily visited parks.

Information about the economic benefit of the agricultural industry to this Commonwealth is provided by the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture. In 2019, this Commonwealth had more than 53,157 farms occupying more than 7.3 million acres of farmland which account for 75,475 direct jobs and \$9.0 billion in direct economic output from production agriculture. In addition to production agriculture, the industry also raises revenue and supplies jobs through support services such as food and beverage processing, marketing, transportation, farm equipment, forestry production and processing, and landscaping. In total, production agriculture and agribusiness support 232,463 direct jobs and contribute \$59.7 billion to this Commonwealth's economy. The agriculture industry, including forestry, contributes 593,600 total direct, indirect, and induced jobs and \$132.5 billion in total direct, indirect, and induced output. Reducing ground-level ozone concentrations will serve to protect agricultural yield and reduce losses to production agriculture and agribusiness in this Commonwealth.

This Commonwealth is forested over a total of 16.6 million acres, which represents 58% of its land area. Federal, state, and local government hold 5.1 million acres in public ownership, with the remaining 11.7 million acres in private ownership. The forest product industry only owns 0.4 million acres of forest, with the remainder held by an estimated 750,000 individuals, families, partnerships, or corporations. This Commonwealth leads the Nation in volume of hardwood with over 120.5 billion board feet of standing sawtimber. Recent data shows that the state's forest growth-to-harvest rate is better than 2 to 1. As the leading producer of hardwood lumber in the United States, this Commonwealth also leads in the export of hardwood lumber, exporting nearly \$463 million in 2019, and over \$1.1 billion in lumber, logs, furniture and paper products to more than 70 countries around the world. Production is estimated at 1 billion board feet of lumber annually. This vast renewable resource puts the hardwoods industry at the forefront of manufacturing in this Commonwealth. Forestry production and processing account for 69,437 direct jobs and \$21.8 billion in direct economic output and direct value added to this Commonwealth's economy. Reducing ground-level ozone concentrations will serve to protect the Commonwealth's position as the leader of growing volume of hardwood species and producer of hardwood lumber in the Nation.

The Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR) is the steward of the State-owned forests and parks. DCNR awards millions of dollars in construction contracts each year to build and maintain the facilities in its parks and forests. Hundreds of concessions throughout the park system help complete the park experience for both state and out-of-state visitors. State forests, parks and game lands make up 3.9 million acres of forest land. This Commonwealth's 2.2 million-acre state forest system, found in 48 of this Commonwealth's 67 counties, comprises 13% of the forested area in this Commonwealth. The state forest represents one of the largest expanses of public forestland in the eastern United States, making it a priceless public asset. Ozone damage to the foliage of trees and other plants can decrease the aesthetic value of ornamental species used in residential landscaping, as well as the natural beauty of parks and recreation areas. However, the effects of the reduced aesthetic value of trees in heavily visited parks may not be quantifiable. Reducing the concentration of ground-level ozone will help maintain the benefits to this Commonwealth's economy due to tourism.

In sum, adoption and implementation of the VOC emission control measures in this final-omitted rulemaking for the owners or operators of certain sources in the oil and natural gas industry is reasonably necessary to allow the Commonwealth to continue its progress in attaining and maintaining the public health-based and welfare-based 8-hour ozone NAAQS and to satisfy related CAA requirements. The VOC emission reductions achieved through implementation of the regulatory requirements established in this final-omitted rulemaking and the associated decrease in formation of ground-level ozone will benefit the health and welfare of the residents of this Commonwealth as well as the health of tourists and visitors, with improved ambient air quality and healthier environments. The decrease in ground-level ozone formation will also benefit farmers, loggers, hunters and outdoor enthusiasts and the numerous animals, crops, vegetation and natural areas of this Commonwealth. The agriculture and timber industries and related businesses will benefit directly from reduced economic losses that result from ozone damage to crops and timber. Likewise, the natural areas and infrastructure within this Commonwealth and downwind states will benefit directly from reduced environmental damage and economic losses due to ground-level ozone.

Additionally, this final-omitted rulemaking may create economic opportunities for VOC emission control technology innovators, manufacturers, and distributors through an increased demand for new or improved equipment. In addition, the owners or operators of regulated facilities may be required to install and operate an emissions monitoring system or equipment necessary for an emissions monitoring method to comply with this final-omitted rulemaking, thereby creating an economic opportunity for the emissions monitoring industry.

