





Bureau of Safe Drinking Water

Final Rulemaking: Disinfection Requirements Rule

Environmental Quality Board Meeting December 12, 2017

Tom Wolf, Governor

Patrick McDonnell, Secretary

History of Rulemaking

- This proposed rulemaking was originally included in the Draft Proposed Revised Total Coliform Rule (RTCR).
- On 4/21/2015, the EQB approved the proposed RTCR with modifications – which included splitting out the "non-RTCR" provisions for additional stakeholder input.
- Six meetings were held in May, June, & July 2015 to gather additional stakeholder input.



History of Rulemaking

- The proposed Disinfection Requirements Rule was presented to EQB on 11/17/2015 and was published in the PA Bulletin on 2/20/2016 with a 60-day public comment period.
- Three public hearings were held. Comments were received from 20 commentators and IRRC.
- The draft final-form rulemaking was presented to TAC on 7/13/2017 and 8/24/2017; TAC provided recommendations on August 25, 2017.



Background and Purpose

- Existing disinfectant residual requirements for distribution systems have not been updated since 1992 and only require the maintenance of a detectable residual that is defined as 0.02 mg/L.
- Existing requirements are not protective of public health and are not enforceable – the existing standard is below the minimum reporting level of 0.1 mg/L and represents a false positive reading.



Purpose of Rulemaking

- Protect public health through a multi-barrier approach designed to guard against microbial contamination by ensuring the adequacy of treatment for the inactivation of microbial pathogens and the integrity of drinking water distribution systems.
- Incorporate minor clarifications needed to obtain primary enforcement authority (primacy).



New Data Reviewed

- Six-Year Review 3: EPA conducted an occurrence analysis of microbial indicators paired with disinfectant residual data.
 - More than 5 million records from 34 states/tribes were included in the analysis.
 - EPA found there was lower rate of occurrence of Coliform/*E. coli* positive results as free or total chlorine residual increased.
 - EPA concluded that a "detectable" concentration may not be adequately protective of public health due to microbial pathogens.



New Data Reviewed

- Heterotrophic Plate Count (HPC) requirements for other states.
- Findings from DEP's Distribution System Optimization Program.
- Disinfectant residual and microbial data submitted by 6 PA water systems.
 - Data indicate that a very small percentage (0.3 3%) of historical disinfectant residual levels would not have met a disinfectant residual of at least 0.15 mg/L (which rounds up to 0.2 mg/L)
- Additional cost information.



Significant Provisions

- Require water systems with filtration treatment to maintain a 0.20 mg/L disinfectant residual at the entry point.
- 2. Require monitoring and reporting of log inactivation values to ensure compliance with an existing treatment technique.
- 3. Require water systems that use chloramines to develop a nitrification control plan.



Significant Provisions

- Increase the minimum disinfectant residual in the distribution system from 0.02 to 0.2 mg/L (free or total chlorine).
 - Due to analytical method limitations and interferences there may be little to no active disinfectant actually present when disinfectant residuals are < 0.2 mg/L.
 - Scientific studies and data support the fact that residuals of 0.2 mg/L are effective at inactivating *E. coli* and other pathogens.



Applicability

- The CT/log inactivation monitoring and reporting requirements apply to all 353 filter plants which are operated by 319 water systems.
- Disinfectant residual requirements in the distribution system apply to all 1,949 community water systems, and 746 noncommunity water systems that have installed disinfection for a total of 2,695 water systems.



Comparison to Other States

- At least 23 other states have more stringent distribution system disinfectant residual requirements.
- Nineteen of these states have disinfectant residual requirements that are ≥ 0.2 mg/L.
- From 2011 to 2014, the majority of these 19 states had lower percentages of water systems with coliform violations than Pennsylvania.



Estimated Costs

- Entry Point Disinfectant Residual Data Recording:
 - Cost to upgrade to electronic recording devices is ~ \$1,500 for 10% of systems using paper chart recorders (11 systems).
 - $-11 \times $1,500 = $16,500$
- Disinfectant Residuals in Distribution System:
 - 25% of water systems serving over 25,000 people (~20 systems) may need to install automatic flushing devices, tank mixers or booster chlorination stations.



Estimated Costs

- Disinfectant Residuals in Distribution System:
 - Costs for automatic flushers ~ \$2,500
 - Costs for tank mixers ~ \$75,000
 - Costs for booster chlorination stations ~ \$250,000
 - Total estimated costs to the regulated community are as much as \$4,900,000 in capital costs and up to \$250,000 in annual operational expenses.
- Cost savings from avoidance of a disease outbreak is estimated at \$1.5 million.



Significant Issues

Public comments:

- The minimum distribution system disinfectant residual level of 0.2 mg/L should be lower.
 - No studies were received to support a lower number.
- Heterotrophic Plate Count (HPC) should be allowed as an alternate compliance criteria (ACC).
 - Most states with higher residual requirements do not allow HPC as an ACC.
- Compliance costs were underestimated.
 - Cost estimates were updated accordingly.



Summary of Revisions

- Deferred implementation dates by 6 months to 1 year for distribution system disinfectant residual requirements. Allow system-specific schedules for more complex systems.
- Clarified the disinfectant residual compliance calculations:
 - For systems using on-line chlorine analyzers.
 - When both free and total chlorine residual measurements are conducted.
- Revised the requirements for BVRB systems to address EPA's comments.



Implementation Strategy

- Monitoring Plan Form template and Technical Guidance.
- Classroom and web-based training to begin summer 2018.
- Technical assistance and outreach:
 - Operator Outreach Assistance Program.
 - Distribution System Optimization Program.
- Financial assistance through PennVest.





Lisa Daniels Acting Deputy Secretary for Water Programs Idaniels@pa.gov

Bill Cumings Bureau of Regulatory Counsel wcumings@pa.gov