



December 2, 2016

By FEDERAL EXPRESS

Mr. Gregory W. Holesh, P.E.
Environmental Group Manager
Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection – Southwest Regional Office
Permitting and Technical Services Section
400 Waterfront Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Re: DEP File E02-1718
Technical Deficiency Response
Chapter 105 Dam Safety and Waterway Management Joint Permit Application
Sunoco Pipeline L.P. – Pennsylvania Pipeline Project (Mariner East II)
Elizabeth and Forward Townships, Allegheny County

Dear Mr. Holesh:

On behalf of our client, Sunoco Pipeline L.P. (SPLP), Tetra Tech, Inc. provides the following responses to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) Technical Deficiency letter dated September 6, 2016 regarding the Chapter 105 Joint Permit Application (Joint Permit Application) for the Pennsylvania Pipeline Project (Project or PPP as defined in the application). SPLP has had minor revisions to the proposed workspaces since submittal of the original application. These revisions have occurred as result of preparing a response to these technical deficiencies, landowner requests, further reduction of impacts to aquatic resources, or minor limit of disturbance (LOD) changes to facilitate construction. The supporting attachments represent a revision of the Joint Permit Application that not only addresses the DEP's technical deficiencies, but also provides revised sections that reflect the most current project areas. The attachment includes all necessary components of a complete application, however, it excludes previously submitted aquatic resource reports. Please consider only the previously submitted aquatic resource reports as part of this application revision. We are providing two hard copies and three CDs of the revised application.

For ease of your review, each DEP item is set forth verbatim below, followed by a narrative response with supporting attachments.

Comments and Responses to September 6, 2016 Technical Deficiency Letter 2

AL 1	The Application was signed and certified by Matthew L. Gordon as the “Principal Engineer”. Per the instructions for the Pennsylvania Water Obstruction and Encroachment Permit Application, an application from a partnership shall be signed by one or more members authorized to sign on behalf of an entire partnership. Provide documentation that Mr. Gordon is authorized to sign the Application on behalf of the entire partnership or have the proper partner(s) sign the application. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(g)	A “Delegation of Authority” letter authorizing Mr. Gordon to sign the Application on behalf of the partnership is provided in with the Joint Application Form.
AL 2	The previous Technical Deficiency Letter requested a copy of your Preparedness Prevention Contingency (PPC) Plan to protect against potential impacts, including, but not limited to, potential impacts to public and private water supplies. 25 Pa Code § 91.33(b) Regarding these plans:	NA - Heading
AL 2.a	The application includes separate documents covering PPC activities. Due to the scope of this project, you must consolidate these plans into one stand-alone document that can be used in the field. This plan must also be consistent in your Erosion and Sediment Control permit application. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(g) and 105.301(10)	The Preparedness, Prevention, and Contingency Plan (PPC Plan) has been updated to be applicable project-wide, and is the overarching plan to three supplemental plans: the Water Supply Assessment, Preparedness Prevention and Contingency Plan, the Inadvertent Return Assessment, Preparedness, Prevention and Contingency Plan (IR Plan), and the Void Mitigation Plan for Karst Terrain and Underground Mining. Due to the size and distinct subject matters of each plan, these three plans are separate but reference each other and work together to provide protection to on-site and off-site water resources. These plans are found in Attachment 12 of this application and are also consistent and part of the Chapter 102 application.

<p>AL 2.b</p>	<p>In a letter dated June 24, 2016, regarding the northeastern bulrush, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service stated, “As a means to minimize impacts should an IR occur, you provided an HDD Inadvertent Release Contingency Plan. In addition to the instructions in this Plan, please add the USFWS phone number as an agency to be contacted should an IR occur, and inform the HDD contractor about the sensitive nature of the drill at this location.” Revise your Contingency Plan to incorporate this information. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(x)</p>	<p>A comprehensive and complete contact list (including USFWS phone number) has been added to the IR Plan provided in Attachment 12, Tab 12C. The horizontal directional drilling (HDD) contractor will be informed of sensitive areas through the Environmental Inspection training program, which is discussed within the Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures (Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4).</p>
<p>AL 2.c</p>	<p>The Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission Law Enforcement Section should be included in the list of agencies to be contacted should an inadvertent return occur. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(x)</p>	<p>A comprehensive and complete contact list (including the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission (PAFBC) Law Enforcement Section) has been added to the IR Plan provided in Attachment 12, Tab 12C.</p>
<p>AL 2.d</p>	<p>While you provided a narrative discussing how impacts to private water supplies will be investigated and addressed, a formal plan has not been provided. As such, revise your PPC Plan to include the following: 25 Pa Code § 91.33(b)</p>	<p>NA - Heading</p>
<p>AL 2.d.i</p>	<p>Measures the applicant will take to investigate for the presence of private water supplies in areas where HDD crossings are proposed. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(x)</p>	<p>Potential impacts to private water supplies in areas where HDD crossings are proposed have been analyzed and addressed within three supplemental plans to the Preparedness, Prevention, and Contingency Plan (PPC Plan), the Water Supply Assessment, Preparedness Prevention and Contingency Plan, the IR Plan, and the Void Mitigation Plan for Karst Terrain and Underground Mining. The Water Supply plan identifies the methods and describes the existing environment in regards to private and public water supplies across the entire Project. These plans are provided in Attachment 12.</p>

AL 2.d.ii	Procedures that will be followed to investigate and resolve impacts to private water supplies should they occur as a result of the proposed activities. This procedure should discuss how private water supply owners will be alerted in the event of an inadvertent return. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(x)	Attachment 12, Tab 12B includes a Water Supply Assessment, Prevention, Preparedness, and Contingency Plan that addresses potential impacts and describes the procedures to prevent and prepare for resolution of water supply impacts should they occur, including notification procedures.
AL 2.e	The application states, “SPLP plans to use the FERC standards in accepting and investigating landowner complaints of spring and well water supply impairment.” Provide a copy of these FERC standards and incorporate the FERC standards into your PPC Plan for Department review. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(x)	The PPC Plan has been revised to remove the reference to FERC standards in accepting and investigating landowner complaints of spring and well water supply impairment. A separate, stand-alone Water Supply Assessment, Prevention, Preparedness, and Contingency Plan has been prepared that details the procedures and standards for accepting and investigating landowner complaints regarding spring and well water supply impairment. This Water Supply Assessment, Prevention, Preparedness, and Contingency Plan is provided in Attachment 12, Tab12B.
AL 2.f	The Plan should address management of excess drilling mud/liquids that may be encountered at individual bore pits. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(x)	The PPC Plan and the IR Plan were updated to include standard operating procedures, which address management of excess drilling muds/liquids encountered at individual HDD sites. These plans are provided in Attachment 12.
AL 3	Regarding the proposed HDD resource crossings:	NA - Heading
AL 3.a	The HDD Inadvertent Return Contingency Plan contains no analysis concerning the risk of an inadvertent return. Provide an analysis of the risk of an inadvertent return occurring for all proposed HDD crossings. Include in-depth detail, discussion, and data in the analysis of the risk of a return occurring. 25 Pa Code §§ 105.14(b)(4) and 105.14(b)(11)	The revised IR Plan provided in Attachment 12C includes an inadvertent return risk assessment for each of the HDD crossings.
AL 3.b	The Department recommends that a qualified, licensed geologist and applicant representative be	A geologist has been involved with the planning of HDDs since the Project beginning. The HDD risk assessment

