February 28, 2019

By Email

ra-eppipelines@pa.gov
kyordy@pa.gov

Re: Comments on Report for HDD PA-BR-0071.0000-RD-16 (HDD# S3-0200-16)

To whom it may concern:

Pursuant to the Corrected Stipulated Order entered on EHB Docket No. 2017-009-L on August 10, 2017 ("Order"), and on behalf of Clean Air Council, Mountain Watershed Association, Inc., and the Delaware Riverkeeper Network ("Appellants"), please accept these comments on Sunoco Pipeline L.P.'s ("Sunoco") re-evaluation report ("Report") for the horizontal directional drilling ("HDD") indicated by drawing number PA-BR-0071.0000-RD-16 (the "HDD Site").

1. **The Report is wildly contradictory and sloppy, leading it to be unclear what Sunoco wants the Department to approve, and impossible for either the Department or the public to evaluate.**

Of utmost concern with the Report is the uncertainty over what Sunoco is actually proposing. The Report is rife with internal and external contradictions. The actual specifications of the proposed redesign are unclear, and even the identity of the crossing in question is uncertain. As a result, much of the comments Appellants have besides this one are based on speculation about which of the several types of plans discussed are what Sunoco "really" means. This Report does not comply with the Order, which spells out the requirement for proposed design modifications. *See* Order ¶ 5.

To begin with, the cover page of the Report lists the crossing in question as "Highway 222 Crossing" and also "PA-BR-0071.0000-RD-16." It then says, "This HDD is number 16 on the list of HDDs included on Exhibit 3 of the Order." Number 16 on Exhibit 3 of the Order, however, is listed as "PA-BR-0075-0000-RD" not "0071."[1] "0075" is consistent with both the HDD plan in the permit applications for crossing Highway 222[2] and the overall aerial site plan

[1] *See* Exhibit 3 of the Order, available at http://files.dep.state.pa.us/ProgramIntegration/PA%20Pipeline%20Portal/MarinerEastII/MEII%20Settlement%20Stip%20Order%20Ex.3%20HDD%20Reeval%20IR.PDF.

[2] *See* http://files.dep.state.pa.us/ProgramIntegration/PA%20Pipeline%20Portal/MarinerEastII/Berks/07%20-%20Site%20Plans/Tab%207B%20HDDs/PA-BR-0075.0000-RD-16.pdf.

for Berks County.[3] This could be an isolated error in numbering and not in identification otherwise.

But then the plot thickens. The Report states in multiple places that the HDD crosses Peach Tree Lane. To wit: "This HDD location is located 0.2 miles north of the Town of Gouglersville in Berks County, Pennsylvania, and crosses under Stream B-41, Highway 222, and Peach Tree Lane." Also: "This alignment bypasses or avoids directly impacting Highway 222 and Peach Tree Lane." Does it cross under Peach Tree Lane? Not according to the Report's own maps. The only road besides Highway 222 in the Report's maps is Old Lancaster Pike. There is a separate, and adjacent, Peach Tree Lane HDD: PA-BR-0079-0000-RD.[4] If that is, indeed what the Report is referring to it would mark the third possible site in discussion: Peach Tree Lane being, "**0079**.0000-RD," the title page reference to "**0071**.0000-RD-16," and the location described as exhibit 3 being "**0075**.0000-RD." The site drawing that is identified in the Report as Figure 1 is described as "Permitted 16-Inch HDD Plan and Profile with 20-Inch IR Data," and is filled with confusing and contradictory information. The drawing number does not fit in the pdf. The start of it is "PA-BR-0071.0000-RD-16," not PA-BR-0075.0000-RD-16. Yet with the drawing number in the following Figure 2, the site identification is switched again, and is listed as "PA-BR-0075.0000-RD-16." The evidence that these figures do not show a pair of "original" and "revised" drawings is corroborated by the box showing "revisions" at the bottom of each. A review of each line in the revisions shows that each drawing had different revisions at different times for different purposes. One would expect instead the same revision history except a new line for the "revision" of the "original." This confirms that there are multiple HDD plans being discussed in the same Report.

In fact, a review of the actual permitted 16-inch HDD plan and profile in the application materials on the Department's website reveals further troubling inconsistencies. As one would expect, the Report's Figure 2 reflects the same dates of review as the permitted drawing. Yet the "Permitted" Figure 1, reflects entirely different dates of review.[5] It is unclear then what Figure 1 represents.

All of this perhaps suggests that there are or were multiple planned HDDs or multiple plans for HDDs in the area. But why would Sunoco have an undisclosed parallel plan for the 16-inch pipeline at the Site which it is only now showing the Department for the first time, relabeled as a redesign? It is a muddle which Appellants cannot straighten out, and which needs to be cleared up in the first instance by Sunoco. Appellants have no confidence that the Report is for a single redesign of a single HDD site.