Monetized public health benefits of attaining the 2015 ozone NAAQS

The EPA estimated that the monetized health benefits of attaining the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS of 0.070 ppm range from \$1.5 billion to \$4.5 billion on a National basis by 2025. Prorating that benefit to this Commonwealth, based on population, results in a public health benefit of \$63 million to \$189 million. The Department is not stating that these estimated monetized health benefits would all be the result of implementing the RACT measures, but the EPA estimates are indicative of the benefits to Commonwealth residents of attaining the 2015 8-

hour ozone NAAQS through the implementation of a suite of measures to control VOC emissions in the aggregate from different source categories.

Compliance costs

Compliance costs will vary for each facility depending on which compliance option is chosen by the owner or operator. The costs were adjusted to 2021 dollars using the CPI adjustment using May as the reference month.

The annualized cost of \$25,194 in 2012 dollars to control one storage vessel with a control device is based on the data in the 2016 O&G CTG, which is equivalent to \$30,909 in 2021 dollars. The Department's additional analysis demonstrated that the annualized cost of routing emissions from a storage vessel to a control device ranges from \$9,501 to \$22,871 in 2021 dollars based on the data in the Department's Technical Support Document (TSD) for the General Plan Approval/General Operating Permit BAQ-GPA/BP-5 (GP-5) for natural gas compression stations, processing plants, and transmission stations and the General Plan Approval/General Operating Permit BAQ-GPA/GP-5A (GP-5A) for unconventional natural gas well site operations and remote pigging stations. The Department used the EPA's annualized cost estimate of \$30,909 in 2021 dollars to be conservative when estimating the effect on the conventional oil and natural gas industry. The Department identified a total of 27,260 conventional well sites with storage vessels from the Department's databases. There are 6 conventional well sites with 6 storage vessels that emit 2.7 TPY or more of VOC with a total industry cost of \$185,453 per year. The Department estimates that implementation of the final-omitted control measures could reduce VOC emissions by as much as 71 TPY from the installation of controls for storage vessels. This results in an average cost of approximately \$2,612 per ton of VOC emissions reduced per year. Approximately 3 TPY of the VOC emissions reduction from this requirement is due to the technically and economically feasible RACT determination by the Department that is over and above the reductions from EPA's RACT recommendations.

The annualized cost of \$296 in 2012 dollars to replace a continuous high-bleed pneumatic controller with a low-bleed pneumatic controller is based on the data in the 2016 O&G CTG, which is \$347 per year in 2021 dollars. The Department identified a total of 26,284 conventional well sites with an estimated 26,284 affected pneumatic controllers. The total industry cost is \$9,113,188 per year. Using the EPA's estimate of natural gas emissions per controller and this Commonwealth's average natural gas composition, the Department estimates that implementation of the final-omitted control measures could reduce VOC emissions by as much as 8,336 TPY from pneumatic controllers located at these facilities. This results in an average cost of approximately \$1,093 per ton of VOC emissions reduced per year. The requirements for natural gas-driven continuous bleed pneumatic controllers are identical to the EPA's 2016 O&G CTG recommendation, which the EPA has determined to be cost-effective.

The annualized cost of \$774 in 2012 dollars to control one natural gas-driven diaphragm pump is based on the data in the 2016 O&G CTG, which is \$907 per year in 2021 dollars. The Department did not identify any conventional well sites with affected diaphragm pumps. If a conventional well site has an affected diaphragm pump, the owner or operator of the well site would be obligated to meet the requirements of § 129.135. The requirements for natural gas-driven

diaphragm pumps are identical to the EPA's 2016 O&G CTG recommendation which the EPA has determined to be cost-effective.

The annualized cost of \$782 in 2021 dollars to replace the rod packings for one reciprocating compressor at a conventional well site is based on the data in the Department's TSD for GP-5 and GP-5A. The Department did not identify any reciprocating compressors at conventional well sites. If a conventional well site has an affected reciprocating compressor, the owner or operator of the well site would be obligated to meet the requirements of § 129.136. The Department has determined this requirement to be cost-effective since the annualized cost, the sum of the annualized capital cost and the annual operating expenses, is only \$782 per year. Annualized cost is one of many factors that the Department can consider when determining the cost-effectiveness of a control device or control technique.

