Act 220 - Delaware Region CWPA Public Comments

	Watershed	Organization / Agency / Individual	Signer	Testimony Dated / Letter Dated	Letter Received	Position	Comments
Т	Brodhead	Meiser & Earl, Inc.	Jay F Lynch	11/5/2009		Against	1. August 2009 document does not provide strong evidence of meeting the designation criteria of Guidelines 2. High-yield public water-supply wells possible in headwaters without affecting stream flow on a 1 to 1 ratio 3. Buck Hill Water Company - issue with the discharge not being included (DRBC staff note: did include a discharge at the location of the NPDES permit for a Buck Hills Inn) 4. Questions how methodology treats on-site septic systems supplied by public water as 100% consumptive 5. Aventis site now receiving water from Brodhead Creek Regional Authority 6. Impoundment evaporative loss on water supply reservoirs questioned and its relationship to Q710 7. Very strongly criticizes the regression equation used to calculate Q710 8. Feels its premature to label Brodhead Creek as CWPA, suggests further testing through a partnership over the next 3-5 years
	Brodhead	R.K.R. Hess Associates	Russell D. Scott	11/25/2009	11/25/2009	Against	1. Premature to label Brodhead Creek as CWPA 2. Water supplied by Buck Hill Falls Water Co. is discharged back into Brodhead as treated effluent via stream outfall (DRBC staff note: did include a discharge at the location of the NPDES permit for a Buck Hills Inn) 3. Swiftwater Creek - should no longer be considered problem area due to Sanofi Aventis being supplied with public water 4. Sambo Creek - water supplier is actually "Borough of East Stroudsburg," not ES Municipal Authority 5. Evaporative loss on water supply reservoirs questioned and its relationship to Q710, questioned Q710 estimations given gage info provided in report at Minisink Hills 6. Brodhead Creek is not critically impaired 7. Being considered based on projected future population growth & demands 8. Data from existing stream gages should be used for assessment as CWPA, if withdrawals are being estimated there should be estimates for the wastewater also 9. Both the Brodhead Creek Regional Authority and the Borough of East Stroudsburg's opinion - premature to make a CWPA
	Brodhead	Brodhead Forest and Stream Association	Arnold S. Hoffman	11/10/2009	11/23/2009	Support	Pleased at consideration for CWPA, notes trout habitat and development in the region.
Т	Brodhead	Brodhead Watershed Association	Patricia M. Kennedy	11/9/2009		Support	Community member, has watched fluctuations in McMichael's Creek for 3 years Notes BCRA installation of sewer lines in her neighborhood and generally questions what this means for development.
	Brodhead	Brodhead Forest and Stream Association - Culbro, LLC. Letterhead	Edgar M. Cullman, Jr.	11/8/2009	11/16/2009	Support	Pleased at consideration for CWPA, notes trout habitat and development in the region.
	Brodhead	Brodhead Forest and Stream Association	Daniel E. Gold	11/8/2009	11/16/2009	Support	Pleased at consideration for CWPA, notes trout habitat and development in the region.
	Brodhead	Brodhead Forest and Stream Association	Donna Wazo	11/8/2009	12/1/2009	Support	Pleased at consideration for CWPA, notes trout habitat and development in the region.
	Brodhead	Brodhead Forest and Stream Association	Doug Swift	11/8/2009	12/1/2009	Support	Pleased at consideration for CWPA, notes trout habitat and development in the region.
Т	Brodhead	Brodhead Watershed Assoc.	Edie Stevens	11/9/2009		Support	Comments most strongly pertain to growth in the region and need for sound planning to manage it. Forests critically important to drinking water List of top scoring watersheds for drinking water-East Branch Dei., Middle Del-Mongaup-Brodhead, Upper Del. quoted from USFS publication (abstract and table attached) Buck Hill Creek headwaters decreased with deep wells drilled in a neighboring watershed. Possible 30% loss in stream base flow in future
	Brodhead	Eugene Patrick Hughes, Jr.	Eugne P. Hughes, Jr.		11/23/2009	Support	Short note of support,