	<p>on-site while HDD crossings are being conducted. If a geologist will be on-site, please include in your PPC Plan the minimum qualifications and experience of the individual(s), and consider revising your plans to include these measures. Otherwise provide a detailed analysis and risk assessment regarding response time should an inadvertent return occur and associated damages that could result due to these delays. 25 Pa. Code §105.301(10) and 25 Pa Code § 91.33(b)</p>	<p>attached to the revised IR Plan, includes a geological investigation, including geotechnical borings. The HDDs have been designed to minimize and reduce the potential for inadvertent return to the maximum extent practicable. The IR Plan has been revised to provide for a Professional Geologist to be part of the Environmental Inspection Team per spread.</p> <p>The required qualifications for the geologist are listed within the revised IR Plan. The contractor will continuously monitor its HDD fluid pressure and make adjustments and/or respond directly in the event of inadvertent return.</p>
AL 3.c	<p>Since these pipelines are located in such close proximity to existing pipelines, thus areas which may have been previously impacted, we request that an evaluation be conducted where any prior disturbance from boring or trenching occurred within the area of a proposed HDD or open trench location. Provide a narrative that discusses how your evaluation and the resulting adjustments that should be made in these specific areas (e.g. boring deeper if the proposed HDD is within an area that may have been affected, such as by the creation of fractures, from past borings). An example of particular concern is the HDD boring underneath the Youghiogheny River in Westmoreland County. The previous ME1 HDD records from all HDD borings should be evaluated and considered in determining any necessary adjustments to the proposed ME2 HDD boring plan. 25 Pa. Code §105.301(10)</p>	<p>All as built conditions for the ME1 Projects, including the 12-inch Houston to Delmont installation and the 8-inch repair project were used to carefully plan the horizontal and vertical installation of the PPP pipeline HDDs. In addition, all foreign and other SPLP lines were identified and plans obtained to identify the horizontal and vertical locations of these existing lines. Previous IRs were also known to engineers and that information along with the geotechnical borings, geology of the area, and existing line plans formed the knowledge base for this careful planning. IR risk assessments have been added to the revised IR Plan provided in Attachment 12, Tab 12C to further add to the integrity of the installation plans without compromising other lines or posing additional risks to ground and surface waters.</p>

AL 3.d	<p>As a recommendation, a qualified, licensed geologist should be working with the HDD contractor conducting pre-boring evaluations to address the assessment of potential impacts to local public and private drinking water supplies and aquifers. This should be a stand-alone document. The geologist's qualifications and experience requirements should be included in the HDD Evaluation Plan discussed in comment 2.d, below. 25 Pa. Code §105.301(10)</p>	<p>Potential impacts to local public and private drinking water supplies and aquifers are discussed within the stand-alone Water Supply Assessment, Prevention, Preparedness, and Contingency Plan provided in Attachment 12. This plan has been coordinated and prepared, and reviewed by a licensed geologist. A geologist has been involved with the planning of HDDs since the Project beginning. The HDD risk assessment attached to the revised IR Plan, includes a geological investigation, including geotechnical borings. The HDDs have been designed to minimize and reduce the potential for inadvertent return to the maximum extent practicable. The IR Plan has been revised to provide for a Professional Geologist to be part of the Environmental Inspection Team per spread. The required qualifications for the geologist are listed within the revised IR Plan.</p>
AL 3.e	<p>An HDD Evaluation Plan should be created to address the pre-boring geologic evaluation of the existence and potential to impact local public and private drinking water supplies and aquifers within a specified radius of the boring location. The plan needs to include what measures will be employed to prevent such impacts and then to verify that no supplies or aquifers have been impacted (e.g., pre- and post-boring water quality and quantity analyses). The PPC Plan should specify what notifications and remediation measures will be employed if there are impacts. 25 Pa. Code §105.301(10) and 25 Pa Code § 91.33(b)</p>	<p>The Water Supply Plan provides for the assessment of the existing public and private water supplies in or along the Project, as well as identifies prevention and preparedness measures to be implemented to protect those supplies. The IR Plan outlines the preconstruction activities implemented to ensure competent geological features are included in the drill profile, the measures to prevent impact, and the preparedness plan if an impact were to occur. These plans are provided in Attachment 12.</p>
AL 3.f	<p>Provide the minimum qualifications and experience requirements you will impose for the contractors</p>	<p>The requirements and responsibilities imposed on contractors are provided within the IR Plan provided in Attachment 12, Tab12C.</p>

	that will be performing the HDD crossings. 25 Pa. Code §105.301(10)	
AL 3.g	The mitigation plan states that a telemetry guidance system will be used for HDD crossings. Revise the application to identify whether this method will require cables, wires, or other obstructions to be placed in waters of the Commonwealth. If obstructions are to be placed in waters of the Commonwealth, ensure the associated impacts are accounted for in the application, and provide plan drawings, cross sections, and a description of the length of time that these obstructions will be present in the resource. If cables or other obstructions are proposed in navigable waters, contact Thomas Burrell of the PA Fish and Boat Commission at 717-705-7838 to discuss whether an Aids-To-Navigation (ATON) plan will be required. Documentation should be provided that coordination with PFBC has been conducted regarding this ATON plan. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(iii) and 105.23	The telemetry guidance system requires a 4-6 gauge wire to be strung along the HDD alignment to allow for accurate drill head tracking. This is laid on the surface of the uplands and along the bottom of streams and waterbodies and would follow the surface and bottom elevation profile shown within each HDD drawing. SPLP will prepare and submit for approval from the PAFBC Aids to Navigation Plans (ATON plans) for the stringing of the telemetry wire for those water crossings with potential for recreational or commercial navigation. SPLP has identified those crossings that require ATON plans through consultations with the PAFBC (i.e., Thomas Burrell). The ATON plans for those crossings and status of PAFBC approval are provided with the HDD drawing set located in Attachment 7; Tab 7B. The duration would be for the entire drill process which would vary greatly within each drill site and across the Project. The impact is accounted for within the aquatic resource tables located in Attachment 11.
AL 3.h	Provide information and details regarding previous HDD activities on the Mariner East I pipeline project where inadvertent returns occurred. At a minimum, this should include: a complete list of all occurrences of inadvertent returns, topographic maps with the location, latitude and longitude of each occurrence, description of the event, the amount of discharge, whether the discharge entered waterways and wetlands, the mitigation and clean up measures taken, and details of your investigation	An HDD Risk Assessment is included as part of the revised IR Plan provided in Attachment 12C. The assessment discusses previous inadvertent returns (IR) and provides the data and analysis requested (see Appendix C of the IR Plan).

