

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION OFFICE OF WATER MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF OIL AND GAS MANAGEMENT

| OFFICIAL USE ONLY | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| ID# | | | | | |
| Date Received | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NOTICE OF INTENT (NOI) FOR COVERAGE UNDER THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL GENERAL PERMIT (ESCGP-2) FOR EARTH DISTURBANCE ASSOCIATED WITH OIL AND GAS EXPLORATION, PRODUCTION, PROCESSING, OR TREATMENT OPERATIONS OR TRANSMISSION FACILITIES

| READ THE INSTRUCTIONS PROVIDED IN THIS PERMIT APPLICATION PACKAGE BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM. | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|------|---------|-----------|-------------------|
| PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE INFORM | MATION IN BLAC | CK OR BLUE INK. | | | | |
| | SECTION A. | APPLICANT INFORMATION | ON | | | |
| APPLICATION TYPE NEW ⊠ | RENEWAL | MAJOR MODIFICATIONS | EX | (PEDITE |) F | PHASED 🗌 |
| Applicant's Last Name (If applicable | e) | First Name | MI | Phone | (610) | 216-0583 (cell) |
| Gordon | | Matthew | L | FAX | | |
| Organization Name or Registered F | ictitious Name | | | Phone | (610) | 670-3284 (office) |
| Sunoco Pipeline, L.P. | | | | FAX | | |
| Mailing Address | | City | | State | ZIP + | 4 |
| 535 Fritztown Road | | Sinking Spring | | PA | 19608 | 3 |
| Email Address mlgordon@sunocol | ogistics.com | | | - | | |
| Co-Applicant's Last Name (If application | able) | First Name | MI | Phone | | |
| | | | | FAX | | |
| Organization Name or Registered F | ictitious Name | | | Phone | Phone | |
| | | | | FAX | | |
| Mailing Address | | City | | State | ZIP + | 4 |
| - | | | | | | |
| Email Address | | | | • | • | |
| SECTION B. SITE INFORMATION | | | | | | |
| Site Name | | | | | | |
| Pennsylvania Pipeline Project | | | | | | |
| Site Location | | | | | | |
| Chester and Delaware Counties | | | | | • | |
| Site Location – City | | | | State | ZIP+ | 4 |
| Elverson Township, Chester County to Upper Chichester Township, Delaware County | | | | | | |
| Detailed Written Directions to Site | | | | | | |
| See Directions in Attachment 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| County | 0 | | | | | |
| County Municipality Chester and Delaware See Municipalities Table in Attachment 2 | | | City | Boro 🖂 | Twp. ⊠ | |

| | SECTION C. PROJECT INFORMATION | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | Total Project Area/Project Site (Ac): | 268 | Total Disturbed Area (Ac): | 268 | | | | |
| 2. | Project Name Pennsylvania Pipeline Project | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Centralized Fresh Water Impoundment | Other | ility Processing Facility astewater Impoundment Conventional | ☐ Treatment Facility ☐ Water Pipeline ☐ Unconventional | | | | |
| Pro | | ondonan | conventional | | | | | |
| Sui exi: par (PA Ma (30) pro the corr sys be pip ins how req ass | Project Description Sunoco Pipeline, L.P. (SPLP) proposes to construct and operate the Pennsylvania Pipeline Project that would expand existing pipeline systems to provide natural gas liquid (NGL). The project involves the installation of approximately two parallel pipelines within a 306.8-mile, 50-foot-wide right-of-way (ROW) from Houston, Washington County, Pennsylvania (PA) to SPLP's Marcus Hook facility in Delaware County, PA with the purpose of interconnecting with existing SPLP Mariner East pipelines. A 20-inch diameter pipeline would be installed within the ROW from Houston to Marcus Hook (306.8 miles) and a second, 16-inch diameter pipeline, will also be installed in the same ROW. The second line is proposed to be installed from SPLP's Delmont Station, Westmoreland County, PA to the Marcus Hook facility, paralleling the initial line for approximately 255.8 miles. The majority of the new ROW will be co-located adjacent to existing utility corridors, including approximately 230 miles of pipeline that will be co-located in the existing SPLP Mariner East pipeline system. The 20-inch pipeline will be installed first, followed by the 16-inch line. Any temporary stabilization required will be implemented in accordance with the project's Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Plans. For a conventional lay, the pipelines would be installed within the same disturbance to the maximum extent practicable. For safety purposes, the installation would be staggered by what is estimated to be no more than 60 days. At some HDDs with longer drills, however, the time period between installation of the two pipelines may exceed 60 days. Any temporary stabilization required would be implemented in accordance with project's E&S Plans. Any permanent or temporary impacts associated with the second pipeline installation will be similar to the first installation, as described in more detail in the Application and the balance of these responses. | | | | | | | |
| cor cor | Construction activities will involve clearing and grubbing, trenching, pipe installation, site restoration, and access road construction/improvement. Erosion and sediment controls will be in place during earth disturbance activities. Following completion of pipeline installation, the area will be returned to the general grade present prior to pipeline installation in order to maintain preconstruction elevations and drainage patterns. Disturbed areas will be seeded and mulched. Erosion and sedimentation control devices will be maintained until site work is complete and revegetation is successful. | | | | | | | |
| The project will be constructed for 35 miles in the PADEP South East Region. The project disturbance by county is as follows: | | | | | | | | |
| | nester County: 171 Acres elaware County: 94 Acres, Twin Oaks Pump Station | n Expansion 2 | .39 acres (Total 97 acres) | | | | | |
| 4. | Please provide the latitude and longitude coordi degrees, minutes seconds (DD MM SS.SS) an project's termini. | | | | | | | |
| | Latitude 40° degrees 9' minutes 20.15" seconds | Longit | ude <u>-75º</u> degrees <u>50'</u> minutes | 34.44" seconds | | | | |
| | Latitude 39° degrees 50' minutes 40.48" seconds | Longit | ude <u>-75º</u> degrees <u>25'</u> minutes | 7.23" seconds | | | | |
| | Horizontal Collection Method: ☐ GPS ☐ In | nterpolated fro | m U.S.G.S. Topographic Mar | DEP's eMAP | | | | |
| 5. Ma | U.S.G.S. 7.5 min. Quad Map Name Elverson, Potercus Hook, and Bridgeport (Include a copy of the process) | | | n, West Chester, Media, | | | | |
| 6. | Will the project be conducted as a phased permit of Yes, Include Master Site Plan Estimated Timetal | | | sheet(s) attached. | | | | |

| | | | 1 | Т | 1 | |
|------------------------------|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|
| Phase No. or Name | Description | Total Area | Disturbed Area | Start Date | End Date | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 7. List existing | and previous land use for a minimum of the | ne previous 5 y | ears. Foreste | d/ agricultural/ | rural residential | |
| - | tants: Will the stormwater discharge conta | • | | | | |
| If yes, expla | ain and provide any available quantitative o | lata. | | | | |
| 9. Will fuels, o activities? | hemicals, solvents, other hazardous waste | e or materials b | oe used or sto | red on site du | ring earth disturbance | |
| Yes ⊠ No | ☐ (If yes, a PPC Plan must be mainta | ined on site o | luring earth o | disturbance.) | | |
| 10. Does the pr | roject have the potential to discharge to silt | ation-impaired | waters? | | | |
| | (If yes, show how the project will | not result in | a net change | e in volume, r | ate or water quality. | |
| | n G below.) | | | | | |
| pollution wh | oject site been investigated to identify natunen disturbed? | rally occurring | geologic form | nations or soil | types that may cause | |
| Yes ⊠ | No 🗌 | | | | | |
| | ally occurring geologic formations or soil ty | | • | | | |
| Yes 🛛 | No (If yes, BMPs to avoid or minim | ize the potent | tial pollution | must be utiliz | ed.) | |
| | ject site been analyzed to determine poter | ntial thermal im | pacts to surfa | ce waters of th | ne Commonwealth? | |
| Yes ⊠ | No ☐ ntial thermal impacts to surface water | of the Comm | onwoalth from | m earth dietu | rhance activity been | |
| identified? | miai inemiai impacis to surface water | of the Commi | onwealth noi | ii eaitii dista | ibance activity been | |
| Yes ⊠ | No [(If yes, BMPs to avoid, minimize | e or mitigated | the thermal | pollution mus | st be utilized.) | |
| 13. Have the E | &S Plan and PCSM/SR Plan been planned | d, designed and | d implemented | d to be consist | ent? | |
| Yes ⊠ | No □ | | · | | | |
| 14. Have existi | ng and/or proposed Riparian Forest Buffers | s been identifie | ed? | | | |
| Yes ⊠ | N/A (If not, they must be shown on | the plans.) | | | | |
| • | buffer waiver being requested? | | | | | |
| Yes 🛛 | No 🗆 | | | | | |
| will meet th | applicant requesting a waiver must submit a be requirements of 25 Pa. Code § 102.14 If to the extent practicable. | | | | | |
| | egradation implementation requirements fo | r enecial proto | ction waters b | oon addrosses | | |
| Yes 🛛 | egradation implementation requirements to \square (If no, antidegradation requiren | | | | | |
| | asonal high groundwater level been identif | | | | | |
| | than those which will contain top-hole water, fresh water and uncontaminated drill cuttings? | | | | | |
| Yes 🗌 | | | | | | |
| groundwat | groundwater and the bottom of all pits and impoundments containing pollutional substances is required.) | | | | | |

