





Draft Final-Form Rulemaking – Chapter 93, Water Quality Standards Dunbar et al. Stream Redesignation

Agricultural Advisory Board October 20, 2022

Authority

Federal Clean Water Act

- Federal law
- Requires states to adopt water quality standards, including designating uses, criteria to protect uses and an antidegradation policy

Pennsylvania Clean Streams Law

- State law
- Policy is to prevent further pollution and reclaim and restore streams to an unpolluted condition
- Regulations should consider the present and future uses of waters



Protected Water Uses

Designated uses

- Protected uses specified in § § 93.4(a) and 93.9a—93.9z
- Specified for each waterbody or segment
- Specified whether or not they are being attained



Protected Water Uses

Aquatic Life

- Warm Water Fishes (WWF)
- Trout Stocking (TSF)
- Cold Water Fishes (CWF)
- Migratory Fishes (MF)
- Water Supply (all waters, except where noted)
 - Potable Water Supply (PWS)
 - Industrial Water Supply (IWS)
 - Livestock Water Supply (LWS)
 - Wildlife Water Supply (AWS)
 - Irrigation (IRS)





Protected Water Uses

Recreation and Fish Consumption

(all waters, except where noted)

- Boating (B)
- Fishing (F)
- Water Contact (WC)
- Esthetics (E)

Special Protection

- High Quality Waters (HQ)
- Exceptional Value Waters (EV)





Qualifying as High Quality Waters

Chemistry

Long-term water quality exceeds specific water quality criteria 99% of the time

Biology

- High-quality benthic macroinvertebrate community
- Class A Wild Trout









Qualifying as Exceptional Value Waters

Biology

- Higher quality benthic macroinvertebrate community
- Wilderness Trout Stream

Locational

- State Park or State Forest Natural Area
- National Wildlife Refuge

Resource Management Plan

- Protected by a resources management plan
- <u>Exceptional Ecological Significance</u> areas of statewide or local ecological significance based on:
 - Rarity of community types
 - Connected to riverine surface waters
 - Water quality dependent
 - Dominated by aquatic plants



The Redesignation Process

Evaluations are conducted when:

- A petition requesting redesignation is accepted by the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
- A request is received by another agency (PFBC, ACOE) or regional program
- Routine aquatic life use surveys indicate that the stream may qualify for a use that is different than the designated use

Persons may sign up for <u>eNotice</u> to stay informed of a stream redesignation evaluation.



Notice of Assessment

- Prepared by Department
- Submit technical data to the Department within 30 days to assist the Department with its evaluation
- Publication:
 - Pennsylvania Bulletin
 - Department's <u>Stream Redesignations</u> webpage
 - Municipalities and county planning commissions
 - Department's <u>eNotice</u> for stream redesignations



The Report

- Department drafts stream reports based on evaluations of data received as a result of the notice of assessment and data available.
- Reports include recommendations on whether redesignation of a stream is appropriate based on qualifiers.
- Department forwards draft report to petitioner, municipal officials and county planning commissions for 30-day review and comment period.
- Draft reports are also posted on Department's <u>Stream</u>
 <u>Redesignations</u> webpage and a notice is distributed through <u>eNotice</u> and the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*



Rulemaking

- Comments for each of the reports are received and reports are updated to address comments.
- Reports and recommendations are presented to the EQB through a proposed rulemaking.
- If a rulemaking is initiated, the Agricultural Advisory Board is notified.
- As part of the rulemaking process, four notifications occur.



Rulemaking Notifications

- 1. Prior to Proposed Rulemaking
- 2. Proposed Rulemaking
- 3. Public Meeting Notification
- 4. Final Rulemaking



Dunbar Creek et al. - Timeline

- November 7, 2019 Agricultural Advisory Board
- April 20, 2021 Environmental Quality Board (EQB),
 Proposed
- July 31, 2021 45-day Public Comment Period
 Opened
- August 30, 2021 Virtual Public Meeting
- 4th Quarter 2022 or 1st Quarter 2023 EQB, Final



Dunbar Creek et al. Evaluations

Stream	County	Reason for Evaluation
Bear Run	Indiana	Petition
Cranberry Creek	Monroe	Petition
Dunbar Creek	Fayette	Request
Two Lick Creek	Indiana	Petition
Clyde Run	Elk	Routine stream monitoring
UNT 28168 to Oley Creek	Luzerne	Correction of an error in Chapter 93
UNT 08187 to	York	Routine stream monitoring
S. Branch Codorus Creek		



Regulation Summary

- 1. Dunbar Creek (Fayette Co.) HQ-CWF to EV
- 2. Two Lick Creek (Indiana Co.) TSF to CWF
- 3. Bear Run (Indiana Co.) CWF to HQ-CWF and EV
- 4. Clyde Run (Elk Co.) CWF to EV
- UNT South Branch Codorus Creek WWF to EV
- 6. Cranberry Creek (Monroe Co.) HQ-CWF to EV
- 7. UNT Oley Creek (Luzerne Co.) HQ-CWF to CWF



Proposed Rulemaking Comments

- 228 Comments Received
- Testimony from 3 witnesses
- Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC)











Office of Water Programs

Kristen Schlauderaff Bureau of Clean Water kschlauder@pa.gov