There are an estimated 423 gathering and boosting stations in this Commonwealth with at least 527 reciprocating compressors and an estimated 11 natural gas processing plants with at least 30 reciprocating compressors. The Department assumes that the owners or operators of these facilities are complying with the requirements of Subparts OOOO and OOOOa as none of these facilities were constructed prior to 2011. Therefore, they would have to do nothing further under this final-omitted rulemaking.

The annualized cost of \$2,553 in 2012 dollars to control one wet seal degassing system for a centrifugal compressor is based on the data in the 2016 O&G CTG which is \$2,990 in 2021 dollars. The Department did not identify any wet seal centrifugal compressors at conventional well sites. If a conventional well site has an affected wet seal centrifugal compressor, the owner or operator of the well site would not be obligated to meet the requirements of § 129.136 due to the exemption allowed under § 129.136(d). However, if conventional owners or operators have turbines driving wet seal centrifugal compressors at any gathering and boosting stations or processing plants, the owner or operator would be subject to the applicable wet seal degassing system VOC emission control requirements of this final-form rulemaking. VOC emissions would be reduced by 95% at a cost of \$2,990 per year per wet seal degassing system in 2021 dollars. If the centrifugal compressors are dry seal centrifugal compressors, then the owners or operators of these sources would not have applicable VOC emission control requirements under this final-form rulemaking. The requirements for wet seal centrifugal compressor degassing systems are identical to the EPA's 2016 O&G CTG recommendation which the EPA has determined to be cost effective. In the 2016 O&G CTG, the annualized cost in 2012 dollars to conduct annual LDAR inspections at a well site is \$1,318 and to conduct quarterly LDAR inspections at a conventional well site is \$4,220, and to conduct quarterly LDAR inspections at a gathering and boosting station is \$25,049. These costs are \$1,554, \$4,937, and \$29,307 in 2021 dollars, respectively. The Department's TSD for GP-5 and GP-5A also contained cost data for implementing LDAR programs, which are more conservative than the annual costs in the EPA's 2016 O&G CTG as the costs in the TSD are based on a contractor's quote. The annual cost for implementing an annual LDAR inspection program is \$1,681 in 2021 dollars at a conventional well site. The annual cost, in 2021 dollars, for implementing a quarterly LDAR inspection program is \$6,723 at a conventional well site and \$13,447 for a gathering and boosting station or natural gas processing plant. It should be noted that the estimates for conventional well sites assumed there are 1,000 components to monitor and that for gathering and boosting stations or natural gas processing

plants there are 2,000 components to monitor. The EPA's assumptions for the number of components to monitor are between 127 and 671 for conventional well sites and 3,091 for gathering and boosting stations or processing plants.

The Department identified a total of 27,260 conventional well sites, 486 gathering and boosting stations and 15 natural gas processing plants. However, the Department does not know how many gathering and boosting stations and natural gas processing plants are associated with the conventional industry. The calculation of fugitive emissions before controls were based on estimates of the amount of natural gas leaked. The breakdown between the amounts of VOC and methane emissions is calculated using this Commonwealth's natural gas composition ratio of 4.47% VOC and 86.03% methane. The value of natural gas saved is calculated using the assumed cost of \$1.70 per Mcf of natural gas in 2021 dollars.

There are approximately 27,193 conventional well sites with no LDAR program currently in place of which the Department assumes 31 will be required to implement an annual LDAR program. The total annualized cost is \$52,107 reducing VOC emissions by approximately 135 TPY for a total cost per ton of VOC reduced of \$386. The 135 TPY of the VOC emissions reduction from this requirement is due to the technically and economically feasible RACT determination by the Department that is over and above the reductions from the EPA's RACT recommendations.

There are approximately 27,193 conventional well sites with no LDAR program currently in place of which the Department assumes 64 will be required to implement a quarterly LDAR program. The total annualized cost is \$430,301 reducing VOC emissions by approximately 662 TPY for a total cost per ton of VOC reduced of \$650. Approximately 166 TPY of the VOC emissions reduction from this requirement is due to the technically and economically feasible RACT determination by the Department that is over and above the reductions from the EPA's RACT recommendations.

There are approximately 67 conventional well sites currently required to perform semiannual LDAR based on the applicability dates of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOOOa that the Department assumes will not be required to implement a quarterly LDAR program. If the owner or operator of a conventional well site determines the well site would be obligated to meet the requirements of § 129.137(c)(3), the Department has determined this requirement to be cost-effective since the incremental annualized cost is only \$3,362 per year.