8000-PM-OOGM0005 Rev. 1/2014 Notice of Intent

| 18. Receiving Water/Watershed Name See Table in Attachment 3. | Name of Municipal or Private Separate Storm Sewer Operator See Table in Attachment 4 |
|---|--|
| Chapter 93, Designated Use and Existing Use Stream Classification | |
| ⊠ High Quality | |
| Siltation-impaired | |
| Secondary Receiving Water | |
| 19. Is an Expedited Review being requested? | Yes ☐ No ⊠ |
| If yes, be advised that the Expedited Review is Process" Item 8, Page 17 of the ESCGP-2 Instruction | not available for all projects. Refer to the "Expedited Review ons to determine if your project is eligible. |
| | D SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN BMPS ons on how to complete this section. |
| Frosion and Sediment Control Plan RMPs should be | designed to minimize accelerated erosion and sedimentation |

Erosion and Sediment Control Plan BMPs should be designed to minimize accelerated erosion and sedimentation through limiting the extent and duration of earth disturbance, protection of existing drainage and vegetation, limiting soil compaction and controlling the generation of increased runoff. The Department recommends the use of the Erosion and Sediment Control BMP Manual to achieve this goal. The E&S Plan must meet the requirements of Pa. Code § 102.4(b) and submitted with the NOI.

1. E & S Plan

The E & S Plan must satisfy at least one of subparagraph A or B below.

Provide a brief summary of proposed BMPs and their performance to manage E & S for the project. If E & S BMPs and their application do not follow the guidelines referenced in the Pa. Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual, provide documentation to demonstrate performance equivalent to, or better than, the BMPs in the Manual.

- Compost Filter Socks This temporary sedimentation control measure consists of wood or metal posts driven through a compost filled mesh tube. Filter socks will be located as needed on side-slope and down-slope boundaries of disturbed areas. Compost filter socks will be sized using the DEP Construction Detail.
- Tarpaulin Covers Tarpaulin covers may be used, as necessary, to protect topsoil storage stockpiles from wind and precipitation erosion. Stockpile slopes will be 2:1 or less. A minimal amount of soil will be stockpiled so that the height of the stockpile is less than 35 feet.
- Rock Filter Outlet Rock filter outlets will be used, as necessary, to address problems of concentrated flows to sediment barriers. In the event of unanticipated concentrated flow and sediment barrier failure, install a rock filter outlet unless the concentrated flow can be diverted away from the barrier. Rock filter outlets used in drainage areas with HQ and EV waters need a 6" layer of compost installed on the upslope side of the rock.
- Rock Construction Entrance Temporary access routes will be established on and proximate to the site to facilitate construction activities. The use of access routes will help confine truck and equipment traffic to specific corridors thus minimizing land disturbance and protecting vegetation. Site traffic during wet weather will be limited. No vehicles will be permitted in streams or rivers.
- Wash Racks Wash racks will be used at rock construction entrances and will be designed to accommodate anticipated vehicular traffic. A water supply will be made available at wash racks to wash the wheels of vehicles exiting the site.
- Pumped Water Filter Bag Pumped water filter bags may be used to filter water pumped from disturbed areas prior to discharging to surface waters. Compost filter socks shall be installed within 50 feet of any receiving surface water or where grassy area is not available.
- Erosion Control Blanket A manufactured erosion control blanket shall be installed on all slopes 3:1 (H:V) or steeper and within 100 feet of stream banks, where applicable. The blanket shall be biodegradable but capable of providing protection for two growing seasons. Straw or similar fiber material shall be placed between two biodegradable nets. The top net shall be heavyweight and UV stabilized; the bottom net shall be a lightweight netting. Erosion control blankets shall be anchored and stapled in place in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations and the detail on the construction drawings. For slopes between 3:1 and 1:1 (H:V) use erosion control blanket SC 150 as manufactured by North American Green or Owner approved equal material or equal method.
- Waterbars Waterbars shall be installed across the right-of-way on all slopes greater than 5%. Waterbars should be constructed at a slope of 2% and discharge to a well-vegetated area. Waterbars should not discharge into an open trench. Waterbars should be oriented so that the discharge does not flow back onto the right-of-way. Obstructions (e.g. compost filter socks etc.) should not be placed in any waterbars. Where needed, they should be located below the discharge end of the waterbar.

Trench Plugs - To be used to prevent piping along the pipeline.

8000-PM-OOGM0005 Rev. 1/2014 Notice of Intent

| | A. | E & S plan is designed using BMPs in the Pennsylvania Erosion & Sedimentation Pollution Control Manua (ESPC) (Technical Guidance #3632134-008/March 2012) |
|----|----|--|
| | OR | |
| | B. | ☐ E & S plan is designed using an alternative BMP or design standard |
| | | |
| | | |
| 2. | | Darian Buffer Information Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a riparian buffer or a riparian forest buffer as a part of this project? |
| | | Protect ⊠ Yes ☐ No Convert ⊠ Yes ☐ No Establish ☐ Yes ☒ No |
| | B. | Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a voluntary riparian forest buffer as part of this project? ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| | C. | Are you proposing to conduct oil and gas activities for which site reclamation or restoration is required as part of the Chapter 78 permit authorization in a high quality or exceptional value watershed that is currently attaining its designated use and within 150 ft of a perennial or intermittent river, stream or creek or lake, pond or reservoir? |
| | | \square Yes \square No If yes, provide a demonstration that any existing riparian buffer is undisturbed to the extent practicable. |
| | D. | If the regulations require a riparian buffer or riparian forest buffer and you are not providing one, list the waiver provisions in the Chapter 102 regulations, Section 102.14(d)(2)(i)-(vi), that you are requesting and provide additional documentation to demonstrate reasonable alternatives for compliance with 102.14 requirements and to demonstrate that any existing reparian buffer will remain undisturbed to the extent practicable. |
| | | (See Attachment 6 for Riparian Buffer Waiver Request Information) This project qualifies for an exception of the riparian forest buffer requirement under Chapter 102.14(d)(1)(ix). Existing riparian forest buffers within the project area are identified on the E&S plan drawings in Attachment 2 of the E&S Plan. Existing riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable by minimizing the limit of disturbance at stream crossings. In addition to the exception, we are requesting a waiver under 102.14(d)(2)(ii) for areas within 150' of surface waters that are outside of the Chapter 105 permit area. |
| | | All disturbance activities, including those which impact riparian forest buffers, have been reduced to the extent practicable. The limit of disturbance has been reduced to 50 feet wide at all stream crossings within the riparian forest buffer area where possible. In areas where it is not practicable to reduce the LOD throughout the entire extent of the riparian forest buffer, the LOD has been reduced to 50 feet wide surrounding the stream channel. The operations within the LOD near stream crossings typically includes a topsoil stockpile, a stockpile for pipe trench excavation material, a pipe trench, a travel lane, a work area for equipment operation and pipeline welding outside the trench, and an area to install the erosion control BMPs. In addition, site conditions such as steep slopes, varying depths of topsoil, and other on-site conditions limit the amount of work area. Reducing the LOD to a greater extent could potentially result in unsafe working conditions and would hinder the ability to complete the stream crossing within the required time frame of 24 hours or less. Workspaces that provide additional space for stream crossing activities have been placed outside of riparian forest buffers where possible. |
| | No | te: If the proposed activity protects, converts or establishes a riparian or riparian forest buffer a Buffer Management Plan is required in the PCSM Plan. |