---

[3] *See* Sheet 32 of 89 in http://files.dep.state.pa.us/ProgramIntegration/PA%20Pipeline%20Portal/MarinerEastII/Berks/07%20-%20Site%20Plans/Tab%207A%20Aerial%20Site%20Plans/BerksCountySitePlan_Rev5_11302016.pdf.

[4] *See* HDD site drawing at http://files.dep.state.pa.us/ProgramIntegration/PA%20Pipeline%20Portal/MarinerEastII/Berks/07%20-%20Site%20Plans/Tab%207B%20HDDs/PA-BR-0079.0000-RD.pdf.

[5] *See* HDD site drawing at *See* http://files.dep.state.pa.us/ProgramIntegration/PA%20Pipeline%20Portal/MarinerEastII/Berks/07%20-%20Site%20Plans/Tab%207B%20HDDs/PA-BR-0075.0000-RD-16.pdf

The questions do not end with the unknown identity of the plans at hand. Other curiosities pervade the figures in the Report. The Plan View of Figure 1 has a reference to a "16" ASBUILT PIPELINE." Hopefully this is inaccurate, or Sunoco has already built the line is it proposing to re-evaluate here. The Profile View of Figure 1 refers to a "16" PROPOSED HDD REDESIGN" which differs dramatically from the 16-inch redesign found in Figure 2. Instruction #9 in both figures has somehow been converted into a long string of dots.

Section 1.0 of the Hydrogeologic Report states, "The inclination of the entry and exit angles has remained unchanged, but the redesign of the bore profile will allow for approximately 10 feet of additional protective cover at the location of the IRs that occurred during the installation of the 20-inch pipe." This contradicts the main Report in two separate ways.

First, the main Report states that the entry and exit angles change from 14–16 degrees in the original design to 8–16 degrees in the revised design, growing shallower with the revision. The profile drawings do not help because unlike drawings from earlier reports, this and other recent reports have several dots in place of the customary written indications of angles of entry and exit. Second, the main Report states that the revised design has "a depth of cover under the previous IR location increased by 35 ft. from the permitted design," not 10 feet.

The Hydrogeologic Report and the main Report also give inconsistent explanations for why geophysical assessments were not conducted at the site. The main Report explains, "At this HDD location the use of geophysics assessments was not conducted because the SPLP possess [sic] a complete geologic profile from the drilling of the 20-inch pipeline. This data in combination with vertical geotechnical data is sufficient for the needs for analysis of the 16-Inch HDD profile." In contrast, Section 6.0 of the Hydrogeologic Report states: "geophysical surveys during this hydrogeologic re-evaluation was considered for study purposes; however, was not used since the Hammer Creek Conglomerate is not deemed susceptible to the solution activity present in carbonate geologic formations in Pennsylvania." In other words, according to the Hydrogeologic Report, because there was no karst present, geophysics was not used. Appellants can only speculate that this explanation is likely the correct one. While Sunoco says it "possess a complete geologic profile from the drilling of the 20-inch pipeline," at no location in its Report does it provide, let alone rely on, any of that information it supposedly possesses "for analysis of the 16-Inch HDD profile." This violates the Order, which requires that reports "Re-examine the geology at each site ***using information and data gathered during HDD operations at that and other sites*** during construction of the pipelines subject to the permits in the above-captioned Appeal," Order at ¶ 4.i. (emphasis added).

This is a very sloppy Report with a mishmash of conflicting and confusing statements. Appellants have concerns about its quality and the depth of analysis that went into it. Appellants note that there has recently been a flood of re-evaluation reports done by the same individuals at Rettew. Perhaps the dropoff in attention to detail has been caused by the rush. Regardless, the result is that the Department and the public cannot rely on the information in the Report. All in all, there is no way for Appellants or the Department to complete the task of providing analysis and comment because it is impossible for either party to even tell what Sunoco is proposing. Appellants do not know what to comment on here.

2. The Department should require Sunoco to evaluate profiles of differing depths.

Sunoco does an analysis for re-routing, but fails to do any analysis of alternative HDD profiles. Sunoco should have analyzed the possibility of profiles at differing depths that may cross in more competent bedrock. Deeper profiles tend to need to be longer, otherwise the angle of entry must be steepened, and 16 degrees is already towards the steeper end of what the equipment can handle. However, the profile could be made to go longer. West of the planned western exit pit is open farmland for several hundred more feet.

