

# **Chesapeake Bay Updates**

# Agricultural Advisory Board June 18, 2014 Andy Zemba – Interstate Waters Office



- Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)
- Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement



- **December 2010:** Chesapeake Bay TMDL published by EPA
- January 2011: Phase 1 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
- 2011: EPA Revises Watershed Model Issue revised TMDL allocations
- March 2012: Phase 2 WIP Draft County Planning Targets



- 2017 Evaluation: Have practices and controls in place that are expected to achieve 60 percent of load reductions necessary to achieve applicable water quality standards compared to 2009 levels.
- 2018: Phase 3 WIP
- 2025: Have all practices and controls installed by 2025 to achieve the Bay's DO, water clarity/SAV and chlorophyll-a standards.



# Measuring Progress

• Two – Year Milestones

#### - Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model

- Best Management Practices (BMPs)
- Loading (lb/yr) of Nitrogen, Phosphorous and Sediment
- Programmatic Milestones
  - Regulatory
  - Grants, Projects and Partnerships
- EPA Evaluation



#### Where we are:



#### PA Estimated Delivered Total Nitrogen

#### Since 1985:

- Completed 27% of N reductions needed to meet the TMDL
- Additional 31.4 million pounds to be reduced by 2025
- Downward revisions made to 2010 FSAsupplied data



#### Nitrogen Loads (Millions of Pounds/Yr)

	1985	2012	2013	2017 Checkpoint (60% of WIP)	Reductions by 2017
Total	124.28 <u>%</u>	<b>111.36</b> <u>%</u>	112.71 <u>%</u>	<b>102.52</b> <u>%</u>	10.19
Agriculture	72.79 59%	58.63 53%	61.20 54%	52.69 51%	8.51
Urban Runoff	15.66 13%	17.44 16%	17.18 15%	14.55 14%	2.63
Wastewater & CSO	11.64 9%	11.10 10%	10.21 9%	10.93 11%	-0.72
Septic	1.72 1%	2.07 2%	2.22 2%	2.09 2%	0.13
Forests	22.47 18%	21.08 19%	20.85 18%	21.84 21%	-0.99





#### **PA Estimated Delivered Total Phosphorus**

#### Since 1985:

- Completed 58% of Phosphorus reductions needed to meet the TMDL
- Additional one million pounds needed by 2025
- 2013 results meet
  2013 milestone goal
- WWTP Phosphorus loads met 2013 MS and on track for 2017 midpoint loads

#### Phosphorous Loads (Millions of Pounds/Yr)

	1985	2012	2013	2017 Checkpoint	Reductions by 2017
Total	5.957 <u>%</u>	4.541 <u>%</u>	<b>4.541</b> <u>%</u>	<b>4.400</b> <u>%</u>	0.141
Agriculture	3.045 51%	2.572 57%	2.663 59%	2.395 54%	0.268
Urban Runoff	0.764 13%	0.751 17%	0.689 15%	0.630 14%	0.059
Wastewater & CSO	1.715 29%	0.787 17%	0.767 17%	0.943 21%	-0.176
Forests	0.432 7%	0.394 9%	0.385 8%	0.418 9%	-0.033





#### PA Estimated Delivered Total Suspended Solids

#### Since 1985:

- Completed 40% of TSS reductions needed to meet the TMDL
- Additional 648 million pounds to be reduced by 2025
- Downward revisions made to 2010 FSAsupplied data



#### Total Suspended Solids (Millions of Pounds/Yr)

	198	5	201	2	201	3	2017 Checkpoint	by 2017
Total	2,998.8	<u>%</u>	2,553.6	<u>%</u>	2,565.0	<u>%</u>	2,353.1 <u>%</u>	211.9
Agriculture	1,990.4	66%	1,602.8	63%	1,636.4	64%	1,431.4 61%	205.0
Urban Runoff	580.6	19%	539.1	21%	526.9	20%	447.0 19%	79.9
Wastewater & CSO	35.1	1%	25.9	1%	24.3	1%	87.5 4%	-63.2
Forests	392.6	13%	385.9	15%	377.5	15%	387.2 16%	-9.7



#### Monitoring



- University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science annual report card
- Sectors have made steady progress
- Newly issued WWTP permit limits have reduced point-source phosphorus loads to below 2017 midpoint loading rates
- More aggressive implementation will be needed in other areas to meet 2017 goals







# **Chesapeake Bay Program**





### Why Now?

- The Chesapeake 2000 Agreement is largely outdated
- Federal Agencies Executive Order (EO) of 2009
- The Congressional General Accountability Office called for the "alignment" of the federal EO Chesapeake Bay Strategy goals and the Chesapeake Bay Program Agreement goals
- Process started in 2011
- Signatories eligible to receive funding



### What's New?

- "Headwater" states (NY, DE and WVA) are invited to sign for the first time
- This agreement is shorter than ones in the past
- Goals with focused outcomes
- Management Strategies will be developed for outcomes
- Jurisdictions have flexibility to choose level at which they will participate



### **Sustainable Fisheries Goal:**

- Blue Crab Abundance Outcome
- Blue Crab Management Outcome
- Oyster Outcome
- Forage Fish Outcome
- Fish Habitat Outcome



#### Vital Habitats Goal:

- Wetlands Outcome
  - Black Duck
- Stream Health Outcome
  - Brook Trout
- Fish Passage Outcome
- Submerged Aquatic Vegetation (SAV) Outcome
- Forest Buffer Outcome
- Tree Canopy Outcome



#### Water Quality Goal:

- 2017 Watershed Implementation Plans (WIP) Outcome
- 2025 WIP Outcome
- Water Quality Standards Attainment and Monitoring Outcome



#### **Toxic Contaminants Goal:**

- Toxic Contaminants Research Outcome
- Toxic Contaminants Policy and Prevention Outcome

### **Healthy Watersheds Goal:**

Healthy Waters Outcome



### Stewardship Goal:

- Citizen Stewardship Outcome
- Local Leadership Outcome
- Diversity Outcome



#### Land Conservation Goal:

- Protected Lands Outcome
- Land Use Methods and Metrics Development Outcome
- Land Use Options Evaluation Outcome

### Public Access Goal:

• Public Access Site Development Outcome



### **Environmental Literacy Goal:**

- Student Outcome
- Sustainable Schools Outcome
- Environmental Literacy Planning Outcome

# **Climate Resiliency Goal:**

- Monitoring and Assessment Outcome
- Adaptation Outcome



#### What's Next?

- Development of Management Strategies for Outcomes
- Implementation





# Andy Zemba DEP Interstate Waters Office 717-772-4785 azemba@pa.gov

http://www.chesapeakebay.net/chesapeakebaywatershedagreement/page