| 3. | Thermal Impacts Analysis Please explain how thermal impacts as | sociated with this project were avoid | ded, minimized, or mitigated. |
|------------|---|---|---|
| | Potential thermal impacts to surface was where possible. The disturbed areas w | | g clearing and retaining existing vegetation |
| | more possible. The dictal bod areae in | 50 10000000 00 00011 00 p10011000 | no renorming contentation |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | SECTION | I E. SITE RESTORATION (SR) PL | AN DMDC |
| | | ed Instructions on how to comple | |
| | If this section is not applicab | le to your project, please indicate | by checking this box: N/A |
| sim aut | nilar utility infrastructure provide the inf | formation outlined below. If your poelines and other similar utility infras | napter 78 (well pads) or pipelines and other project includes both oil and gas activities structure and other activities requiring Post Section as well as Section F. |
| ext The | ensive construction/maintenance activit | y, promote pollutant reduction, and PA Stormwater BMP manual to achi | inate pollution, infiltrate runoff, not require preserve the integrity of stream channels. eve this goal. The SR Plan must meet the |
| 1. | | e) or minimize point source discharg | pe designed to maximize volume reduction ges to surface waters, preserve the integrity ties of the receiving surface water. |
| | Design standards applied to develop the | ne Site Restoration Plan. Check tho | se that apply. |
| | | Plan is consistent with an applicable | e approved Act 167 Plan. |
| | Complete the following for all approved | Act 167 Stormwater Management I | Plans. (Use additional sheets if necessary) |
| | Act 167 Plan Name | Date Adopted | Consistency Letter Included |
| | See Table in Attachment 5 | | Verification Report Included |
| | NOTE : A consistency letter is not requ Restoration Plan must satisfy either su | | ded. Please see NOI Instructions. The Site ck those that apply. |