The more recent set of borings, which dug deeper, encountered some zones where the RQDs were much higher than the depths to which the revised profile would be bored. Sunoco has not analyzed setting the pipe into those depths, which would be less susceptible to IRs and water well contamination. Appellants urge the Department to require Sunoco to evaluate the potential benefits of profiles at differing depths that may reduce inadvertent returns.

Sunoco's alternatives analysis also suffers from reliance on a statement that contradicts its other statements. The Report says that "[c]onventional auger bore is technically limited to less than 200 linear foot [sic] at a time varying by the underlying substrate." Sunoco's Trenchless Construction Feasibility Analysis states at Section 4.1.2, however, that "the current maximum extent for a CAB installation of a 16" or 20" diameter pipeline is approximately 390 feet." *See* http://files.dep.state.pa.us/ProgramIntegration/PA%20Pipeline%20Portal/MarinerEastII/Cambria/11%20-%20EAF/Encl%20E%20-%20Comp%20Env%20Eval/Part%203%20-%20Alternatives%20Analysis/Appendix%20B%20-%20Trenchless%20Feasibility%20Analysis%20%202016-11-29-FINAL.pdf. And Sunoco has elsewhere in a letter to the Department dated August 24, 2018 stated "conventional auger bore is technically limited to less than 300 linear ft of relatively flat land surface at a single attempt." Which one is it?

3. The risk to water wells from the revised profile is high.

This Site has a large number of residential water wells nearby. *See* Well Location Map. Based on the geology and the experience with the 20-inch installation, there is a high risk of damage to the residential water wells at the Site.

Sunoco's statements on the matter are somewhat misleading. The Report says, "No complaints from the owners of private water wells were received during drilling and installation of the 20-inch pipeline." That may be true. But well complaints were received during installation at the adjoining HDD just one farm field to the east of the Site, PA-BR-0079-0000-RD. *See* attached Exhibit A-313 at SCRO006285 ("We have a water well contaminated with sediment near the Peachtree Lane HDD. We are providing water to the family [REDACTED] and taking corrective action to regain returns.").

Given the rampant errors and contradiction in the Report, the large number of nearby wells, the risk of damage to the wells, and the history of damage to nearby wells, the Report in its current state is completely unacceptable. Just as the Department requires completeness before beginning its technical review, the Department should require Sunoco to provide a complete, error-free, and

thoroughly analyzed replacement report and give commenters time to evaluate and comment on it before considering approval.

Thank you for considering these comments. Please keep us apprised of your next steps on the HDD Site.

Sincerely,

_s/ Melissa Marshall, Esq.__
Melissa Marshall, Esq.
PA ID No. 323241
Mountain Watershed Association
P.O. Box 408
1414-B Indian Creek Valley Road
Melcroft, PA 15462
Tel: 724.455.4200
mwa@mtwatershed.com

_s/ Aaron J. Stemplewicz___
Aaron J. Stemplewicz, Esq.
Pa. ID No. 312371
Delaware Riverkeeper Network
925 Canal Street, 7th Floor, Suite 3701
Bristol, PA 19007
Tel: 215.369.1188
aaron@delawareriverkeeper.org

_s/ Joseph Otis Minott, Esq. ___
Joseph Otis Minott, Esq.
Executive Director & Chief Counsel
PA ID No. 36463
joe_minott@cleanair.org

Alexander G. Bomstein, Esq.
PA ID No. 206983
abomstein@cleanair.org

Kathryn L. Urbanowicz, Esq.
PA ID No. 310618
kurbanowicz@cleanair.org

Clean Air Council
135 South 19th Street, Suite 300
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Tel: (215) 567-4004

cc: jrinde@mankogold.com
dsilva@mankogold.com
ntaber@pa.gov

Exhibit A-313

[redacted]

From: Blosser, Andrea
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2017 4:50 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Well Water Concerns

Dear Mr. [redacted]

This message is in follow-up to our conversation earlier this afternoon, during which you shared details of Sunoco's response to your well water concern reported to them on 6/17/2017. During our conversation you indicated that you have been 'extremely satisfied' with their response to date.

If, in the future, you should have any concerns, please feel free to contact me at the above email or by phone at 717.705.4763.

Regards,

Andrea Blosser | Conservation, Restoration & Inspection Section Chief
Department of Environmental Protection| Waterways and Wetlands Program
South-central Regional Office
909 Elmerton Ave | Harrisburg, PA 17110
Phone: 717.705.4763 | Fax: 717.705.4760
www.dep.state.pa.us

The 24-hour toll free Emergency Response number for DEP's South-central Regional Office is 866-825-0208.