As the Department does not have information and data on how many gathering and boosting stations and natural gas processing plants are used in the conventional industry, the following information is based on information and data for the entire oil and natural gas industry in this Commonwealth. The costs and emission reductions discussed here have been accounted for in the separate unconventional rulemaking. There are approximately 263 gathering and boosting stations with no LDAR program currently in place based on their construction date, that lack LDAR requirements in their permits or that have no reported fugitive emissions components. The Department assumes these facilities will be required to implement a quarterly LDAR program. The total annualized cost is \$3,536,561. The requirements for quarterly LDAR at natural gas

gathering and boosting stations are identical to the EPA's 2016 O&G CTG recommendation which the EPA has determined to be cost-effective.

There is one gathering and boosting station with an annual LDAR program currently in place in this Commonwealth that the Department assumes will be required to implement a quarterly program. The total annualized cost is \$10,085. The requirements for quarterly LDAR at natural gas gathering and boosting stations are identical to the EPA's 2016 O&G CTG recommendation which the EPA has determined to be cost-effective.

There is one natural gas processing plant with no LDAR program currently in place in this Commonwealth that the Department assumes will be required to implement a quarterly LDAR program. The total annualized cost is \$13,447 reducing VOC emissions by approximately 12 TPY for a total cost per ton of VOC reduced of \$1,121.

The total conventional industry cost is approximately \$482,408 in 2021 dollars. The Department estimates that the final-omitted control measures could reduce VOC emissions by 797 TPY or more from the subject fugitive emissions components due to implementation of the required LDAR inspection program at these facilities.

Based on the above compliance costs, and the number of applicable sources, the Department estimates that this final-omitted rulemaking will cost affected owners or operators approximately \$9.8 million (based on 2021 dollars) per year without consideration of the economic benefit of the saved natural gas. The value of the saved natural gas, assuming a natural gas price of \$1.70 per Mcf in 2021 dollars, yields a savings of approximately \$15.7 million, resulting in a total net savings of approximately \$5.9 million for this final-omitted rulemaking.

This estimate consists of two major categories of data. The first is the cost per year to control each piece of equipment or site affected, which came from either the 2016 O&G CTG or the Department's TSD for GP-5 and GP-5A, as detailed in the response to Question 17 of the RAF. The second is the number of potentially affected facilities, which were obtained from several data sources including the Department's Oil and Gas Production Report, eFACTS and AIMS. The cost per year to control each piece of equipment or site affected was multiplied by the number of each in this Commonwealth. The costs for each category of sources were added together to come up with a final estimated cost and savings.

The VOC RACT requirements established by this final-omitted rulemaking will not require the owner or operator to obtain an air operating permit. To the extent an owner or operator has an air operating permit, they will not be required to submit an application for amendments to an existing air operating permit. These requirements will be incorporated into the existing air operating permit when the permit is renewed, if less than 3 years remain in the permit term, as specified under 25 Pa. Code § 127.463(c) (relating to operating permit revisions to incorporate applicable standards). If 3 years or more remain in the permit term, the requirements would be incorporated as applicable requirements in the permit within 18 months of the promulgation of this final-omitted rulemaking, as required under § 127.463(b).

Compliance assistance plan

The Department will continue to educate and assist the public and the regulated community in understanding the requirements and how to comply with them throughout the rulemaking process. The Department will continue to work with the Department's provider of Small Business Stationary Source Technical and Environmental Compliance Assistance. These services are currently provided by the Environmental Management Assistance Program (EMAP) of the Pennsylvania Small Business Development Centers. The Department has partnered with EMAP to fulfill the Department's obligation to provide confidential technical and compliance assistance to small businesses as required by the APCA, section 507 of the CAA (42 U.S.C.A. § 7661f) and authorized by the Small Business and Household Pollution Prevention Program Act (35 P.S. §§ 6029.201—6029.209).

In addition to providing one-on-one consulting assistance and onsite assessments, EMAP also operates a toll-free phone line to field questions from small businesses in this Commonwealth, as well as businesses wishing to start up in, or relocate to, this Commonwealth. EMAP operates and maintains a resource-rich environmental assistance web site and distributes an electronic newsletter to educate and inform small businesses about a variety of environmental compliance issues.