| A. | | | | |
|--|----|-----|---|--|
| oil and gas activities authorized by a permit issued under Chapter 78 (well pads) or pipelinies and other similar utility infrastructure, post construction stormwater management requirements are met for all areas that are restored to preconstruction conditions or to a condition of meadow in good condition or better. C. ☐ Alternative Design Standard — The attached PCSM Plan was developed using approaches other than 102.8(g)(2). Demonstrate/explain in the space provided below how this standard will be either more protective than what is required in 102.8(g)(2) or will maintain and protect existing water quality and existing and designated uses. 2. Riparian Buffer Information A. Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a riparian buffer or a riparian forest buffer as part of this activity? Protect ☑ Yes ☐ No Convert ☑ Yes ☐ No Establish ☐ Yes ☑ No B. Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a voluntary riparian forest buffer as part of this activity? ☐ Yes ☐ No C. Are you proposing to conduct oil and gas activities for which site reclamation or restoration is required under a permit issued under the authority of the 2012 Oil and Gas Act and Chapter 78 in a high quality or exceptional value watershed that is currently attaining its designated use and within 150 ft of a perennial or intermittent river, stream or creek or lake, pond or reservoir? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, provide a demonstration that any existing riparian buffer is undisturbed to the extent practicable. D. If the regulations require a riparian buffer or riparian forest buffer and you are not providing one, list below the waiver provisions in the Chapter 102 regulations. Section 102.14(d)(i)-(i), that you are requesting and provide additional documentation to demonstrate reasonable alternatives for compliance with 102.14 requirements and to demonstrate that any existing reparian buffer will remain undisturbed to the extent practicable. (See Attachment 6 for Riparian buffer waiver Request Information) This project qualifies for | | A. | | with all requirements pertaining to rate, volume, and water quality from an Act 167 Stormwater Management Plan approved by DEP on or after January 2005. Letter A must be checked if a current, DEP |
| 102.8(g)(2). Demonstrate/explain in the space provided below how this standard will be either more protective than what is required in 102.8(g)(2) or will maintain and protect existing water quality and existing and designated uses. 2. Riparian Buffer Information A. Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a riparian buffer or a riparian forest buffer as part of this activity? Protect ☑ Yes ☐ No Convert ☑ Yes ☐ No Establish ☐ Yes ☑ No B. Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a voluntary riparian forest buffer as part of this activity? ☐ Yes ☑ No C. Are you proposing to conduct oil and gas activities for which site reclamation or restoration is required under a permit issued under the authority of the 2012 Oil and Gas Act and Chapter 78 in a high quality or exceptional value watershed that is currently attaining its designated use and within 150 ft of a perennial or intermittent river, stream or creek or lake, pond or reservoir? ☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, provide a demonstration that any existing riparian buffer is undisturbed to the extent practicable. D. If the regulations require a riparian buffer or riparian forest buffer and you are not providing one, list below the waiver provisions in the Chapter 102 regulations, Section 102.14(d)(i)-(vi), that you are requesting and provide additional documentation to demonstrate reasonable alternatives for compliance with 102.14 requirements and to demonstrate that any existing reparian buffer will remain undisturbed to the extent practicable. (See Attachment 6 for Riparian Buffer Waiver Request Information) This project qualifies for an exception of the riparian forest buffer requirement under Chapter 102.14(d)(1)(ix). Existing riparian forest buffers within the project area are identified on the E&S plan drawings in Attachment 2 of the E&S Plan. Existing riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable by minimizing the limit of disturbance at stream crossings. In addition to the exception, we are requesting a waiver under | | B. | | oil and gas activities authorized by a permit issued under Chapter 78 (well pads) or pipelines and other similar utility infrastructure, post construction stormwater management requirements are met for all areas |
| A. Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a riparian buffer or a riparian forest buffer as part of this activity? Protect Yes No Convert Yes No Establish Yes No B. Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a voluntary riparian forest buffer as part of this activity? Yes No C. Are you proposing to conduct oil and gas activities for which site reclamation or restoration is required under a permit issued under the auhtority of the 2012 Oil and Gas Act and Chapter 78 in a high quality or exceptional value watershed that is currently attaining its designated use and within 150 ft of a perennial or intermittent river, stream or creek or lake, pond or reservoir? Yes No If yes, provide a demonstration that any existing riparian buffer is undisturbed to the extent practicable. D. If the regulations require a riparian buffer or riparian forest buffer and you are not providing one, list below the waiver provisions in the Chapter 102 regulations, Section 102.14(d)(i)-(vi), that you are requesting and provide additional documentation to demonstrate reasonable alternatives for compliance with 102.14 requirements and to demonstrate that any existing reparian buffer will remain undisturbed to the extent practicable. (See Attachment 6 for Riparian Buffer Waiver Request Information) This project qualifies for an exception of the riparian forest buffer requirement under Chapter 102.14(d)(1)(ix). Existing riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable by minimizing the limit of disturbance at stream crossings. In addition to the exception, we are requesting a waiver under 102.14(d)(2)(ii) for areas within 150 of surface waters that are outside of the Chapter 105 permit area. All disturbance activities, including those which impact riparian forest buffers, have been reduced to the extent practicable. The limit of disturbance has been reduced to 50 feet wide at all stream crossings within the riparian forest buffer where pos | | C. | | 102.8(g)(2). Demonstrate/explain in the space provided below how this standard will be either more protective than what is required in 102.8(g)(2) or will maintain and protect existing water quality and existing |
| A. Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a riparian buffer or a riparian forest buffer as part of this activity? Protect Yes No Convert Yes No Establish Yes No B. Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a voluntary riparian forest buffer as part of this activity? Yes No C. Are you proposing to conduct oil and gas activities for which site reclamation or restoration is required under a permit issued under the auhtority of the 2012 Oil and Gas Act and Chapter 78 in a high quality or exceptional value watershed that is currently attaining its designated use and within 150 ft of a perennial or intermittent river, stream or creek or lake, pond or reservoir? Yes No If yes, provide a demonstration that any existing riparian buffer is undisturbed to the extent practicable. D. If the regulations require a riparian buffer or riparian forest buffer and you are not providing one, list below the waiver provisions in the Chapter 102 regulations, Section 102.14(d)(i)-(vi), that you are requesting and provide additional documentation to demonstrate reasonable alternatives for compliance with 102.14 requirements and to demonstrate that any existing reparian buffer will remain undisturbed to the extent practicable. (See Attachment 6 for Riparian Buffer Waiver Request Information) This project qualifies for an exception of the riparian forest buffer requirement under Chapter 102.14(d)(1)(ix). Existing riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable by minimizing the limit of disturbance at stream crossings. In addition to the exception, we are requesting a waiver under 102.14(d)(2)(ii) for areas within 150 of surface waters that are outside of the Chapter 105 permit area. All disturbance activities, including those which impact riparian forest buffers, have been reduced to the extent practicable. The limit of disturbance has been reduced to 50 feet wide at all stream crossings within the riparian forest buffer where pos | 2 | Dir | arian | Puffor Information |
| Protect | ۷. | - | | |
| C. Are you proposing to conduct oil and gas activities for which site reclamation or restoration is required under a permit issued under the authority of the 2012 Oil and Gas Act and Chapter 78 in a high quality or exceptional value watershed that is currently attaining its designated use and within 150 ft of a perennial or intermittent river, stream or creek or lake, pond or reservoir? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, provide a demonstration that any existing riparian buffer is undisturbed to the extent practicable. D. If the regulations require a riparian buffer or riparian forest buffer and you are not providing one, list below the waiver provisions in the Chapter 102 regulations, Section 102.14(d)(i)-(vi), that you are requesting and provide additional documentation to demonstrate reasonable alternatives for compliance with 102.14 requirements and to demonstrate that any existing reparian buffer will remain undisturbed to the extent practicable. (See Attachment 6 for Riparian Buffer Waiver Request Information) This project qualifies for an exception of the riparian forest buffer requirement under Chapter 102.14(d)(1)(ix). Existing riparian forest buffers within the project area are identified on the E&S plan drawings in Attachment 2 of the E&S Plan. Existing riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable by minimizing the limit of disturbance at stream crossings. In addition to the exception, we are requesting a waiver under 102.14(d)(2)(ii) for areas within 150' of surface waters that are outside of the Chapter 105 permit area. All disturbance activities, including those which impact riparian forest buffers, have been reduced to the extent practicable. The limit of disturbance has been reduced to 50 feet wide at all stream crossings within the riparian forest buffer, the LOD has been reduced to 50 feet wide surrounding the stream channel. The operations within the LOD near stream crossings typically includes a topsoil stockpile, a stockpile for pipe trench excavation material, a pipe trenc | | Λ. | • | |
| permit issued under the auhtority of the 2012 Oil and Gas Act and Chapter 78 in a high quality or exceptional value watershed that is currently attaining its designated use and within 150 ft of a perennial or intermittent river, stream or creek or lake, pond or reservoir? Yes No If yes, provide a demonstration that any existing riparian buffer is undisturbed to the extent practicable. D. If the regulations require a riparian buffer or riparian forest buffer and you are not providing one, list below the waiver provisions in the Chapter 102 regulations, Section 102.14(d)(i)-(vi), that you are requesting and provide additional documentation to demonstrate reasonable alternatives for compliance with 102.14 requirements and to demonstrate that any existing reparian buffer will remain undisturbed to the extent practicable. (See Attachment 6 for Riparian Buffer Waiver Request Information) This project qualifies for an exception of the riparian forest buffer requirement under Chapter 102.14(d)(1)(ix). Existing riparian forest buffers within the project area are identified on the E&S plan drawings in Attachment 2 of the E&S Plan. Existing riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable by minimizing the limit of disturbance at stream crossings. In addition to the exception, we are requesting a waiver under 102.14(d)(2)(ii) for areas within 150' of surface waters that are outside of the Chapter 105 permit area. All disturbance activities, including those which impact riparian forest buffers, have been reduced to the extent practicable. The limit of disturbance has been reduced to 50 feet wide at all stream crossings within the riparian forest buffer area where possible. In areas where it is not practicable to reduce the LOD throughout the entire extent of the riparian forest buffer, the LOD has been reduced to 50 feet wide surrounding the stream channel. The operations within the LOD near stream crossings typically includes a topsoil stockpile, a stockpile for pipe trench excavation materi | | B. | _ ` | |
| D. If the regulations require a riparian buffer or riparian forest buffer and you are not providing one, list below the waiver provisions in the Chapter 102 regulations, Section 102.14(d)(i)-(vi), that you are requesting and provide additional documentation to demonstrate reasonable alternatives for compliance with 102.14 requirements and to demonstrate that any existing reparian buffer will remain undisturbed to the extent practicable. (See Attachment 6 for Riparian Buffer Waiver Request Information) This project qualifies for an exception of the riparian forest buffer requirement under Chapter 102.14(d)(1)(ix). Existing riparian forest buffers within the project area are identified on the E&S plan drawings in Attachment 2 of the E&S Plan. Existing riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable by minimizing the limit of disturbance at stream crossings. In addition to the exception, we are requesting a waiver under 102.14(d)(2)(ii) for areas within 150' of surface waters that are outside of the Chapter 105 permit area. All disturbance activities, including those which impact riparian forest buffers, have been reduced to the extent practicable. The limit of disturbance has been reduced to 50 feet wide at all stream crossings within the riparian forest buffer area where possible. In areas where it is not practicable to reduce the LOD throughout the entire extent of the riparian forest buffer, the LOD has been reduced to 50 feet wide surrounding the stream channel. The operations within the LOD near stream crossings typically includes a topsoil stockpile, a stockpile for pipe trench excavation material, a pipe trench, a travel lane, a work area for equipment operation and pipeline welding outside the trench, and an area to install the erosion control BMPs. In addition, site conditions such as steep slopes, varying depths of topsoil, and other on-site conditions limit the amount of work area. Reducing the LOD to a greater extent could potentially result in unsafe working conditions and wou | | C. | perm value | it issued under the auhtority of the 2012 Oil and Gas Act and Chapter 78 in a high quality or exceptional watershed that is currently attaining its designated use and within 150 ft of a perennial or intermittent river, |
| waiver provisions in the Chapter 102 regulations, Section 102.14(d)(i)-(vi), that you are requesting and provide additional documentation to demonstrate reasonable alternatives for compliance with 102.14 requirements and to demonstrate that any existing reparian buffer will remain undisturbed to the extent practicable. (See Attachment 6 for Riparian Buffer Waiver Request Information) This project qualifies for an exception of the riparian forest buffer requirement under Chapter 102.14(d)(1)(ix). Existing riparian forest buffers within the project area are identified on the E&S plan drawings in Attachment 2 of the E&S Plan. Existing riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable by minimizing the limit of disturbance at stream crossings. In addition to the exception, we are requesting a waiver under 102.14(d)(2)(ii) for areas within 150' of surface waters that are outside of the Chapter 105 permit area. All disturbance activities, including those which impact riparian forest buffers, have been reduced to the extent practicable. The limit of disturbance has been reduced to 50 feet wide at all stream crossings within the riparian forest buffer area where possible. In areas where it is not practicable to reduce the LOD throughout the entire extent of the riparian forest buffer, the LOD has been reduced to 50 feet wide surrounding the stream channel. The operations within the LOD near stream crossings typically includes a topsoil stockpile, a stockpile for pipe trench excavation material, a pipe trench, a travel lane, a work area for equipment operation and pipeline welding outside the trench, and an area to install the erosion control BMPs. In addition, site conditions such as steep slopes, varying depths of topsoil, and other on-site conditions limit the amount of work area. Reducing the LOD to a greater extent could potentially result in unsafe working conditions and would hinder the ability to complete the stream crossing within the required time frame of 24 hours or less. Workspaces that | | | | |
| This project qualifies for an exception of the riparian forest buffer requirement under Chapter 102.14(d)(1)(ix). Existing riparian forest buffers within the project area are identified on the E&S plan drawings in Attachment 2 of the E&S Plan. Existing riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable by minimizing the limit of disturbance at stream crossings. In addition to the exception, we are requesting a waiver under 102.14(d)(2)(ii) for areas within 150' of surface waters that are outside of the Chapter 105 permit area. All disturbance activities, including those which impact riparian forest buffers, have been reduced to the extent practicable. The limit of disturbance has been reduced to 50 feet wide at all stream crossings within the riparian forest buffer area where possible. In areas where it is not practicable to reduce the LOD throughout the entire extent of the riparian forest buffer, the LOD has been reduced to 50 feet wide surrounding the stream channel. The operations within the LOD near stream crossings typically includes a topsoil stockpile, a stockpile for pipe trench excavation material, a pipe trench, a travel lane, a work area for equipment operation and pipeline welding outside the trench, and an area to install the erosion control BMPs. In addition, site conditions such as steep slopes, varying depths of topsoil, and other on-site conditions limit the amount of work area. Reducing the LOD to a greater extent could potentially result in unsafe working conditions and would hinder the ability to complete the stream crossing within the required time frame of 24 hours or less. Workspaces that provide additional space for stream crossing activities have been placed outside of riparian forest buffers where possible. Note: If the proposed activity protects, converts or establishes a riparian or riparian forest buffer a Buffer Management Plan is required in the PCSM Plan. | | D. | waive addit | er provisions in the Chapter 102 regulations, Section 102.14(d)(i)-(vi), that you are requesting and provide ional documentation to demonstrate reasonable alternatives for compliance with 102.14 requirements and to |
| practicable. The limit of disturbance has been reduced to 50 feet wide at all stream crossings within the riparian forest buffer area where possible. In areas where it is not practicable to reduce the LOD throughout the entire extent of the riparian forest buffer, the LOD has been reduced to 50 feet wide surrounding the stream channel. The operations within the LOD near stream crossings typically includes a topsoil stockpile, a stockpile for pipe trench excavation material, a pipe trench, a travel lane, a work area for equipment operation and pipeline welding outside the trench, and an area to install the erosion control BMPs. In addition, site conditions such as steep slopes, varying depths of topsoil, and other on-site conditions limit the amount of work area. Reducing the LOD to a greater extent could potentially result in unsafe working conditions and would hinder the ability to complete the stream crossing within the required time frame of 24 hours or less. Workspaces that provide additional space for stream crossing activities have been placed outside of riparian forest buffers where possible. Note: If the proposed activity protects, converts or establishes a riparian or riparian forest buffer a Buffer Management Plan is required in the PCSM Plan. | | | This Exist the E distu | project qualifies for an exception of the riparian forest buffer requirement under Chapter 102.14(d)(1)(ix). ing riparian forest buffers within the project area are identified on the E&S plan drawings in Attachment 2 of E&S Plan. Existing riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable by minimizing the limit of rbance at stream crossings. In addition to the exception, we are requesting a waiver under 102.14(d)(2)(ii) |
| · | | | pract fores exter The trenc outsides slope to a get the s for st | icable. The limit of disturbance has been reduced to 50 feet wide at all stream crossings within the riparian to buffer area where possible. In areas where it is not practicable to reduce the LOD throughout the entire not of the riparian forest buffer, the LOD has been reduced to 50 feet wide surrounding the stream channel operations within the LOD near stream crossings typically includes a topsoil stockpile, a stockpile for pipe the excavation material, a pipe trench, a travel lane, a work area for equipment operation and pipeline welding detected the trench, and an area to install the erosion control BMPs. In addition, site conditions such as steep as, varying depths of topsoil, and other on-site conditions limit the amount of work area. Reducing the LOD agreater extent could potentially result in unsafe working conditions and would hinder the ability to complete tream crossing within the required time frame of 24 hours or less. Workspaces that provide additional space ream crossing activities have been placed outside of riparian forest buffers where possible. |
| | 2 | | | · |