From: EMBRY, CHRISTOPHER P
To: Eberts, Ron
Subject: Well testing
Date: Friday, July 21, 2017 5:08:34 PM

Ron –

In response to your request below, the share file website in this email includes test results for all of the private drinking water supplies tested prior to the commencement of any HDD.

I have not gathered the subsequent test results for water testing of the 3 three wells mentioned in your email – I will send to you whatever we have on Monday.

Please note that we have addressed all three homeowners situations in compliance with the permit requirements. I will get you more information on the specifics to each homeowner shortly.

Lastly, please note that we have not shared well testing information in the share point site with the general public.

Click the following link to download the files.

Click to Retrieve File(s)

HDD Pre-Construction Lab Data.zip

If the above link is not clickable, copy and paste the following URL into your browser.

https://www.sendthisfile.com/QxTVGjHM5tmdv8Dh5XZJsiRh

From: Diane Thomas
To: Eberts, Ron
Subject: Well Contamination
Date: Wednesday, July 05, 2017 7:56:35 AM
Attachments: image001.jpg

Hey Ron,

So I had a chance to meet with Eric Cruz on Friday and got some more information regarding the well contaminations. Two wells were contaminated with the mud pumped in for the boring. The wells were right in a row between [redacted]. Approx. 20000 gallons of the mud was lost before the drill shut down. The pipeline workers were contacted by the home owners to be made aware of the contamination issue. Well water was tested at both locations and a filter system was installed by Colligan as well as putting a buffalo on site for drinking water. Eric said the mud should clear up in a couple of months. He also said that DEP was contacted through all the proper channels regarding this issue.

Just wanted to give you a chance to go over the information I was given befor the conference call. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Diane Thomas
Blair County Conservation District
1407 Blair Street
Hollidaysburg, PA 16648
(814) 696-0877 ext. 5
Fax: 814-696-9981
www.blairconservationdistrict.org

BCC log final CMYK sm

From: Eberts, Ron
To: "matthew.gordon@energytransfer.com"; "EMBRY, CHRISTOPHER P"
Subject: Test data
Date: Thursday, July 20, 2017 2:04:00 PM
Attachments: image002.png
Importance: High

Matt/Chris,

Please provide me with the test results for all private wells/public drinking water supplies that were tested prior to the commencement of any HDD's. Additionally, please provide me with the test results (post complaint/contamination) for the two private wells in Blair County ([redacted]) and the one in Berks County ([redacted]) and let me know what was or is being done to prevent or abate these private wells from being contaminated. **Please provide this information to me by close of business tomorrow (July 21, 2017).**

Thank you,

Ronald C. Eberts, Jr. | Environmental Compliance Specialist
Department of Environmental Protection
Southcentral Regional Office
Waterways & Wetlands Program
909 Elmerton Avenue | Harrisburg, PA 17110
Phone: 717.705.4819 | Fax: - 717.705.4760
www.depweb.state.pa.us

From: Blosser, Andrea
To: "EMBRY, CHRISTOPHER P"
Subject: Well sampling Data for Blair County Water Supply Complaint
Date: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 12:07:00 PM

Hello again, Chris:

Ron shared the data you have supplied for all the pre-construction well testing as well as the during-construction well testing you supplied for [redacted]. Please note that the 6/21/2017 Analytical Report provided for [redacted] is described as "pre-construction" sampling, but DEP has noted for our records that it was actually collected "during construction".

In your email below, you indicate that there is no during-construction test results available for [redacted], but that sampling is scheduled for 7/25/2017. It is DEP's understanding that multiple water samples were collected from [redacted] since the concern was reported on 6/17/2017. Would you please re-check your records and forward along all sample results for this property. If samples were collected but not sent for processing, please clarify that as well.

Thanks for your time,

Andrea Blosser | Conservation, Restoration & Inspection Section Chief
Department of Environmental Protection| Waterways and Wetlands Program
South-central Regional Office
909 Elmerton Ave | Harrisburg, PA 17110
Phone: 717.705.4763 | Fax: 717.705.4760
www.dep.state.pa.us

The 24-hour toll free Emergency Response number for DEP's South-central Regional Office is 866-825-0208.

From: EMBRY, CHRISTOPHER P [mailto:CHRISTOPHER.EMBRY@energytransfer.com]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 2:20 PM
To: Eberts, Ron <reberts@pa.gov>
Subject: Blair Co.

Attached is the HDD during construction water test lab report for one location in Blair County ([redacted]). We do not have during construction test results for [redacted] Blair County). The during construction sample is scheduled to be collected tomorrow. Also, we do not have during construction test results for [redacted] Berks County) because that sample was collected on July 14th and the lab is currently analyzing the sample. We anticipate receiving results later this week.