	and conclusions as to the cause of each event. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(viii), (ix) and (x)	
AL 3.i	Provide an analysis of potential impacts that the use of drilling fluid could have on the hydrology and quality of streams and wetlands that will be crossed using HDD. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(viii), (ix) and (x)	IR Plan provided in Attachment 12, Tab 12C has been updated to provide an analysis of the potential impacts that the use of drilling fluid could have on the hydrology and quality of streams and wetlands that will be crossed using HDD.
AL 3.j	You must identify the location of all public water supplies (surface water intakes of public drinking water supplies and public supply wells) within 1 mile of the project as per §105.13.e(1)(ii) and evaluate potential impacts that HDD and other resource crossing activities could have on these water supply resources and include the evaluation in the application. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(x)	The location of all public water supplies within one mile of the Project and any potential water supply impacts from HDD and other resource crossing activities have been analyzed and addressed within three supplemental plans to the PPC Plan, the Water Supply Assessment, Preparedness Prevention and Contingency Plan, the IR Plan, and the Void Mitigation Plan for Karst Terrain and Underground Mining. These plans are provided in Attachment 12.
AL 4	Regarding your resource impact tables:	NA - Heading
AL 4.a	Revise your impact tables to indicate which resources will also require temporary road crossings, and what type of crossing method (e.g. mats, pads) is proposed. This includes temporary road crossings after the pipelines are installed. A total number of temporary road crossings should also be provided. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)	The aquatic resource impact tables located in Attachment 11 contain footnotes indicating which resources will require temporary crossings, the type of crossing method, and the total number of required temporary road crossings. All temporary road crossings will be maintained until the restoration and clean-up phase of the construction process for that length of the Project has been completed.
AL 4.b	Revise your impact table to specify the linear footage for both temporary and permanent stream impacts for each impact. Total impact footage should also be provided. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)	The revised aquatic resource impact tables provided in Attachment 11 specify the linear footage for both temporary and permanent stream impacts and also provide the total impacted linear footage for all stream impacts.

AL 4.c	The impacts described under Section 5.0 of your “Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Plan” are inconsistent with the impacts provided in the “Waterbody Impact Summary” tables provided in your application. Resolve this inconsistency so that correct impact totals are reflected throughout your application. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)	The Project impact table is now located in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 2 and has been revised to match impact acreages identified elsewhere in the Application.
AL 5	Regarding your agency coordination:	NA - Heading
AL 5.a	Provide PNDI clearances from the PA Game Commission and US Fish and Wildlife Service. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(x) and 105.23	The PGC provided clearance by letter dated June 8, 2016. The USFWS provided a final determination in letter dated October 31, 2016. Both letters are provided in Attachment 6.
AL 5.b	Provide proof that you have received clearance for your project from PHMC. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(x) and 105.23	While DEP is required to consider potential impacts to historic resources under 25 Pa. Code Chapter 105 when DEP conducts reviews of a water obstruction, encroachment or dam permit application, neither of the regulations referenced in DEP’s comment require SPLP to provide clearance or approval from the PHMC as part of a Chapter 102 or Chapter 105 Joint Permit Application. Furthermore, as noted in a letter from Alexandra C. Chiaruttini, Esq., DEP’s Chief Counsel concerning the SPLP Pennsylvania Pipeline Project, “the [Pennsylvania] History Code does not authorize our agency or any Commonwealth agency to stop the processing of permits solely due to possible or actual presence of archaeological or historic resources, unless the agency’s enabling legislation contains specific statutory authorization for such action. DEP does not have such authorization here.” A copy of the February 1, 2016, letter from Ms. Chiaruttini is provided in Attachment 4. See also Pennsylvania History Code §508(a)(4). Accordingly,

		<p>SPLP requests that DEP continue its review of SPLP's applications.</p> <p>SPLP will continue to work with the PHMC to ensure that impacts to cultural resources are avoided where possible. In addition, SPLP has included with its Chapter 102 application a Cultural Resources Unanticipated Discovery Plan to be implemented during construction that outlines the protocols SPLP will follow if SPLP unexpectedly encounters archaeological or historic resources, including notification to DEP and PHMC and cessation of earth disturbance.</p>
AL 6	Regarding your alternatives analysis:	NA - Heading
AL 6.a	The alternatives analysis provided in your application only summarizes major avoidance and minimization actions. Revise the alternatives analysis to provide a detailed analysis of alternative routings, locations, and designs to avoid and minimize impacts and provide detailed documentation and evidence that there are not practicable alternatives which would further avoid and minimize impacts. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(viii)	The Alternatives Analysis in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 3 has been revised to provide a detailed analysis of alternative routings, locations, and designs to avoid and minimize impacts and to provide documentation/evidence that there are no practicable alternatives that would further avoid and minimize impacts.
AL 6.b	Some portions of the proposed right-of-way and pipelines directly abut the maintenance corridor of the existing Mariner East I pipeline; however, in other portions the proposed right-of-way has partial or near complete overlap with the existing maintenance area and pipeline. Increased overlap of the proposed right-of-way and the existing Mariner East I maintenance corridor could further avoid and minimize impacts. Revise the application accordingly to avoid and minimize	The Alternatives Analysis in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 3 has been revised to address this comment.

	impacts by locating the proposed right-of-way with overlap of the existing maintenance corridor, or provide a detailed analysis and discussion with specific details explaining why this overlap is present in some areas and not others, and why the proposed right-of-way cannot further overlap. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(viii)	
AL 6.c	Impacts and secondary impacts from the temporary right-of-way and associated temporary work spaces can be avoided by locating these features outside the floodway of streams. Revise the application accordingly to avoid and minimize impacts, or provide a detailed analysis of alternative routes, designs and methods to avoid and minimize impacts. Document and provide evidence that other routes and designs would not further avoid or minimize impacts. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(viii)	The Alternatives Analysis in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 3 has been revised to address this comment.
AL 6.d	Several waters of the Commonwealth could be crossed using trenchless installation methods that could reduce surface impacts. Provide a revised alternatives analysis that incorporates a discussion of alternative crossing techniques (e.g., conventional bore or HDD) addressing each resource crossing and explaining why trenchless installation methods are not appropriate. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(viii)	The Alternatives Analysis provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 3 has been revised to include a discussion on the limitations of trenchless methods as well as a trenchless feasibility assessment.
AL 6.e	Regarding your “No-Action Alternative”, your application states, “pipelines are considered to be a safer, more efficient mode of transport for many types of substances, including natural gas and NGL’s.” Provide evidence of pipeline	The Project Description has been revised to provide evidence that pipelines are considered to be a safer, more efficient mode of transport for many types of substances, including natural gas and NGLs, and is provided in Attachment 9, Appendix A.