Paperwork requirements

The recordkeeping and reporting requirements for owners and operators of applicable sources under this final-omitted rulemaking are minimal because the records required align with existing Federal requirements. To minimize the burden of these requirements, the Department allows electronic submission of most planning, reporting and recordkeeping forms required by this final-omitted rulemaking.

H. Pollution Prevention

The Pollution Prevention Act (42 U.S.C.A. §§ 13101—13109) established a National policy that promotes pollution prevention as the preferred means for achieving state environmental protection goals. The Department encourages pollution prevention, which is the reduction or elimination of pollution at its source, through the substitution of environmentally friendly materials, more efficient use of raw materials and the incorporation of energy efficiency strategies. Pollution prevention practices can provide greater environmental protection with greater efficiency because they can result in significant cost savings to facilities that permanently achieve or move beyond compliance.

This final-omitted rulemaking helps to ensure that the residents of this Commonwealth benefit from reduced emissions of VOC and methane from regulated sources. Reduced levels of VOC and methane promote healthful air quality and ensure the continued protection of the environment and public health and welfare.

I. *Sunset Review*

This Board is not establishing a sunset date for this final-omitted rulemaking because it is needed for the Department to carry out its statutory authority. If published as a final-omitted rulemaking in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, the Department will closely monitor its effectiveness and recommend updates to the Board as necessary.

J. *Regulatory Review*

Under section 5.1(c) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.5a(c)), on **DATE**, the Department submitted a copy of this emergency certified final-omitted rulemaking and the Regulatory Analysis Form to IRRC and to the Chairpersons of the House and Senate Environmental Resources and Energy Committees for review and comment. On the same date, the regulations were submitted to the Office of Attorney General for review and approval under the Commonwealth Attorneys Act (71 P.S. §§ 732-101—732-506). Under section 5.1(e) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.5a(e)), IRRC will review this regulation at its meeting on **DATE, 2022**.

Under section 6(d) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.6(d)), the Governor has certified that this final-omitted regulation is required to meet an emergency condition that could result in the need for supplemental or deficiency appropriations of greater than \$1,000,000 if not addressed. As such, this emergency certified final-omitted regulation is effective upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

K. *Findings of the Board*

The Board finds that:

(1) This emergency certified final-omitted rulemaking is authorized by section 204(3) of the Commonwealth Documents Law (45 P.S. § 1204(3)), and section 6(d) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.6(d)).

(2) Use of the omission of notice of proposed rulemaking procedure is appropriate because the proposed rulemaking procedures in sections 201 and 202 of the CDL (45 P.S. §§ 1201 and 1202) are, in this instance, unnecessary and contrary to the public interest.

(3) Use of the emergency-certified rulemaking procedure provided in section 6(d) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.6(d)) is appropriate because this regulation is required to prevent the need for supplemental or deficiency appropriations of greater than \$1,000,000 based on Governor Tom Wolf's Certification of Need for Emergency Regulation dated **DATE**.

(4) The amendments are appropriate to implement RACT requirements to control VOC emissions from conventional oil and natural gas sources.

(5) These regulations are reasonably necessary and appropriate for administration and enforcement of the authorizing acts identified in section C of this order.

(6) These regulations are reasonably necessary to attain and maintain the ozone NAAQS and to satisfy related CAA requirements.

L. *Order of the Board*

The Board, acting under the authorizing statutes, orders that:

(a) The regulations of the Department, 25 Pa. Code Chapter 129, are amended by adding §§ 129.131—129.140 to read as set forth in Annex A.

(b) The Chairperson of the Board shall submit this emergency certified final-omitted regulation to the Office of General Counsel and the Office of Attorney General for review and approval as to legality and form, as required by law.

(c) The Chairperson of the Board shall submit this emergency certified final-omitted regulation to IRRC and the House and Senate Committees as required by the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. §§ 745.1—745.14).

(d) The Chairperson of the Board shall certify this emergency certified final-omitted regulation and deposit it with the Legislative Reference Bureau as required by law.

(e) This emergency certified final-omitted regulation will be submitted to the EPA as a revision to the Commonwealth's SIP.

(f) This emergency certified final-omitted regulation shall take effect immediately upon notice or publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

RAMEZ ZIADEH, P.E.
Acting Chairperson