Chris

[redacted]

From: EMBRY, CHRISTOPHER P <CHRISTOPHER.EMBRY@energytransfer.com>
Sent: Friday, July 07, 2017 8:41 AM
To: Blosser, Andrea
Subject: Blair Co.

Andrea,
Following notification of potential impacts to the water well at the [redacted] residence [redacted]), the residents were initially provided with 20 cases of bottled drinking water. Cole Wilson (Land Agent) coordinated a service that provided a water filtration system for the home. The filtration system will be in place until the construction in the area is completed and the water well is running clear again. The [redacted] seem to be satisfied with this response to date.

Chris

[redacted]

From: Blosser, Andrea
Sent: Tuesday, August 15, 2017 7:23 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Complaint regarding private well water supply

Hello, [redacted]

This message is in follow-up to our telephone conversation earlier this evening where we discussed recent changes to your private water supply (an approximately 197' deep well with 30-40' of casing). Specifically, you indicated that your water pressure has been diminishing slowly over the last month until it dried up 3-4 days ago—all of which occurred after the initiation of construction activities by Sunoco Pipeline, LP (SPLP) to install transmission lines immediately north of your property.

You further indicated that you reported your concerns to SPLP on Saturday, 8/12/2017 and they subsequently followed-up with a phone call and site visit on Monday, 8/14/2017. You indicated that Gary C. Wisniewski, senior right-of-way agent, verbally informed you earlier today that SPLP has concluded that they are not responsible for the recent changes to your water supply. You indicated that SPLP has not offered to provide you with any temporary or permanent replacement of your water supply.

As discussed, DEP will be further investigating this matter. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Regards,

Andrea Blosser | Conservation, Restoration & Inspection Section Chief
Department of Environmental Protection| Waterways and Wetlands Program
South-central Regional Office
909 Elmerton Ave | Harrisburg, PA 17110
Phone: 717.705.4763 | Fax: 717.705.4760
www.dep.state.pa.us

The 24-hour toll free Emergency Response number for DEP's South-central Regional Office is 866-825-0208.

[redacted]

From: EMBRY, CHRISTOPHER P <CHRISTOPHER.EMBRY@energytransfer.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 03, 2017 10:03 AM
To: Eberts, Ron
Subject: RE: PA Pipeline Project, Fairview Twp.

Thanks, I'm going to call Rob now to make sure Tiffany is taken care of and she gets the three sets of plans for review. I'll call you later about the pit near [redacted] in Berks.

Chris

From: Eberts, Ron [mailto:reberts@pa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, August 03, 2017 9:47 AM
To: EMBRY, CHRISTOPHER P <CHRISTOPHER.EMBRY@energytransfer.com>
Subject: FW: PA Pipeline Project, Fairview Twp.

FYI-

Ronald C. Eberts, Jr. | Environmental Compliance Specialist
Department of Environmental Protection
Southcentral Regional Office
Waterways & Wetlands Program
909 Elmerton Avenue | Harrisburg, PA 17110
Phone: 717.705.4819 | Fax: - 717.705.4760
http://secure-web.cisco.com/1Qi3-8g3PsEmVN9dPTcx4u2egTvyThEgiFdZ9Y774aunQ_CBZy2jdHUFuSwqQk3pFikrcHMSBS8Qs5GT-nBs6cyCCFZBwWdPVQBcPGNRtne1cEBcjwStaSm5EQ6xyBqUNQXR4ZQ6Xy_hmj5LZtqtwYSXjqOtKsLg-KHkLaxwKzet2ZHIO_3OZGMkaTDyLfX62097s5y3KCeM1DeIhnbRuXKTSj0MJ60I5RyUXIg09pcXajzgDCptsPXwRTfv9elAoVfWAhF5orQuQCL_7iLyNeb8h4qNTbaqQRXvUUw_taIRUBtvZOf0Vt2VTs1SYeK_Kf8mJ9ZqJAo_jKh_CKdGzA/http%3A%2F%2Fwww.depweb.state.pa.us

From: Tiffany Crum [mailto:TCrum@yorkccd.org]
Sent: Thursday, August 03, 2017 9:43 AM
To: robert.simcik@tetratech.com; Sean Wright <ssrt69@gmail.com>
Cc: Eberts, Ron <reberts@pa.gov>; Eric Jordan <EJordan@yorkccd.org>
Subject: PA Pipeline Project, Fairview Twp.

Good Rob,
I had the opportunity to review the revised plans for the PA Pipeline Project and have the following question/comments:

1) It appears that runoff discharging from the proposed water bars in the expanded pull back area (north of STA 11148+00) will flow onto the ROW and bypass the slope pipe in the area of STA 1147+75.
2) During on-site meeting with the contractor, other changes were discussed/proposed (i.e. removal and/or relocation of some of the slope pipes, change from open cut to boring, etc.), however those changes do not appear to be reflected on submitted plans. Please clarify.