	safety/efficiency when compared to road/rail transport. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(viii)	
AL 6.f	The impacts described in Table 2 do not match those reported elsewhere in the application. Confirm the correct data and revise your application accordingly. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(viii)	The Application has been checked for consistency with Table 2 and the Application has been revised accordingly.
AL 6.g	As discussed in comment 2.g., the Mariner East 1 pipeline had several inadvertent returns during the construction process. Discuss how you have taken these historic issues into account in your design of the proposed project. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(viii)	All As-built Conditions for the ME1 Projects, including the 12-inch Houston to Delmont installation and the 8-inch repair project, were used to carefully plan the horizontal and vertical installation of the PPP pipeline HDDs. In addition, all foreign and other SPLP lines were identified and the plans for such lines were obtained to identify the horizontal and vertical locations of these existing lines. Previous IRs were also known to engineers and that information, along with the geotechnical borings, geology of the area, and existing line plans, were all used in planning for the PPP pipeline HDDs. In the case of the Marsh Creek drill for the 8-inch repair project in Chester County, the IRs resulted in rerouting of the pipeline. IR risk assessments have been added to the revised IR Plan provided in Attachment 12C to further add to the integrity of the installation plans without compromising other lines or posing additional risks to ground and surface waters.
AL 7	Identify the proposed provisions for a shut-off in the event of a break or rupture of the pipeline. 25 Pa. Code §105.301(9)	The revised Project Description provided in Attachment 9 discusses block valves and the siting criteria that provides shutoff provisions. Valves are shut off remotely or manually. Block valves are also depicted on the aerial site plans provided in Attachment 7, Tab 7A.
AL 8	Trench plugs are proposed to maintain wetland hydrology during construction. Revise your	The wetland standard typical crossing detail has been updated to include trench plugs within the wetland for

	wetland crossing detail to include trench plugs within the wetland for long open-cut wetland crossings and specify the distance increments. Furthermore, the E&S plan drawings depict trench plugs which are inconsistent with the wetland crossing detail. Revise the site plans to be consistent with the detail. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(i)	long open-cut wetland crossings. Also, the E&S plan drawings have been revised to be consistent with the detail.
AL 9	Regarding your General Information Form (GIF) and Joint Permit Application:	NA - Heading
AL 9.a	The Application and GIF have different titles for M.L. Gordon. Provide accurate and consistent titles for Mr. Gordon. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(i)	The Application has been revised to provide a consistent title for M.L. Gordon.
AL 9.b	List the types and amounts of emissions to satisfy question 13.0.1 of the GIF. [1300-PM-BIT0001 5/2012 Instructions]	Question 13.0.1 of the General Information Form in Attachment 1 has been revised to address this comment.
AL 10	Provide a description of the expected duration each temporary stream and wetland crossing will remain in place. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)	The Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4 has been revised to provide expected durations of the temporary stream and wetland crossings.
AL 11	The application states that the period of instream work to install the proposed pipeline(s) will be less than 24 hours in minor waterbodies, and 48 hours for crossings of “intermediate” (10-30’ across) waterbodies. To facilitate a further understanding of your project, revise your application to discuss the estimated time installation will take for crossings of wetlands and larger watercourses. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)	For the open cut crossings of larger waters, the E&S Plan notes and details provided in Attachment 12 and Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures (Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4) have been revised to indicate that in-stream work to occur in minor water bodies (>10 feet wide) within 24 hours, and in major water bodies (10 to 100 feet wide) within 48 hours. The duration of construction in wetlands will vary depending on the length of the wetland, whether it will be tied in with an associated stream crossing (in which case the crossing duration will be the same as that stream crossing), or whether it will be constructed as part of the

		mainline construction process (in which case spoil will typically not be sidecast in wetlands for more than 30 days, in accordance with standard USACE requirements), and other factors.
AL 12	The project description provided in the Cultural Resource Notice states that the second pipeline is to be installed within 5 years of the first pipeline. The project description provided in the application does not discuss this timeframe. Regarding this item:	NA - Heading
AL 12.a	Revise the application to discuss if the pipelines will be installed at the same time, or on different schedules. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)	The Project Description in Attachment 9 to the Application has been updated to reflect the timing of the installation of the 20-inch and the 16-inch pipeline. The two pipelines will be installed during the same time period, with the 20-inch pipeline preceding the 16-inch pipeline. The 20-inch pipeline would be installed first, followed by the 16-inch line. Any temporary stabilization required would be implemented in accordance with Project's E&S Plans. For safety purposes, the installation would be staggered by what is estimated to be no more than 60 days. At some HDDs with longer drills, however, the time period between installation of the two pipelines may exceed 60 days. Both pipelines will be installed within the same limit of disturbance (LOD) so there would be no additional, temporary disturbance resulting from a second separate installation. Any temporary stabilization required would be implemented in accordance with Project's E&S Plans. Both pipelines will

		be installed within the same LOD as set forth in the permit application, so there would be no additional, temporary disturbance resulting from a second separate installation.
AL 12.b	The application states that the second pipeline will be 16 inches in diameter, while other applications related to this project state that the second pipeline could be up to 20 inches in diameter. Which is correct? 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)	In previous submissions and coordination documents, the diameter of the second pipeline had not yet been determined by engineering, but SPLP understood the maximum possible size would be 20 inches in diameter. SPLP has completed the initial engineering details for the necessary capacities of the second line and has determined that the second pipe will be 16 inches in diameter. The application has been revised to reference a 16-inch pipeline.
AL 12.c	If the pipelines are proposed to be installed at separate times, revise the application to clearly indicate this, and to identify the permanent and temporary impacts from the second pipeline installation. Please be advised that if issued the permit may expire before construction is completed on any second line. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)	The Project Description in Attachment 9 to the Application has been updated to reflect the timing of the installation of the 20-inch and the 16-inch pipeline and any permanent and temporary impacts from the second pipeline installation.
AL 12.d	If the pipelines are proposed to be installed at separate times, revise your alternatives analysis to evaluate the feasibility of installing the two pipelines concurrently with one another to avoid and minimize impacts. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(viii)	The two pipelines will be installed during the same time period, as described above. Accordingly, the Alternatives Analysis has not been revised to evaluate this issue.
AL 12.e	You may need to revise your fee calculation spreadsheets to account for the additional, second temporary disturbance resulting from a second, separate installation. §105.13	The fees paid account for all of the proposed disturbances associated with the installation of the 20-inch and the 16-inch pipeline as set forth in the Application.
AL 12.f	Your Erosion and Sedimentation Control Permit Application (ESG 05 000 15 001) should also	The 20-inch pipeline would be installed first, followed by the 16-inch line. Any temporary stabilization required

	reflect the two construction sequences if two, separate construction periods are proposed. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(g)	would be implemented in accordance with the Project's E&S Plans. Both pipelines will be installed within the same LOD and in the same construction period.
AL 13	Regarding your proposed water withdrawal and discharge:	NA - Heading
AL 13.a	Provide plans and cross sections indicating pipe size, type, placement, and locations for all aquatic resources where the proposed water withdrawals and discharges are proposed. Please note that placement of fill material, encroachment, or other obstructions may require this activity to be permitted. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(i), (ii), and (iii)	<p>Water withdrawals in Allegheny County (i.e., Monongahela River) will use temporary and above-ground equipment. The water withdrawal location is labeled on the Chapter 105 drawings. Additional details, including specific equipment configurations are included in the Chapter 102 E&S drawing details, which are referenced in the Chapter 105 drawings. All encroachments and obstructions (e.g., pump pad) are identified on the Chapter 102 drawings and included within the LOD.</p> <p>SPLP has obtained the Project's DEP PAG-10 General NPDES Discharge Permits (Authorization ID No. PAG1106869 and PAG1105897) to allow discharge of hydrostatic test waters. The permit application captures the details of the mainline and HDD testing discharges including discharge capacity, methods, and structures. All discharge structures are located within the LOD.</p> <p>In addition to the information provided in the PAG-10 permit application, all discharge outfall locations are shown on the Chapter 105 drawings and supporting information such as typical discharge details are included in the Chapter 102 E&S drawings which are referenced in the Chapter 105 drawings. Per a conference call with DEP on 09/27/16, it was agreed that call-out notes will be added on Chapter 102 drawings to refer to typical</p>