This section does not need to be completed for areas of projects involving oil and gas activities authorized by Chapter 78 (well pads) or pipelines and other similar utility infrastructure which will be restored to meadow in good condition or better or existing conditions.

| Watershed Name: N/A - Restoring management calculations ass | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| Design storm frequency Rainfall amount inches | Pre-construction | Post Construction | Net Change |
| Impervious area (acres) | | | |
| Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) without planned stormwater BMPs | | | |
| Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) with planned stormwater BMP | | | |
| Stormwater discharge rate for the design frequency storm | Pre-construction | Post Construction | Net Change |
| 1) 2-Year/24-Hour | | | |
| 2) 10-Year/24-Hour | | | |
| 3) 50-year/24-Hour | | | |
| 4) 100-year/24-Hour | | | |
| 4. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF | SITE RESTORATION B | MPs | |
| In the lists below, check the BMF function(s) of the BMP listed in the functions may be added if applicab BMP type when calculations are respace provided after "Other". | functions column (infiltralle to that BMP. List the | ation/recharge; detention/retent stormwater volume and area o | ion; water quality). Additional of runoff to be treated by each |
| ВМР | Function(s) | Volume of stormwater treated | Acres treated |
| Site Restoration Restore Site to Meadow in Good Condition or Better, or Existing Conditions | Infiltration/Recharge Detention/WQ Treatment | | |
| Bio-infiltration areas | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| ☐ Infiltration Trench☐ Infiltration Bed☐ Infiltrated Basin | 3 | | |
| Natural Area Conservation Streamside Buffer Zone Wetland Buffer Zone Sensitive Area Buffer Zone Pre-Construction Drainage Pattern Intact | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| Stormwater Retention Constructed Wetlands Wet Ponds Retention Basin | Detention/Retention | | |
| Sediment and Pollutant Removal Vegetated Filter Strips Detention Basins | Water Quality Treatment | | |

| Access Road Design | Infiltration/Recharge | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ Road Crowning | | | | | | | |
| Ditches | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Roadside Vegetated Filter | | | | | | | |
| Strips | | | | | | | |
| Stormwater Energy Dissipaters | Infiltration/Recharge | | | | | | |
| Level Spreaders | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Riprap Aprons | | | | | | | |
| Upslope Diversions | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 5. Off-site Discharge Analysis. | | | | | | | |
| Does the activity propose any o | • | _ | ⊠ Yes □ No | | | | |
| If yes, it is the applicant's respo | nsibility to ensure that the | y have legal authority for any of | ff-site discharge. | | | | |
| The Applicant must provide a cause erosion, damage, or a nu | | | ns that the discharge will not | | | | |
| See Attachement 8 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 6. Thermal Impact Analysis. | | | | | | | |
| Explain how thermal impacts as | sociated with this project | were avoided, minimized, or mi | tigated. | | | | |
| Potential themal impacts to surf where possible. Permanent see | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | SECTION F. POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER MANAGEMENT (PCSM) PLAN BMPS See the attached Instructions on how to complete this section. | | | | | | |
| If this section is not ap | pplicable to your project | , please indicate by checking | this box: N/A 🗌 | | | | |
| For earth disturbance projects red below. If your project includes both Gas Act and Chapter 78 (well pads Construction Stormwater Managem | n oil and gas activities au s) or pipelines and other s | thorized under a well permit is similar utility infrastructure and | sued under the 2012 Oil and other activities requiring Post | | | | |

Post Construction Stormwater Management BMPs should be designed to use natural measures to eliminate pollution, infiltrate runoff, not require extensive construction/maintenance activity, promote pollutant reduction, and preserve the integrity of stream channels. The Department recommends the use of PA Stormwater BMP manual to achieve this goal. If PCSM BMPS and their application do not follow the guidelines referenced in the PA Stormwater BMP Manual, provide documentation to demonstrate performance equivalent to, or better than, the BMPs in the Manual. Post Construction Stormwater Management Plan Information – The Post Construction Stormwater Management Plan must meet the requirements in 25 Pa. Code §102.8 and should be designed to maximize volume reduction technologies, eliminate (where possible) or minimize point source discharges to surface waters, preserve the integrity of stream channels, and protect the physical, biological and chemical qualities of the receiving surface water. Design standards applied to develop the Post Construction Stormwater Management Plan. Check those that apply. Act 167 Plan – The attached PCSM Plan is consistent with an applicable approved Act 167 Plan. Complete the following for all approved Act 167 Stormwater Management Plans. (Use additional sheets if necessary) Act 167 Plan Name Date Adopted Consistency Letter Included Verification Report Included \bowtie See Table in Attachment 5 NOTE: A consistency letter is not required if a verification report is provided. Please see NOI Instructions. The PCSM Plan must satisfy either subparagraph A, B, or C below. Check those that apply. If a current, DEP approved Act 167 Plan exists, letter A must be checked. Act 167 Plan approvals on or after January 2005 - The attached PCSM Plan, in its entirety, is consistent with all requirements pertaining to rate, volume, and water quality from an Act 167 Stormwater Management Plan approved by DEP on or after January 2005. B. \boxtimes The PCSM meets the standard design criteria from 102.8(g)(2) and (3) the PA Stormwater BMP Manual. [Note: PCSM plans have to meet both the volume and rate requirements in the regulations, which are provided in these 2 sections]. С. П Alternative Design Standard - The attached PCSM Plan was developed using alternative approaches as provided in 102.8(g)(2)(iv) and 102.(g)(3)(iii). Demonstrate/explain in the space provided below how this standard will be either more protective than what is required in 102.8(g)(2) and 102.8(g)(3) or will maintain and protect existing water quality and existing and designated uses. **Riparian Buffer Information** A. Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a riparian buffer or a riparian forest buffer as part of this activity? Convert ⊠ Yes ☐ No Protect ⊠ Yes □ No Establish ☐ Yes ☒ No B. Will you be protecting, converting or establishing a voluntary riparian forest buffer as part of this activity? C. Are you proposing to conduct oil and gas activities for which site reclamation or restoration is is required under a well permit issued under the authority of the 2012 Oil and Gas Act and Chapter 78 and in a high quality or exceptional value watershed that is currently attaining its designated use and within 150 ft of a perennial or intermittent river, stream or creek or lake, pond or reservoir? Yes No If yes, provide a demonstration that any existing riparian buffer is undisturbed to the extent practicable. D. If the regulations require a riparian buffer or riparian forest buffer and you are not providing one, list below the waiver provisions in the Chapter 102 regulations, Section 102.14(d)(i)-(vi), that you are requesting and provide

additional documentation to demonstrate reasonable alternatives for compliance with 102.14 requirements and to

demonstrate that any existing reparian buffer will remain undisturbed to the extent practicable.