Upon addressing the above noted comments, please provide 3 copies of the revised plans for review and approval. Should you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.

Thanks,

Tiffany Crum, CPESC
Engineering Technician II
York County Conservation District
(717) 840-7430

"In every walk with nature one receives far more than he seeks."
-John Muir

[redacted]

From: Doug Stiffler <doug.stiffler82@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 03, 2017 3:29 PM
To: Blosser, Andrea
Cc: Eberts, Ron
Subject: Re: plan check

Thanks. I'll pass that info along.

On Thu, Aug 3, 2017 at 3:27 PM, Blosser, Andrea <ablosser@pa.gov> wrote:

Doug,

I would advise that you request that the E&S Plan be modified to reflect that change and is should be noted that the foot print of the pile of stone should be less than an acre. A perimeter BMP should be installed along the downslope contour of the stone stockpile, and all other disturbed areas be seeded and mulched. It should also be noted that the stone stockpile should be located outside of the floodway.

Ron (from Andrea's computer)

From: Doug Stiffler [mailto:doug.stiffler82@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 03, 2017 3:20 PM
To: Eberts, Ron <reberts@pa.gov>; Williamson, Scott <scwilliams@pa.gov>; Blosser, Andrea <ablosser@pa.gov>
Subject: Re: plan check

I touched base with Property owner [redacted]

[redacted] inquired as to whether they could stockpile the stone onsite and seed and mulch the rest of the area. It sounds like [redacted] has plans to develop down the road and would like to have the stone left onsite. I am off tomorrow and I'm told the contractor is currently demobilizing and should be out by the end of the week. If possible could someone give [redacted] a call tomorrow?

On Thu, Aug 3, 2017 at 2:14 PM, Doug Stiffler <doug.stiffler82@gmail.com> wrote:

ok Thanks.

On Thu, Aug 3, 2017 at 2:08 PM, Eberts, Ron <reberts@pa.gov> wrote:

Yes. Otherwise it would be considered an enforcement action to which a CAP would be required.

Ronald C. Eberts, Jr. | Environmental Compliance Specialist

Department of Environmental Protection

Southcentral Regional Office

Waterways & Wetlands Program

909 Elmerton Avenue | Harrisburg, PA 17110

Phone: 717.705.4819 | Fax: - 717.705.4760

www.depweb.state.pa.us

From: Doug Stiffler [mailto:doug.stiffler82@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 03, 2017 1:10 PM
To: Eberts, Ron <reberts@pa.gov>
Subject: Re: plan check

So for the sake of clarity...Remove the stone and seed and mulch is the **required** course of action now?

On Thu, Aug 3, 2017 at 1:04 PM, Eberts, Ron <reberts@pa.gov> wrote:

> Doug, as a follow up to our recent phone conversation I add the following: we would require union to remove all of stone to return the site back to approximate original conditions or better. We do not want this ending up a compliance matter against the land owner. Please advise union that they need to return the site back to approximate original conditions or better
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Doug Stiffler <doug.stiffler82@gmail.com>
> **Sent:** Thursday, August 3, 2017 10:55:16 AM
> **To:** Eberts, Ron
> **Subject:** plan check
>
> Ron,
>
> No narrative language is on the plan calling for site restoration or stone removal.
>
> --
>
> Doug Stiffler
>
> Huntingdon County Conservation District

--

Doug Stiffler

Huntingdon County Conservation District

--

Doug Stiffler

Huntingdon County Conservation District

--

Doug Stiffler

Huntingdon County Conservation District

--
Doug Stiffler
Huntingdon County Conservation District

[redacted]

From: Buterbaugh, Thomas D.
Sent: Wednesday, August 02, 2017 8:04 AM
To: Blosser, Andrea
Subject: Re: Berks County Well Sampling Request

Hi Andrea. Just wanted to let you know that we successfully obtain a sample last night and interviewed [redacted] I'll get you all the supporting documentation in a couple of days. If you have any questions or concerns please let me know.

Thanks. Tom

From: Buterbaugh, Thomas D.
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2017 4:34:43 PM
To: Blosser, Andrea
Subject: Fwd: Berks County Well Sampling Request

Hi Andrea, Tony forwarded this to me for follow up. I spoke with the complainant and will be attempting to get a sample tomorrow evening.

Do you have any of the information sent in from Sunoco? Specifically, I am looking for information on where and how they collected the sample. [redacted] provided that [redacted] is no longer hooked up to the well and that it is partially covered over.