		discharge structure details instead of supplying full cross sections at each outfall location.
AL 13.b	Provide a summary table of all withdrawal and discharge locations. This table should describe the acreage and linear footage of impact to aquatic resources. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)	Outfall locations are noted on the Chapter 102 E&S in Attachment 12. Withdrawal locations are also noted on the Chapter 102 E&S drawings and summarized in the attached Allegheny County Water Sources table. All encroachments and obstructions for both withdrawals and discharges are limited to the LOD and calculated in the impacts tables. All intake and discharge equipment is temporary. The Chapter 102 drawings are referenced within the Chapter 105 drawings in Attachment 7.
AL 14	Regarding your Environmental Assessment:	NA - Heading
AL 14.a	Revise the application to clarify whether the exceptional value wetland analysis included all factors listed in 25 Pa. Code §105.17(1). If necessary, update the application to analyze all factors. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(x)(B)	The Exceptional Value Wetland analysis is now detailed in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 2 and specifically indicates that the Exceptional Value Wetland analysis included all factors listed in 25 Pa. Code § 105.17(1), including a thorough and detailed analysis of public and private water supply well proximity to the Project; proximity, presence and habitat potential for protected species (dependent on wetland habitats); proximity of wetlands to naturally reproducing trout waters; proximity of wetlands to sections of streams designated "wild" and/or "scenic"; proximity of wetlands to streams designated as "Exceptional Value" in Chapter 93; and proximity of wetlands located in areas designated by DEP as "natural" and/or "wild" within Lands owned by the Commonwealth.
AL 14.b	EV wetlands are defined as EV waters by Chapter 93. Therefore, explain the measures the applicant will implement to comply with the antidegradation requirements of the Department's water quality standards program. 25 Pa Code §93.4c(b);	An Antidegradation Analysis, provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 5, fully explains the measures that SPLP will implement to comply with the antidegradation requirements of DEP's water quality standards program.

	§93.4c(b)(2); §93.1 (definition of surface water of exceptional ecological significance); §105.14(b)(11); §105.18a(a)(4); 24 Pa.B. 922 (February 12, 1994)(Incorporation of the Department’s Existing Wetlands Protection Program into Water Quality Standards Program)	
AL 14.c	You must identify the location of all public water supplies (surface water intakes of downstream public drinking water supplies and public supply wells) within 1 mile of the project as per 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(ii).	The location of all public water supplies within 1 mile of the Project is identified within three supplemental plans to the PPC Plan, the Water Supply Assessment, Preparedness Prevention and Contingency Plan, the IR Plan, and the Void Mitigation Plan for Karst Terrain and Underground Mining. These plans are provided in Attachment 12.
AL 14.c.i	Upon identification of public drinking water supplies, revise your responses to questions 14.0, 15.0, and 16.0 of the General Information Form accordingly. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(a)	The responses to questions 14, 15, and 16 of the General Information Form in Attachment 1 have been revised to address this comment.
AL 14.c.ii	Upon identification of public drinking water supplies, revise the Environmental Assessment Form and associated enclosures to discuss the potentially affected resources and impacts from water obstructions and encroachments on the public water supplies. 25 Pa. Code §105.15(a)	Attachment 12, Tab 12B provided a new Water Supply Assessment, Preparedness, Prevention and Contingency Plan, which discusses the potentially affected resources and impacts from water obstructions and encroachments on public water supplies.
AL 14.c.iii	Upon identification of public drinking water supplies, revise the Alternatives Analysis and Mitigation Plan to avoid and minimize impacts to public water supplies and provide a detailed discussion on alternative routes, designs and methods documenting that there is no practicable alternative to further avoid and minimize impacts. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(viii), 105.13(e)(1)(ix) and 105.14(b)(5)	Potential impacts to public and private water supplies have been analyzed and addressed within three supplemental plans to the PPC Plan, the Water Supply Assessment, Preparedness Prevention and Contingency Plan, the IR Plan, and the Void Mitigation Plan for Karst Terrain and Underground Mining. The IR Plan outlines the preconstruction activities implemented to ensure competent geological features are included in the drill profile, the measures to prevent impact, and the

		preparedness plan if an impact were to occur. These plans are provided in Attachment 12.
AL 14.d	<p>Section F, Attachment 11, EA Form, Page 2, item 7 states, “Is the water resource part of or located along a private or public water supply?” The Applicant checked “No”. However, no documentation validating this statement is provided in the application. The Department is concerned that private and perhaps public water supply wells are located along crossed stream and wetland water resources and/or along the length of the HDD operations. The applicant needs to propose measures to protect all water uses, both surface intakes and groundwater sources, located along and/or downstream of the proposed work areas. Special attention needs to be applied to the potential unplanned impacts that HDD and inadvertent releases (IR) may have on groundwater sources. In addition, where a structure or activity is in a wetland, the applicant must demonstrate that this project will not cause or contribute to the pollution of groundwater or surface water resources or diminution of resources sufficient to interfere with their uses, including use as a public or private water supply. Your assessment needs to include identification, notification and consultations with water suppliers and/or well owners. A notification contact list needs to be included in your PPC Plan and Inadvertent Release Plan. 25 Pa Code §105.13; §105.14(b)(4); §105.14(b)(5); §105.18a(5); §105.18a(b)(5)</p>	<p>Water supply impacts have been analyzed and addressed within three supplemental plans to the PPC Plan, the Water Supply Assessment, Preparedness Prevention and Contingency Plan, the IR Plan, and the Void Mitigation Plan for Karst Terrain and Underground Mining. These plans are provided in Attachment 12 and the EAF revised accordingly. These plans provide instructions and procedures to facilitate the avoidance and minimization of impacts and provides the framework to investigate and resolve impacts caused by spills, releases, and other pollution events should they occur. Applicable public private downstream user information is compiled within the Water Supply plan and identification, notification, and testing procedure for private wells discussed.</p>

AL 14.e	Revise Section A.9 of Enclosure D of your Environmental Assessment to discuss and identify impacts to preserved farms and to farms with agriculture preservation easements or restrictions. Discuss how the minimization measures would affect preserved farms and how the farms will be affected by the project. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(x)	Impacts of the Project, which includes an evaluation of water resource impacts, on these designations are provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure D, A.11 and Enclosure E, Part 2.
AL 14.f	Provide an evaluation of the impact that open cut installation methods could have on wetlands that rely on perched water tables, confining layer, and/or fragipans to maintain hydrology. This evaluation should include a discussion of how your proposed activities, and, if applicable, proposed mitigation will maintain wetland hydrology in these types of areas. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(x)	SPLP has evaluated the potential for all wetlands to contain fragipan soils or other confining layers through an investigation of the USDA soil series as well as field data collected during wetland delineations and functions and value assessments. A licensed professional geologist (PG) will be present to evaluate each wetland that is found to have a potential confining layer during trenching. During trenching of these wetlands, the PG will advise on the segregation of confining layers for proper restoration of subsurface conditions. At wetlands determined to require confining layer restoration, the PG will be on-site during subsurface soil backfilling to ensure proper soil layer restoration. PGs may advise on bentonite or bentonite sandbag layering along the entire or portions of the trench line at the appropriate height if an identified confining layer cannot be segregated and/or restored properly. This combined with implementation of standard utility wetland crossing methods described more fully in the Impact Avoidance, Minimization and Mitigation Procedures in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4, will ensure that hydrology is maintained post-construction.