(See Attachment 6 for Riparian Buffer Waiver Request Information)

This project qualifies for an exception of the riparian forest buffer requirement under Chapter 102.14(d)(1)(ix). Existing riparian forest buffers within the project area are identified on the E&S plan drawings in Attachment 2 of the E&S Plan. Existing riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable by minimizing the limit of disturbance at stream crossings. In addition to the exception, we are requesting a waiver under 102.14(d)(2)(ii) for areas within 150' of surface waters that are outside of the Chapter 105 permit area.

All disturbance activities, including those which impact riparian forest buffers, have been reduced to the extent practicable. The limit of disturbance has been reduced to 50 feet wide at all stream crossings within the riparian forest buffer area where possible. In areas where it is not practicable to reduce the LOD throughout the entire extent of the riparian forest buffer, the LOD has been reduced to 50 feet wide surrounding the stream channel. The operations within the LOD near stream crossings typically includes a topsoil stockpile, a stockpile for pipe trench excavation material, a pipe trench, a travel lane, a work area for equipment operation and pipeline welding outside the trench, and an area to install the erosion control BMPs. In addition, site conditions such as steep slopes, varying depths of topsoil, and other on-site conditions limit the amount of work area. Reducing the LOD to a greater extent could potentially result in unsafe working conditions and would hinder the ability to complete the stream crossing within the required time frame of 24 hours or less. Workspaces that provide additional space for stream crossing activities have been placed outside of riparian forest buffers where possible

Note: If the proposed activity protects, converts or establishes a riparian or riparian forest buffer a Buffer Management Plan is required in the PCSM Plan.

Watershed Name: Fairview Road - Chester County

| Design storm frequency <u>2-yr</u> Rainfall amount <u>3.24</u> inches | Pre-construction | Post Construction | Net Change |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Impervious area (acres) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) without planned stormwater BMPs | 0.010 | 0.027 | 0.017 |
| Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) with planned stormwater BMPs | | 0.000 | -0.010 |
| Stormwater discharge rate for the design frequency storm | | | |
| 1) 2-Year/24-Hour | 0.000 cfs | 0.000 cfs | 0.000 cfs |
| 2) 10-Year/24-Hour | 0.010 cfs | 0.006 cfs | -0.004 cfs |
| 3) 50-year/24-Hour | 0.147 cfs | 0.090 cfs | -0.057 cfs |
| 4) 100-year/24-Hour | 0.628 cfs | 0.383 cfs | -0.245 cfs |

4. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER BMPs

| ВМР | Function(s) | Volume of stormwater treated | Acres treated |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Bio-infiltration areas | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| ☐ Infiltration Trench | | | |
| ☐ Infiltration Bed | | | |
| ☐ Infiltrated Basin | | | |
| Natural Area Conservation | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| ☐ Streamside Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Wetland Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Sensitive Area Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Pre-Construction Drainage Pattern Intact | | | |
| Stormwater Retention | Detention/Retention | | |
| ☐ Constructed Wetlands | | | |
| ☐ Wet Ponds | | | |
| ☐ Retention Basin | | | |
| Sediment and Pollutant Removal | Water Quality Treatment | | |
| | | | |
| ☐ Compost Filter Sock | | | |
| ☐ Detention Basins | | | |

| Access Road Design | Infiltration/Recharge | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Road Crowning | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | | | |
| Ditches | | | | |
| Turnouts | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | |
| Roadside Vegetated Filter | | | | |
| Strips | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Infiltration/Dochargo | | | |
| Stormwater Energy Dissipaters | Infiltration/Recharge | | | |
| Level Spreaders | | | | |
| Riprap Aprons | | | | |
| Upslope Diversions | | | | |
| | | <u>1,455 cubic feet</u> | <u>1.150</u> | |
| 5. Off-site Discharge Analysis. | | | | |
| Does the activity propose any off-si | te discharges to areas othe | er than surface waters? | es 🛛 No | |
| If yes, it is the applicant's responsib | nility to ensure that they have | ve legal authority for any off-sit | e discharge | |
| | | | _ | |
| The Applicant must provide a der erosion, damage, or nuisance to off | | &5 and PCSIVI Plans that the | discharge will not cause | |
| crosion, damage, or naisance to on | site properties. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6. Thermal Impact Analysis. | | | | |
| Explain how thermal impacts assoc | iated with this project were | avoided, minimized, or mitigat | ed. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 7. Critical PCSM Plan stages. | | | | |
| _ | nlamantation of the DCCM | Plan for which a licensed and | fossional or designed shall | |
| Identify and list critical stages of im be present on site. | prementation of the PCSM | Fian for which a licensed prof | essional of designee shall | |
| be present on site. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Watershed Name: E. Lincoln Highway - Chester County

| Design storm frequency <u>2-yr</u> Rainfall amount <u>3.25</u> inches | Pre-construction | Post Construction | Net Change |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Impervious area (acres) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) without planned stormwater BMPs | 0.014 | 0.030 | 0.016 |
| Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) with planned stormwater BMPs | | 0.008 | -0.006 |
| Stormwater discharge rate for the design frequency storm | | | |
| 1) 2-Year/24-Hour | 0.700 cfs | 0.559 cfs | -0.141 cfs |
| 2) 10-Year/24-Hour | 2.247 cfs | 1.696 cfs | -0.551 cfs |
| 3) 50-year/24-Hour | 4.571 cfs | 3.379 cfs | -1.192 cfs |
| 4) 100-year/24-Hour | 5.814 cfs | 4.274 cfs | -1.540 cfs |

4. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER BMPs

| ВМР | Function(s) | Volume of stormwater treated | Acres treated |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Bio-infiltration areas | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| ☐ Infiltration Trench | | | |
| ☐ Infiltration Bed | | | |
| ☐ Infiltrated Basin | | | |
| Natural Area Conservation | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| ☐ Streamside Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Wetland Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Sensitive Area Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Pre-Construction Drainage Pattern Intact | | | |
| Stormwater Retention | Detention/Retention | | |
| ☐ Constructed Wetlands | | | |
| ☐ Wet Ponds | | | |
| ☐ Retention Basin | | | |
| Sediment and Pollutant Removal | Water Quality Treatment | | |
| | | | |
| ☐ Compost Filter Sock | | | |
| ☐ Detention Basins | | | |

| Access Road Design | Infiltration/Recharge | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Road Crowning | initiation/recordings | | | |
| Ditches | | | | |
| Turnouts | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Roadside Vegetated Filter Strips | | | | |
| • | Infiltration/Pacharga | | | |
| Stormwater Energy Dissipaters | Infiltration/Recharge | | | |
| Level Spreaders | | | | |
| Riprap Aprons | | | | |
| Upslope Diversions | | | | |
| | | 965 cubic feet | <u>0.330</u> | |
| 5. Off-site Discharge Analysis. | | | | |
| Does the activity propose any off-si | te discharges to areas othe | er than surface waters? | es 🛛 No | |
| If yes, it is the applicant's responsib | pility to ensure that they have | ve legal authority for any off-sit | e discharge. | |
| | | | _ | |
| The Applicant must provide a der erosion, damage, or nuisance to off | | &5 and PCSIVI Plans that the | discharge will not cause | |
| crosion, damage, or naisance to on | i site properties. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6. Thermal Impact Analysis. | | | | |
| Explain how thermal impacts assoc | iated with this project were | avoided, minimized, or mitigat | ed. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 7. Critical PCSM Plan stages. | | | | |
| Identify and list critical stages of im | nlamentation of the DCCM | Plan for which a licensed prof | specianal or deciance shall | |
| be present on site. | piementation of the FCSIVI | Train for writer a licerised prof | essional of designee shall | |
| 25 \$1000111 01101 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Watershed Name: Boot Road - Delaware County

| Design storm frequency <u>2-yr</u> Rainfall amount <u>3.25</u> inches | Pre-construction | Post Construction | Net Change |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Impervious area (acres) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) without planned stormwater BMPs | 0.094 | 0.111 | 0.017 |
| Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) with planned stormwater BMPs | | 0.075 | -0.019 |
| Stormwater discharge rate for the design frequency storm | | | |
| 1) 2-Year/24-Hour | 3.60 cfs | 2.86 cfs | -0.74 cfs |
| 2) 10-Year/24-Hour | 7.19 cfs | 5.55 cfs | -1.64 cfs |
| 3) 50-year/24-Hour | 11.84 cfs | 9.00 cfs | -2.84 cfs |
| 4) 100-year/24-Hour | 14.20 cfs | 10.72 cfs | -3.48 cfs |

4. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER BMPs

| ВМР | Function(s) | Volume of stormwater treated | Acres treated |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Bio-infiltration areas | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| ☐ Infiltration Trench | | | |
| ☐ Infiltration Bed | | | |
| ☐ Infiltrated Basin | | | |
| Natural Area Conservation | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| ☐ Streamside Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Wetland Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Sensitive Area Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Pre-Construction Drainage Pattern Intact | | | |
| Stormwater Retention | Detention/Retention | | |
| ☐ Constructed Wetlands | | | |
| ☐ Wet Ponds | | | |
| ☐ Retention Basin | | | |
| Sediment and Pollutant Removal | Water Quality Treatment | | |
| | | | |
| ☐ Compost Filter Sock | | | |
| ☐ Detention Basins | | | |

| | 1 614 61 15 1 | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Access Road Design | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| Road Crowning | | | |
| Ditches | | | |
| ☐ Turnouts | | | |
| ☐ Culverts | | | |
| Roadside Vegetated Filter | | | |
| Strips | | | |
| Stormwater Energy Dissipaters | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| Level Spreaders | _ | | |
| ☐ Riprap Aprons | | | |
| ☐ Upslope Diversions | | | |
| ☐ Opslope Diversions ☐ Infiltration Berm | | 1 501 aubic foot | 0.45 |
| | | 1,591 cubic feet | <u>0.45</u> |
| 5. Off-site Discharge Analysis. | | | |
| Does the activity propose any off-sit | e discharges to areas other | er than surface waters? 🔲 Ye | es 🛛 No |
| If yes, it is the applicant's responsib | ility to ensure that they have | ve legal authority for any off-sit | e discharge. |
| The Applicant must provide a den | | | _ |
| erosion, damage, or nuisance to off | | | discharge will flot cause |
| | one properties. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 6. Thermal Impact Analysis. | | | |
| Explain how thermal impacts associ | ated with this project were | avoided, minimized, or mitigat | ed. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 7. Critical PCSM Plan stages. | | | |
| Identify and list critical stages of im | olementation of the PCSM | Plan for which a licensed prof | essional or designee shall |
| be present on site. | | Than for which a licensed prof | cosional of acsignee shall |
| process on each | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Watershed Name: S. Pennell Road - Delaware County

| Design storm frequency <u>2-yr</u> Rainfall amount <u>3.25</u> inches | Pre-construction | Post Construction | Net Change |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Impervious area (acres) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) without planned stormwater BMPs | 0.035 | 0.049 | 0.014 |
| Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) with planned stormwater BMPs | | 0.012 | -0.022 |
| Stormwater discharge rate for the design frequency storm | | | |
| 1) 2-Year/24-Hour | 0.51 cfs | 0.20 cfs | -0.31 cfs |
| 2) 10-Year/24-Hour | 1.22 cfs | 0.47 cfs | -0.75 cfs |
| 3) 50-year/24-Hour | 2.23 cfs | 0.85 cfs | -1.38 cfs |
| 4) 100-year/24-Hour | 2.75 cfs | 1.60 cfs | -1.15 cfs |

4. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER BMPs

| ВМР | Function(s) | Volume of stormwater treated | Acres treated |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Bio-infiltration areas | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| ☐ Infiltration Trench | | | |
| ☐ Infiltration Bed | | | |
| ☐ Infiltrated Basin | | | |
| Natural Area Conservation | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| ☐ Streamside Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Wetland Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Sensitive Area Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Pre-Construction Drainage Pattern Intact | | | |
| Stormwater Retention | Detention/Retention | | |
| ☐ Constructed Wetlands | | | |
| ☐ Wet Ponds | | | |
| ☐ Retention Basin | | | |
| Sediment and Pollutant Removal | Water Quality Treatment | | |
| | | | |
| ☐ Compost Filter Sock | | | |
| ☐ Detention Basins | | | |

| Access Road Design | Infiltration/Recharge | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Road Crowning | inima adory reconargo | | | |
| Ditches | | | | |
| ☐ Turnouts | | | | |
| ☐ Culverts | | | | |
| ☐ Roadside Vegetated Filter | | | | |
| Strips | | | | |
| Stormwater Energy Dissipaters | Infiltration/Recharge | | | |
| Level Spreaders | 9 | | | |
| ☐ Riprap Aprons | | | | |
| ☐ Upslope Diversions | | | | |
| ☐ Infiltration Berm | | 1,604 cubic feet | 0.34 | |
| | | 1,004 Cable leet | <u>0.04</u> | |
| Off-site Discharge Analysis.Does the activity propose any off-sit | te discharges to areas othe | er than surface waters? | es 🛛 No | |
| | | | | |
| If yes, it is the applicant's responsib | | | _ | |
| The Applicant must provide a der erosion, damage, or nuisance to off | | &S and PCSM Plans that the | discharge will not cause | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6. Thermal Impact Analysis. | | | | |
| | iated with this project were | avoided, minimized, or mitigat | ed. | |
| Explain how thermal impacts associated with this project were avoided, minimized, or mitigated. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 7. Critical PCSM Plan stages. | | | | |
| Identify and list critical stages of im | plementation of the PCSM | Plan for which a licensed prof | essional or designee shall | |
| be present on site. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Watershed Name: Baldwin Run | | | |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Design storm frequency <u>2-yr</u> Rainfall amount <u>3.24</u> inches | Pre-construction | Post Construction | Net Change |
| Impervious area (acres) | 0.214 | 0.663 | +0.449 |
| Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) without planned stormwater BMPs | 9,138 | 13,919 | +4,781 |
| Volume of stormwater runoff (acrefeet) with planned stormwater BMPs | | 8,919 | -219 |
| Stormwater discharge rate for the design frequency storm | | | |
| 1) 2-Year/24-Hour | 4.75 | 2.08 | -2.67 |
| 2) 10-Year/24-Hour | 10.17 | 4.72 | -5.45 |
| 3) 50-year/24-Hour | 17.64 | 8.43 | -9.21 |
| 4) 100-year/24-Hour | 21.51 | 10.70 | -10.81 |

4. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER BMPs

| ВМР | Function(s) | Volume of stormwater treated | Acres treated |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Bio-infiltration areas | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| ☐ Infiltration Trench | | | |
| ☐ Infiltration Bed | | | |
| ☐ Infiltrated Basin | | | |
| Natural Area Conservation | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| ☐ Streamside Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Wetland Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Sensitive Area Buffer Zone | | | |
| ☐ Pre-Construction Drainage Pattern Intact | | | |
| Stormwater Retention | Detention/Retention | | |
| ☐ Constructed Wetlands | | | |
| ☐ Wet Ponds | | | |
| □ Retention Basin | | <u>13,919 cf</u> | <u>2.366</u> |
| Sediment and Pollutant Removal | Water Quality Treatment | | |
| | | | |
| ☐ Compost Filter Sock | | | |
| ☐ Detention Basins | | | |

| Access Road Design | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| ☐ Road Crowning | | | |
| Ditches | | | |
| ☐ Turnouts | | | |
| ☐ Culverts | | | |
| Roadside Vegetated Filter | | | |
| Strips | | | |
| Stormwater Energy Dissipaters | Infiltration/Recharge | | |
| Level Spreaders | · · | | |
| ☐ Zevel epicadele | | 13,919 cf | 2.366 |
| ☐ Upslope Diversions | | <u>,</u> | <u>2.000</u> |
| | | | |
| 5. Off-site Discharge Analysis. | | | |
| Does the activity propose any off-sit | o discharges to areas other | or than surface waters? | es 🛛 No |
| , , , | · · | | |
| If yes, it is the applicant's responsib | ility to ensure that they have | ve legal authority for any off-sit | e discharge. |
| The Applicant must provide a den | | &S and PCSM Plans that the | discharge will not cause |
| erosion, damage, or nuisance to off | -site properties. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 6. Thermal Impact Analysis. | | | |
| Explain how thermal impacts associ | ated with this project were | avoided, minimized, or mitigat | ed. |
| There is no anticipated thermal im | • • | _ | |
| basin. The detention facilities will | | | |
| potential thermal impacts. | | na promoto oraporation to it | gate a, |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 7. Critical PCSM Plan stages. | | | |
| Identify and list critical stages of imbe be present on site. | plementation of the PCSM | Plan for which a licensed prof | essional or designee shall |
| Professional oversght during constr | uction of slow release basi | n | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

SECTION G. ANTIDEGRADATION ANALYSIS

This section must be completed where earth disturbance activities will be conducted in special protection or siltation-impaired watersheds.