From: Martinelli, Anthony L
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 3:04:55 PM
To: Buterbaugh, Thomas D.
Subject: Fwd: Berks County Well Sampling Request

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Blosser, Andrea
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 3:02:59 PM
To: Martinelli, Anthony L
Cc: Sullivan, Curtis C
Subject: Berks County Well Sampling Request

ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION

Hello, Tony:

As discussed earlier, the SCRO is requesting the same sampling assistance you recently provided SERO for private water supplies in Chester County.

Following, please find the details for the one Berks County residences for which a water supply complaint was registered with DEP. Please note, the initial instance was reported on July 14, 2017. Sunoco has reported that they collected a post-complaint sample of this well on 7/14/2017 but those results are still pending.

I left a phone message for [redacted] this afternoon letting [redacted] know that DEP would like to sample [redacted] water and that someone would be reaching out to [redacted] to coordinate that.

Thanks again for your help! If you need any other info, please let me know.

Andrea Blosser | Conservation, Restoration & Inspection Section Chief
Department of Environmental Protection| Waterways and Wetlands Program
South-central Regional Office
909 Elmerton Ave | Harrisburg, PA 17110
Phone: 717.705.4763 | Fax: 717.705.4760
www.dep.state.pa.us

The 24-hour toll free Emergency Response number for DEP's South-central Regional Office is 866-825-0208.

[redacted]

From: Blosser, Andrea
Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 7:21 AM
To: Buterbaugh, Thomas D.
Subject: RE: Berks County Well Sampling Request

Good morning, Thomas. I spoke to Sunoco this AM. They indicated that they were out to draw a sample yesterday. [redacted] well is actually covered over with decorative stones, which can be removed to expose the well cap/casing. They got permission to remove the stones and sampled the well with a bailer. [redacted] requested that they leave the stones off to facilitate your sampling today, so you should be good to go.

If you need anything else, I'll be in the office until at least 4PM today.

Thanks much,

Andrea Blosser | Conservation, Restoration & Inspection Section Chief
Department of Environmental Protection| Waterways and Wetlands Program
South-central Regional Office
909 Elmerton Ave | Harrisburg, PA 17110
Phone: 717.705.4763 | Fax: 717.705.4760
www.dep.state.pa.us

The 24-hour toll free Emergency Response number for DEP's South-central Regional Office is 866-825-0208.

From: Buterbaugh, Thomas D.
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2017 4:35 PM
To: Blosser, Andrea <ablosser@pa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Berks County Well Sampling Request

Hi Andrea, Tony forwarded this to me for follow up. I spoke with the complainant and will be attempting to get a sample tomorrow evening.

Do you have any of the information sent in from Sunoco? Specifically, I am looking for information on where and how they collected the sample. [redacted] provided that [redacted] is no longer hooked up to the well and that it is partially covered over.

From: Martinelli, Anthony L
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 3:04:55 PM

To: Buterbaugh, Thomas D.
Subject: Fwd: Berks County Well Sampling Request

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Blosser, Andrea
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 3:02:59 PM
To: Martinelli, Anthony L
Cc: Sullivan, Curtis C
Subject: Berks County Well Sampling Request

ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION

Hello, Tony:

As discussed earlier, the SCRO is requesting the same sampling assistance you recently provided SERO for private water supplies in Chester County.

Following, please find the details for the one Berks County residences for which a water supply complaint was registered with DEP. Please note, the initial instance was reported on July 14, 2017. Sunoco has reported that they collected a post-complaint sample of this well on 7/14/2017 but those results are still pending.

I left a phone message for [redacted] this afternoon letting [redacted] know that DEP would like to sample [redacted] water and that someone would be reaching out to [redacted] to coordinate that.

Thanks again for your help! If you need any other info, please let me know.

Andrea Blosser | Conservation, Restoration & Inspection Section Chief
Department of Environmental Protection| Waterways and Wetlands Program
South-central Regional Office
909 Elmerton Ave | Harrisburg, PA 17110
Phone: 717.705.4763 | Fax: 717.705.4760
www.dep.state.pa.us

The 24-hour toll free Emergency Response number for DEP's South-central Regional Office is 866-825-0208.