AL 14.g	Based upon the relatively large size of the construction impacts and/or nature of impacts that are proposed to occur in Wetland W63:	NA - Heading
AL 14.g.i	Provide a functions and values assessment for this wetland. This assessment should individually describe the functions and values of each of these resources. Each of the specific functions and values (i.e., Aquatic Habitat, Water Quantity and Streamflow, Water Quality, Recreation, and all of the other functions and values listed under Enclosure C of the Departments Environmental Assessment form) should be discussed. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(3)	A functions and values assessment which individually describe the functions and values of the resource and is consistent with DEP regulations has been provided for this wetland, which is not an EV wetland (see Attachment 11, Enclosure C).
AL 14.g.ii	Describe the methodology that was used to assess the functions and values of these wetlands. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(3)	Functions and values of wetlands were assessed using the methodology and guidelines contained within the US Army Corp of Engineers The Highway Methodology Workbook Supplement: Wetland Functions and Values A Descriptive Approach NAEPP-360-1-30a (SEPTEMBER 1999).
AL 14.g.iii	In addition, evaluate and discuss whether your project will affect the functions and values of these wetlands. 25 Pa. Code §105.18a(b)(1)(ii)	Wetland restoration will be performed at each wetland according to the Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4. Each method of crossing is provided and designed to ensure wetland functions and values are restored. Project Impacts are discussed within Attachment 11, Enclosure D and Enclosure E, Part 2 and demonstrate that unavoidable impacts to aquatic resources are temporary and minor. Enclosure E, Part 2 discusses how the Project affects the functions and values of these wetlands. In limited cases where functions and values are changed, such as when PFO habitats are permanently

		converted to PEM habitats, a compensatory mitigation plan is provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure F.
AL 14.g.iv	Please note that if your project will adversely affect these wetlands, you are required to consider, among other things, ways to avoid or minimize these impacts, and will be required to compensate for unavoidable impacts to these wetlands. 25 Pa. Code §§105.18a(b)(2), (3), and (7)	Wetland restoration will be performed at each wetland according to Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4. Each procedure and method of crossing is provided and designed to ensure wetland hydrology, vegetation, soils, and functions and values are restored. Project Impacts are discussed within Attachment 11, Enclosure D and Enclosure E, Part 2 and demonstrate that unavoidable impacts to aquatic resources are temporary and minor. In limited cases where functions and values are changed, such as when PFO habitats are permanently converted to PEM habitat areas, a compensatory mitigation plan is provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure F.
AL 14.h	For all other wetlands within the project area, identify and describe the methodology you used to assess the functions and values of those wetlands. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(3)	Functions and values of wetlands were assessed using the methodology and guidelines contained within the US Army Corp of Engineers' The Highway Methodology Workbook Supplement: Wetland Functions and Values, A Descriptive Approach NAEPP-360-1-30a (SEPTEMBER 1999). The assessment included review of site specific data collected during field visits, desktop analysis, and information collected as part of the PA PNDI process. This list of functions and values was also compared to Enclosure C of the DEP EA form to ensure those functions were also considered during the identification of functions and values using the Highway Methodology. For Exceptional Value wetlands, a full Functions and Values Assessment package is provided, which includes a Wetland Function-Value Evaluation Form and vegetation data sheet. For all other wetlands, functions and values

		were evaluated and are listed in a matrix format. The Assessment package is provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure C.
AL 14.i	Revise Enclosure D of the Environmental Assessment to evaluate how pipe installation combined with permanent right-of-way maintenance will not result in an adverse impact to wetlands. The evaluation should specifically include a discussion of potential impacts to hydrology that could occur from open cut installation. This evaluation should also address any potential impacts the use of HDD drilling fluids would have on wetland hydrology. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(x) and §105.18a	Enclosure D has been revised to address how pipe installation and permanent ROW maintenance will not result in adverse impacts to wetlands, including addressing impacts to hydrology from trenched construction techniques, and potential impacts from the use of HDD drilling fluids on wetland hydrology. Information describing the proposed wetland construction techniques that are designed to avoid impacts to hydrology is found in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4 (Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures). Attachment 12, Tab 12C (IR Plan) addresses the steps taken to prevent the release of HDD drilling fluids.
AL 14.j	Revise Enclosures C and D to assess and discuss the condition of, and impacts to, forested and scrub shrub riparian areas. Revise the enclosures to discuss the primary and secondary impacts, as well as consideration of antidegradation for each watercourse crossing from the riparian vegetation impacts. 25 Pa. Code §§105.15(a), 105.13 (E)(1)(x), 105.14 (b)(4), 105.14(b)(11), 105.14(b)(12) and 105.14(b)(14)	Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 2 discusses primary and secondary impacts to forested and scrub-shrub riparian areas; and Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 5 has been expanded to include an analysis of Chapter 105 antidegradation requirements related to forested riparian buffer impacts along watercourses crossed by the Project.
AL 14.j.i	The Department recommends evaluating the riparian areas from the top of bank landward 100 feet. Provide justification if the area evaluated is less than 100 feet. 25 Pa. Code §§105.14 and 105.15	Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 2 evaluates and discusses any primary and secondary impacts to forested and scrub-shrub riparian areas up to 100 feet landward of the top of the bank.

<p>AL 14.j.ii</p>	<p>To avoid and minimize the impacts to the watercourses, provide a plan to replace the vegetation lost in both permanent and temporary right-of-way and workspaces. Alternatively, where the vegetation cannot be replaced or protected from clearing during the proposed project’s operation and maintenance activities, provide an explanation. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(viii), 105.14 and 105.15</p>	<p>Except at above ground facilities including valve and pump stations, all previously vegetated temporary and permanent workspaces will be restored to a vegetated state in accordance with the E&S Plan provided in Attachment 12. Also the BMPs for restoring and maintenance of these areas are discussed within the Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures found in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4.</p>
<p>AL 14.j.iii</p>	<p>Revise the application plan drawings and project description to state whether vegetation clearing, cutting, removal, or other alteration is proposed as part of the proposed projects’ construction, operation, and maintenance. Revise the plan drawings to clearly indicate all locations where maintenance clearing, cutting, removal, or other alteration is not part of proposed maintenance activities. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(iii), 105.14 and 105.15</p>	<p>SPLP did not revise the plan drawings. Instead, SPLP revised both the Project Description located in Attachment 9 to define the terms used within the plan drawings such as “Permanent Access Road,” “Permanent ROW,” “Temporary ROW,” and “Additional Temporary Workspace” and the aerial site plans located in Attachment 7, Tab 7A to more clearly depict these designated areas. The Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4 details the construction, operation, and maintenance procedures in these designated areas.</p> <p>As depicted on the aerial site plans, the DEP Chapter 105 jurisdictional areas defined as “Permanent Impact” are areas where the “Permanent ROW”, “Permanent Access Road”, “ROW-Travel and Clearing LOD”, “Station-LOD”, and “Block Valve Setting-LOD” intersect waters of the Commonwealth. These areas will receive both direct and indirect impacts resulting from the placement or construction of a water obstruction or encroachment and include areas necessary for the operation and maintenance of the water obstruction or encroachment located in, along or across, or projecting into a watercourse, floodway or body of water. These</p>