Act 220 - Delaware Region CWPA Public Comments

	Watershed	Organization / Agency / Individual	Signer	Testimony Dated / Letter Dated	Letter Received	Position	Comments
	Brodhead	Henryville Flyfishers	Richard J. Shackleton	11/11/2009	11/16/2009	Support	Firmly supports protection of the Creek. Notes its r enowned trout fishing. Comments on threats of "overpopulation, overdevelopment, and excessive withdrawal of groundwater" Urges nomination.
	Brodhead	Monroe County Conservation Dist.	Craig Todd	11/19/2009	11/23/2009	Support	Notes HQ and EV streams and the wastewater that development will bring. Comments that stream is at assimilative capacity already on the Brodhead located at the mouth of the Delaware. Notes that the watershed has numerous interested parties and a variety of resources available to contribute to a CWPA, which is mentioned as "the next logical step".
	Brodhead	Monroe County Planning Comm.	Edward Cramer	11/5/2009	11/9/2009	Support	"Sufficient evidence to support the nomination of the Brodhead Watershed for the CWPA designation"
	Brodhead	Monroe County Watershed Alliance	Trish Attardo	11/6/2009	11/9/2009	Support	Notes HQ EV streams and valued watershed for CWF and PWS. Notes development pressures and recent development of commercial corridors. Urges CWPA and associated planning to help effectively manage future public water and wastewater systems. Notes the resources of the regions 4 watershed groups as valuable to helping the CARP process.
		Brodhead Forest and Stream Association - Peter J Soloman Company Letterhead	Unreadable	11/10/2009	11/16/2009	Support	Pleased at consideration for CWPA, notes trout habitat and development in the region.
	Brodhead		Scot Boyce, Jr.	11/17/2009	11/23/2009	Support	Extends full support, interested in protecting the watershed from commercial and residential development. Urges planning for aquatic and PWS needs. Both the Pike County Office of Community Planning and the Pike County Planning Commission support. Feel nomination is consistent with the Pike County Comprehensive Plan and Pike County Open Space Plan.
	Brodhead	Brodhead Forest and Stream Association	Richard Pomuartz	11/8/2009	11/16/2009	Support	Pleased at consideration for CWPA, notes trout habitat and development in the region.
	Brodhead	Brodhead Forest and Stream Association	Roger Hess	11/8/2009	11/23/2009	Support	Pleased at consideration for CWPA, notes trout habitat and development in the region.
	Brodhead	Brodhead Forest and Stream Association	Tracey Warmus	11/8/2009	11/16/2009	Support	Pleased at consideration for CWPA, notes trout habitat and development in the region.
	Brodhead	Brodhead Forest and Stream Association	Unreadable	11/8/2009	11/24/2009	Support	Pleased at consideration for CWPA, notes trout habitat and development in the region.
	Brodhead	Brodhead Forest and Stream Association	William Geddes	11/8/2009	11/16/2009	Support	Pleased at consideration for CWPA, notes trout habitat and development in the region.
	Brodhead	Brodhead Forest and Stream Association	Susan Brozena	11/9/2009	11/16/2009	Support	Pleased at consideration for CWPA, notes trout habitat and development in the region.
Т	Brodhead	Monroe County Commissioners	Theresa Merli	11/9/2009		Support	Highest projected growth rate (residential and commercial) Number 1 listed concern in The Monroe County 20/20 Comprehensive plan is to preserve and enhance natural resources including water quality and quantity, also item in Brodhead River Conservation Plan and other local publications. Point is that there is a community of concerned groups willing to participate in the CWPA/CARP process. The development of a CARP in the Brodhead as the logical next step.
		milita Shrinste Milita			A TANK SUM	Si de Sti	TAMBARANAN IN THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF
Т	Little Lehigh	Lehigh County Authority	Liesel Adam	11/9/2009		Against	DEP's suggestion that water use restrictions serve as evidence of existing water supply shortages in the basin is a misrepresentation. Believes the restrictions were driven by issues of pipes and pumps, rather than actual water availability Current data not adequate to draw conclusion Screening model may not be appropriate method to evaluate complex carbonate geology Support gathering data