[redacted]

From: Eberts, Ron
Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2017 12:58 PM
To: Taber, Nels J.; Sullivan, Curtis C; Williamson, Scott (scwilliams@pa.gov); Blosser, Andrea; Williams, Janna
Subject: FW: [redacted]

FYI-

Ronald C. Eberts, Jr. | Environmental Compliance Specialist
Department of Environmental Protection
Southcentral Regional Office
Waterways & Wetlands Program
909 Elmerton Avenue | Harrisburg, PA 17110
Phone: 717.705.4819 | Fax: - 717.705.4760
www.depweb.state.pa.us

From: Brian Shields [mailto:Brian.Shields@berkscd.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2017 12:52 PM
To: Eberts, Ron <reberts@pa.gov>
Subject: FW: [redacted]

From: Rob robertson [mailto:cerir56@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2017 8:47 AM
To: Brian Shields
Subject: [redacted]

Brian-I have received a complaint regarding sediment off ROW from a landowner named [redacted] who lives between [redacted] The land agent is to confirm the specifics of the complaint with the owner this morning and an environmental crew will be dispatched to the area. The environmental coordinator has been made aware of the issue.

We have a water well contaminated with sediment near the Peachtree Lane HDD. We are providing water to the family ([redacted]) and taking corrective actions to regain returns.

An environmental crew will remove the sediment from a lawn off Calco Street Friday morning at 9:00 am. Controls have been beefed up in the area. A land agent will be with the crew to communicate with the owner and make sure they are satisfied.

Wanted you to know of the current issues and that we are on top of the problems. Call me with any questions.

Thanks

--
Rob Robertson
Tetra Tech Environmental
601-940-4536

[redacted]

From: Eberts, Ron
Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2017 12:58 PM
To: Taber, Nels J.; Sullivan, Curtis C; Williamson, Scott; Blosser, Andrea; Williams, Janna
Subject: FW: [redacted]

FYI-

Ronald C. Eberts, Jr. | Environmental Compliance Specialist
Department of Environmental Protection
Southcentral Regional Office
Waterways & Wetlands Program
909 Elmerton Avenue | Harrisburg, PA 17110
Phone: 717.705.4819 | Fax: - 717.705.4760
www.depweb.state.pa.us

From: Brian Shields [mailto:Brian.Shields@berkscd.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2017 12:52 PM
To: Eberts, Ron <reberts@pa.gov>
Subject: FW: [redacted]

From: Rob robertson [mailto:cerjr56@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2017 8:47 AM
To: Brian Shields
Subject: [redacted]

Brian-I have received a complaint regarding sediment off ROW from a landowner named [redacted] who lives between [redacted]. The land agent is to confirm the specifics of the complaint with the owner this morning and an environmental crew will be dispatched to the area. The environmental coordinator has been made aware of the issue.

We have a water well contaminated with sediment near the Peachtree Lane HDD. We are providing water to the family ([redacted]) and taking corrective actions to regain returns.

An environmental crew will remove the sediment from a lawn off Calco Street Friday morning at 9:00 am. Controls have been beefed up in the area. A land agent will be with the crew to communicate with the owner and make sure they are satisfied.

Wanted you to know of the current issues and that we are on top of the problems. Call me with any questions.

Thanks

--
Rob Robertson
Tetra Tech Environmental
601-940-4536

[redacted]

From: Brian Shields <Brian.Shields@berkscd.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2017 12:52 PM
To: Eberts, Ron
Subject: FW: [redacted]

From: Rob robertson [mailto:cerjr56@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2017 8:47 AM
To: Brian Shields
Subject: [redacted]

Brian-I have received a complaint regarding sediment off ROW from a landowner named [redacted] who lives between [redacted] The land agent is to confirm the specifics of the complaint with the owner this morning and an environmental crew will be dispatched to the area. The environmental coordinator has been made aware of the issue.

We have a water well contaminated with sediment near the Peachtree Lane HDD. We are providing water to the family ([redacted]) and taking corrective actions to regain returns.

An environmental crew will remove the sediment from a lawn off Calco Street Friday morning at 9:00 am. Controls have been beefed up in the area. A land agent will be with the crew to communicate with the owner and make sure they are satisfied.

Wanted you to know of the current issues and that we are on top of the problems. Call me with any questions.

Thanks

--
Rob Robertson
Tetra Tech Environmental
601-940-4536

[redacted]

From: Blosser, Andrea
Sent: Friday, July 07, 2017 8:45 AM
To: Eberts, Ron; Taber, Nels J.; Sullivan, Curtis C
Subject: FW: Blair Co.

From: EMBRY, CHRISTOPHER P [mailto:CHRISTOPHER.EMBRY@energytransfer.com]
Sent: Friday, July 07, 2017 8:41 AM
To: Blosser, Andrea <ablosser@pa.gov>
Subject: Blair Co.

Andrea,
Following notification of potential impacts to the water well at the [redacted] the residents were initially provided with 20 cases of bottled drinking water. Cole Wilson (Land Agent) coordinated a service that provided a water filtration system for the home. The filtration system will be in place until the construction in the area is completed and the water well is running clear again. The [redacted] seem to be satisfied with this response to date.

Chris