		<p>“Permanent Impacts” areas are proposed for permanent vegetation clearing, cutting, grubbing, removal, and maintenance. However, wetlands will not be cut or mowed during general operation and maintenance.</p> <p>As depicted on the aerial site plans, the DEP Chapter 105 jurisdictional areas defined as “Temporary Impacts” are areas where “Temporary ROW”, Additional Temporary Workspace (“ATWS”), “ROW-Travel LOD”, and “Temporary Access Road” intersect waters of the Commonwealth. These areas will receive both direct and indirect impacts resulting from the construction of a water obstruction or encroachment located in, along or across, or projecting into a watercourse, floodway or body of water that are restored upon completion of construction. These “Temporary Impacts” areas are proposed for temporary vegetation cutting, clearing, grubbing, and removal. These areas will be allowed to revert, no future maintenance or operations will occur.</p> <p>The “Permanent Easement” depicted on the aerial site plans identifies the limits of SPLP’s agreement with the affected landowner, and is an independent designation from proposed “Permanent Impacts” and “Temporary Impacts”. In areas not identified as “Permanent Impacts” or “Temporary Impacts” within the “Permanent Easement”, no permanent or temporary vegetation cutting, clearing, grubbing, removal, and/or maintenance is proposed. The “Permanent Easement” is depicted on the aerial site plans in response to previous DEP requests to show the limits of the permanent easement in areas where “Permanent Impacts” and “Temporary Impacts” are not</p>
--	--	--

		proposed, and does not represent a DEP Chapter 105 jurisdictional area.
AL 15	It is unclear on the plan drawings and in the application narrative precisely whether vegetation cutting, clearing, removal, or grubbing is part of the proposed construction, operation, and maintenance. Where HDD and bore crossings of resources are proposed, a permanent easement is identified and impacts are identified as permanent only for the pipe size. At other resource crossings a permanent right-of-way is identified and impacts are identified as permanent for the entire right-of-way. No explanation has been provided in the application for this different nomenclature. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(x)	<p>The Project Description located in Attachment 9 has been revised to define the nomenclature of the terms discussed below, and the aerial site plans located in Attachment 7, Tab 7A have been revised to more clearly depict these designated areas. The Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4 details the construction, operation, and maintenance procedures in these designated areas.</p> <p>As depicted on the aerial site plans, the DEP Chapter 105 jurisdictional areas defined as “Permanent Impact” are areas where the “Permanent ROW”, “Permanent Access Road”, “ROW-Travel and Clearing LOD”, “Station-LOD”, and “Block Valve Setting-LOD” intersect waters of the Commonwealth. These areas will receive both direct and indirect impacts resulting from the placement or construction of a water obstruction or encroachment and include areas necessary for the operation and maintenance of the water obstruction or encroachment located in, along or across, or projecting into a watercourse, floodway or body of water. These “Permanent Impacts” areas are proposed for permanent vegetation clearing, cutting, grubbing, removal, and maintenance. However, wetlands will not be cut or mowed during general operation and maintenance.</p> <p>As depicted on the aerial site plans, the DEP Chapter 105 jurisdictional areas defined as “Temporary Impacts” are areas where “Temporary ROW”, Additional Temporary Workspace (“ATWS”), “ROW-Travel LOD”, and “Temporary Access Road” intersect waters of the</p>

		<p>Commonwealth. These areas will receive both direct and indirect impacts resulting from the construction of a water obstruction or encroachment located in, along or across, or projecting into a watercourse, floodway or body of water that are restored upon completion of construction. These “Temporary Impacts” areas are proposed for temporary vegetation cutting, clearing, grubbing, and removal. These areas will be allowed to revert, no future maintenance or operations will occur.</p> <p>The “Permanent Easement” depicted on the aerial site plans identifies the limits of SPLP’s agreement with the affected landowner, and is an independent designation from proposed “Permanent Impacts” and “Temporary Impacts”. In areas not identified as “Permanent Impacts” or “Temporary Impacts” within the “Permanent Easement”, no permanent or temporary vegetation cutting, clearing, grubbing, removal, and/or maintenance is proposed. The “Permanent Easement” is depicted on the aerial site plans in response to previous DEP requests to show the limits of the permanent easement in areas where “Permanent Impacts” and “Temporary Impacts” are not proposed, and does not represent a DEP Chapter 105 jurisdictional area.</p>
AL 15.a	Revise the application plan drawings and narratives, including the project description and mitigation plan, to clearly and specifically state whether vegetation clearing, cutting, removal, or other alteration is proposed as part of the proposed construction, operation, and maintenance of the project. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)	See the response to AL 14.j.iii.
AL 15.b	Revise the plan drawings to indicate all locations where maintenance clearing, cutting, removal, or	See the response to AL 14.j.iii.

	other alteration is not part of proposed maintenance activities. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(i)	
AL 15.c	If construction, normal operation, or normal maintenance activities will require the clearing, cutting, removal, or other alteration of the vegetation in or adjacent to the wetlands and streams, the application must be revised to identify and discuss in detail the direct and secondary impacts to aquatic resources from the proposed project. The Environmental Assessment should be revised to discuss these resources and the impacts thereto. Compensatory mitigation may be necessary and required to compensate for impacts to these resources. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(ix) and 105.13(e)(1)(x)	As explained in the Project Description (Attachment 9), construction and normal operation and maintenance activities will require the clearing, cutting and mowing of vegetation along areas of the ROW in and adjacent to wetlands and streams. Normal operations and maintenance activities will not involve the removal/denuding of vegetation along the ROW. Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 2 (Project-wide Resource Identification and Impacts) discusses direct and secondary impacts to such vegetation as a result of construction and operation/maintenance activities. The permanent impacts to wetland vegetation (i.e., permanent conversion of vegetation cover type) due to normal operation and maintenance activities have been accounted for in the calculation of wetland impacts (Attachment 11, Table 2) and are being mitigated for in the Compensatory Mitigation Plan (Attachment 11, Enclosure F).
AL 16	The Mitigation Plan states that “No Mow” signs will be placed at PSS and PFO wetlands which will be crossed by open cut methods. Regarding these crossings:	NA
AL 16.a	Revise the application plan drawings and application narratives, including the project description and mitigation plan, to state whether vegetation clearing, cutting, removal, or other alteration is proposed as part of the proposed project’s normal construction, operation, and maintenance of the project. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(i) and 105.13(e)(1)(iii)	See the response to AL 14.j.iii.