Act 220 - Delaware Region CWPA Public Comments

	Watershed	Organization / Agency / Individual	Signer	Testimony Dated / Letter Dated	Letter Received	Position	Comments
	Little Lehigh	Lehigh County Authority	Aurel Arndt	11/24/2009	12/2/2009	Against	 Comment on delays in projects to expand wells to meet demands resulted in water-use restrictions, not inadequate supplies. Nomination made without proper justification Complex carbonate geology Wastewater system, which is tied to negative pour points, provides important economic growth and environmental quality and is part of planned approach and not reflective of safe yield impacted. LCA has agreed to meet additional water demands by purchasing increasing amounts from City of Allentown Offers 3 graphs in contrast to Supporting Documentation LcA claims to have never received an opportunity to comment or participate in verification process. No funding mechanism to conduct CWPA. CWPA would place burden on LCA and City without funding. Data required for further analysis. LCA willing to participate collaboratively through other avenues.
Т	Little Lehigh	Jane Benning				Support	South Mountain significant part of watershed
т	Little Lehigh	Sierra Club	David K. McGuire. Ph.D.				Recognized as exceptional & threatened Supports an upgrade to EV CW stream. States: Exponentially increasing withdrawal by LCA, sewage leaks form LCA pipes, and general abuse by LCA Lack of storm water management plans
	Little Lehigh	Wildlands Conservancy	Christopher Kocher	11/24/2009	12/1/2009	Support	Notes the streams HQ CWF status and naturally reproducing brown trout population. Also its water supply function. Mentions its policy of supporting any policy of protection of natural resource.
T	Little Lehigh	Janet Keim		11/9/2009			Notes that the stream has gone dry numerous times during her lifetime. Also mentions that since the intercentors construction course lines have fastered
	Little Lehigh	City of Allentown	Mayor Ed Pawlowski	11/25/2009	12/4/2009	Against	into the Little Lehigh during periods of heavy rains. Nomination made without proper justification: deficits shown in the water budget result from placement of pour points, groundwater in the area is fine as evidenced by consistent yields from Schantz and Crystal springs. LCA restrictions related to project delays and not shortage of water resources. Believes L'Lehigh becoming a CWPA will needlessly hinder economic viability of the City and surrounding area.
Т	Little Lehigh	Rob Hamill	Rob Hamili	11/9/2009			Provided documentation in addition to his testimony in the form of emails and a letter to an unnamed addressee. Discusses the streams headwaters going dry in the 60's, 70's and '81 due to transfers of water out of the basin and expresses concern over LCA selling water to bottling company.
		Trout Unlimited?	Stacey Reed (possibly supplied by Rob Hamill as well)	Unknown	Unknown	Support	Appears to be a mass email from Stacey Reed of Trout Unlimited to interested parties urging them to read an article in the June 29, 2009 Morning Call newspaper. The article details the restrictions enforced on the LCA's customers at the time due to concerns that demand would exceed supply.
	Little Lehigh	Little Lehigh Watershed Coalition	Jay Goldstein	Unknown	Unknown	Support?	Appears to be testimony from some other forum, which consists of numerous quotes form various documents regarding stream quality. PADEP and EPA. As a stand alone document this is a bit vague and difficult to understand the stance of the subcomittee.
	Little Lehigh	Miscellaneous	Miscellaneous	Unknown	Unknown	Support	Collection of newspaper clipping reporting on instances of the Little Lehigh going dry. Letter to DRBC Ex. Director Goddard drawing attention to report "Water Resources of Lehigh County. Pennsylvania Geological Survey, Water Resources Report 32." Also included 2000 letter to City of Allentown drawing attention to high turbidity levels in the Little Lehigh
	Little Lehigh	Lehigh Valley Planning Commission	Geoffrey Reese	12/17/2009	12/22/2009	1	1. Acknowledges and supports the written testimony prepared by the City of Allentown and Lehigh County Authority. (PADEP not meeting CWPA guidelines, questioning suse of screening tool in complex geology, tack of consideration of reduced PWS useage over past 30 years, and tack of consideration of partnership between the city of Allentown and LCA) 2. LVPC claims to have not recieved an opportunity to comment or participate in verification process 3. Feels DEP has provided insignificant justification for nomination, insufficient stakeholder input 4. Requests more scientific proof, and stakeholder involvement before moving forward with nomination process

^{*} T = Testimony at 11/9/09 Public Meeting