Part 1 NONDISCHARGE ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION

The applicant must consider and describe any and all nondischarge alternatives for the entire project area which are environmentally sound and will:

- Minimize accelerated erosion and sedimentation during the earth disturbance activity
- Achieve no net change from pre-development to post-development volume, rate and concentration of pollutants in water quality

| water quality | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| E & S Plan | Official Use Only | PCSM/Site Restoration Plan | Official Use Only |
| Check off the environmentally sound nondischarge Best Management Practices (BMPs) listed below to be used prior to, during, and after earth disturbance activities that have been incorporated into your E & S Plan based on your site analysis. For non-discharge BMPs not checked, provide an explanation of why they were not utilized. Also for BMPs checked, provide an explanation of why they were utilized. (Provide your analysis and attach additional sheets if necessary) The best possible pipeline route was selected based on landowner agreements, and minimization of environmental impacts, and engineering/constructibility factors. The project's disturbed area will be limited to the area required for construction, and the duration of construction will be minimized to the extent practicable. Riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable during construcion activities at stream crossings. | | Check off the environmentally sound nondischarge Best Management Practices (BMPs) listed below to be used after construction that have been incorporated into your PCSM/SR Plan based on your site analysis. For non-discharge BMPs not checked, provide an explanation of why they were not utilized. Also for BMPs checked, provide an explanation of why they were utilized. (Provide your analysis and attach additional sheets if necessary) The best possible pipeline route was selected based on landowner agreements, and minimization of environmental impacts, and engineering/constructibility factors. The pipeline right of way will be restored to a meadow condition at original contours to maintain the pre-construction drainage patterns. Riparian forest buffers will be protected to the extent practicable. | |
| Nondischarge BMPs Alternative Siting Alternative location Alternative configuration Alternative location of discharge Limited Disturbed Area Limiting Extent & Duration of Disturbance (Phasing, Sequencing) Riparian Buffers (150 ft. min.) Riparian Forest Buffer (150 ft. min.) Other Will the non-discharge alternative BMPs el construction? Yes No | iminate the r | Nondischarge BMPs Alternative Siting Alternative location Alternative configuration Alternative location of discharge Low Impact Development (LID / BSD) Riparian Buffers (150 ft. min.) Riparian Forest Buffer (150 ft. min.) Infiltration Water Reuse Other re-construction drainage pattern intact within the right of way net change in rate, volume and quality during | g and after |
| If yes, antidegradation analysis is complete. If no, proceed to Part 2. | | | |
| | 4 | 5 - | |

PART 2 ANTIDEGRADATION BEST AVAILABLE COMBINATION OF TECHNOLOGIES (ABACT)

If the net change in stormwater discharge from or after construction is not fully managed by nondischarge BMPs, the applicant must utilize ABACT BMPs to manage the difference. The Applicant must specify whether the discharge will occur during construction, post-construction or both, and identify the technologies that will be used to ensure that the discharge will be a non-degrading discharge. ABACT BMPs include but are not limited to:

| E & S Plan | Official Use Only | PCSM/Site Restoration Plan | Official Use Only |
|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Sediment basin with skimmer Sediment basin ratio of 4:1 or greater (flow length to basin width) Sediment basin with 4-7 day detention Flocculants Compost Filter Socks Compost Filter Sock Sediment Basin RCE w/ Wash Rack Land disposal: Vegetated filters Riparian buffers <150ft. Riparian Forest Buffer <150ft. Immediate stabilization Pollution prevention: PPC Plans Street sweeping Channels, collectors and diversions lined with permanent vegetation, rock, geotextile or other non-erosive materials Stormwater reuse technologies: Sediment basin water for dust control Sediment basin water for irrigation Other Rock construction entrances with wash racks, compost filter socks, erosion control blanket placed within 100-feet of streams | | ☐ Treatment BMPs: ☐ Infiltration Practices ☐ Wet ponds ☐ Created wetland treatment systems ☐ Vegetated swales ☐ Manufactured devices ☐ Bio-retention/infiltration ☐ Green Roofs ☐ Land disposal: ☐ Vegetated filters ☐ Riparian Buffers <150ft. | |

| SECTION H. COMPLIANCE REVIEW |
|---|
| Is the applicant in violation of any existing permit, regulation, order, or schedule of compliance issued by the Department within the last 5 years? |
| ⊠ Yes □ No |
| If yes, provide the permit number or facility name, a brief description of the violation, the compliance schedule (including dates and steps to achieve compliance) and the current compliance status. (Attach additional information on a separate sheets, when necessary) |
| Notices of Violations can be found in Tab 9 of the ESCGP-2 Permit Application |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

SECTION I. CERTIFICATION BY PERSON PREPARING APPLICATION

I do hereby certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, that the Erosion and Sediment Control and PCSM/Site Restoration Plans are true and correct, represent actual field conditions, and are in accordance with the 25 Pa. Code Chapters 78 and 102 of the Department's rules and regulations. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

Print Name Robert F. Simcik, P.E.

Signature

Company Tetra Tech

Address 661 Andersen Drive, Foster Plaza 7, Pittsburgh, PA 15220

Phone (412) 921-8163

Most Recent DEP Training Attended

Location Greensburg, PA Date 04/03/2014

REGISTERED

PROFESSIONAL

ROBERT F. SIMCH

ENGINEER

PE-050435-E

e-Mail Address robert.simcik@tetratech.com

EXPEDITED REVIEW PROCESS

In addition to the certification required above applicants using the expedited permit review process must attach an E&S and PCSM/Site Restoration Plans developed and sealed by a licensed professional engineer, surveyor or professional geologist. The plans shall contain the following certification:

I do hereby certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, that the E & S Control and SR/PCSM BMPs are true and correct, represent actual field conditions and are in accordance with the 25 Pa. Code Chapters 78 and 102 of the Department's rules and regulations. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

SECTION J. APPLICANT CERTIFICATION

Applicant Certification. I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared by me or under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. The responsible official's signature also verifies that the activity is eligible to participate in the permit, and that the applicant agrees to abide by the terms and conditions of the permit. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

| Knowing violations. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Matthew L. Gordon - Principal Engineer - Sunoco | | | |
| Print Name and Title of Applicant | Print Name and Title of Co-Applicant (if applicable) | | |
| That Il | | | |
| Signature of Applicant | Signature of Co-Applicant | | |
| 11/28/2016 | | | |
| Date Application Signed | Date Application Signed | | |
| Notarization | a via contract | | |
| Sworn to and subscribed to before me this | Commonwealth of Pennsylvania | | |
| 28th day of November, 20/6 | _ County of Montgomery | | |
| nany a Valleas | My Commission expires | | |
| Notary ['] Public | | | |
| AFFIX SEAL | COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA | | |
| in the second se | NANCY A. VA RAS, Notary Public | | |
| | Lower Merion Roses, Montgomery County My Commission 1 Mes Sentember 2 2000 | | |

| SECTION K. CONTACT FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | | | | |
|---|------------|----|-------|----------------|
| Contact's Last Name | First Name | MI | Phone | (412) 921-8163 |
| Simcik | Robert | F | FAX | |
| Mailing Address | City | • | State | ZIP + 4 |
| 661 Andersen Drive, Foster Plaza 7 | Pittsburgh | | PA | 15220 |
| e-Mail Address robert.simcik@tetratech.co | m | | • | |