AL 16.b	Revise the plan drawings to clearly indicate all locations where maintenance clearing, cutting, removal, or other alteration is not part of proposed maintenance activities. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(i)	<p>SPLP did not revise the plan drawings. Instead, SPLP revised both the Project Description located in Attachment 9 to define the terms used within the plan drawings such as “Permanent Access Road,” “Permanent ROW,” “Temporary ROW,” and “Additional Temporary Workspace” and the aerial site plans located in Attachment 7, Tab 7A to more clearly depict these designated areas. The Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4 details the construction, operation, and maintenance procedures in these designated areas.</p> <p>As depicted on the aerial site plans, the DEP Chapter 105 jurisdictional areas defined as “Permanent Impact” are areas where the “Permanent ROW”, “Permanent Access Road”, ROW-Travel and Clearing LOD”, “Station-LOD”, and “Block Valve Setting-LOD” intersect waters of the Commonwealth. These areas will receive both direct and indirect impacts resulting from the placement or construction of a water obstruction or encroachment and include areas necessary for the operation and maintenance of the water obstruction or encroachment located in, along or across, or projecting into a watercourse, floodway or body of water. These “Permanent Impacts” areas are proposed for permanent vegetation clearing, cutting, grubbing, removal, and maintenance. However, wetlands will not be cut or mowed during general operation and maintenance.</p> <p>As depicted on the aerial site plans, the DEP Chapter 105 jurisdictional areas defined as “Temporary Impacts” are areas where “Temporary ROW”, Additional Temporary Workspace (“ATWS”), “ROW-Travel LOD”, and</p>
---------	---	---

		<p>“Temporary Access Road” intersect waters of the Commonwealth. These areas will receive both direct and indirect impacts resulting from the construction of a water obstruction or encroachment located in, along or across, or projecting into a watercourse, floodway or body of water that are restored upon completion of construction. These “Temporary Impacts” areas are proposed for temporary vegetation cutting, clearing, grubbing, and removal. These areas will be allowed to revert, no future maintenance or operations will occur.</p> <p>The “Permanent Easement” depicted on the aerial site plans identifies the limits of SPLP’s agreement with the affected landowner, and is an independent designation from proposed “Permanent Impacts” and “Temporary Impacts”. In areas not identified as “Permanent Impacts” or “Temporary Impacts” within the “Permanent Easement”, no permanent or temporary vegetation cutting, clearing, grubbing, removal, and/or maintenance is proposed. The “Permanent Easement” is depicted on the aerial site plans in response to previous DEP requests to show the limits of the permanent easement in areas where “Permanent Impacts” and “Temporary Impacts” are not proposed, and does not represent a DEP Chapter 105 jurisdictional area.</p>
AL 16.c	<p>If construction, normal operation, or normal maintenance activities will require the clearing, cutting, removal, or other alteration of the vegetation in or adjacent to the wetlands and streams, the application must be revised to identify and discuss in detail the direct and secondary impacts to aquatic resources from the proposed project. The Environmental Assessment should be</p>	<p>As explained in the Project Description (Attachment 9), construction and normal operation and maintenance activities will require the clearing, cutting and mowing of vegetation along areas of the ROW in and adjacent to wetlands and streams. Normal operations and maintenance activities will not involve the removal/denuding of vegetation along the ROW. Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 2 (Project-wide</p>

	revised to discuss these resources and the impacts thereto. Compensatory mitigation may be necessary and required to compensate for impacts to these resources. 25 Pa. Code §§105.13(e)(1)(ix) and 105.13(e)(1)(x)	Resource Identification and Impacts) discusses direct and secondary impacts to such vegetation as a result of construction and operation/maintenance activities. The permanent impacts to wetland vegetation (i.e., permanent conversion of vegetation cover type) due to normal operation and maintenance activities have been accounted for in the calculation of wetland impacts (Attachment 11, Table 2) and are being mitigated for in the Compensatory Mitigation Plan (Attachment 11, Enclosure F).
AL 17	Regarding the proposed conversion of wetland cover types:	NA
AL 17.a	The Mitigation Plan and Environmental Assessment do not evaluate the cumulative conversion of wetland cover types for the entire project. Revise the application to assess the cumulative impact the proposed cover type conversion will have in Allegheny County, and across the entire length of the project. Compensatory mitigation should be provided for these cover type conversions. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(ix) and (x) and 105.18a	The Application was updated to include an Alternatives Analysis, which includes an evaluation of the cumulative (total) conversion of wetland cover types by county and for the entire Project (see Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 3). The Application was also updated to include compensatory mitigation plan for these cover type conversions (see Attachment 11, Enclosure F).
AL 18	Regarding your proposed mitigation activities:	NA
AL 18.a	Revise your Mitigation Plan to identify the wetland seed mix that will be used to reseed wetlands that are disturbed as a result of your activities. Your plan should also include invasive species control and monitoring and reporting. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(ix)	The Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure F includes the details for standard and site-specific wetland restoration, including the wetland seed mix, as well as invasive species control, monitoring, and reporting.
AL 18.b	Provide planting plans and details for the replanting of PFO areas in the permanent and temporary right-of-ways. The planting plans must identify the locations of the plantings and wetlands, the species	There are no impacts to PFO wetlands within the permanent or temporary ROW or workspaces in Allegheny County. Accordingly, no planting plans are necessary.

	to be planted, the planting density, the proposed size of the plantings, the timing of the plantings, criteria for success, and a monitoring plan to ensure reestablishment of the wetland. 25 Pa. Code §105.13 (e)(1)(ix)	
AL 18.c	Revise Section 2.2.2.1 of the Mitigation Plan, Construction in Wetlands with Unsaturated Soils, to include the use of mats and pads for wetland crossings. 25 Pa. Code §105.13 (e)(1)(ix)	The Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures document provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4 has been revised to indicate that temporary wetland matting will be used along the travel lane where any staging or work areas are proposed in wetlands regardless of the wetlands saturated condition.
AL 18.d	Revise the HDD list at the end of the Inadvertent Return Contingency Plan in the Mitigation Plan, or the project plans, to consistently show where “Drive Through -- Travel Only” areas are proposed. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)	The table in the IR Plan has been updated to show where “Drive Through – Travel Only” areas are proposed. The revised plan is provided in Attachment 12, Tab 12C.
AL 18.e	Regarding the proposed stream bank restoration:	NA
AL 18.e.i	Provide a detailed stream restoration plan and identify all crossings where the stream restoration plan will be applied. This plan should specifically discuss how the streams will be restored following pipeline installation. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(ix)	Streams will be restored in accordance with the E&S Plan provided in Attachment 12. The E&S Plan provides revisions to the narratives, and standard typical details. Also, the BMPs for restoring streams are discussed within the Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures found in Enclosure E, Part 4 and are consistent with the E&S Plan.
AL 18.e.ii	Revise the stream restoration detail drawing to clearly show that the existing bank slope, grade, and elevation are to be restored. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(ix)	The standard typical stream restoration detail within the E&S Plan has been updated to show that the existing bank slope, grade, and elevation will be restored. The E&S Plan is provided in Attachment 12.
AL 18.e.iii	Identify the biodegradable erosion control matting that is to be used. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(ix)	The biodegradable erosion control matting that will be used is identified in the E&S Plan provided in Attachment 12, and also within the Impact Avoidance, Minimization,

		and Mitigation Procedures found in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4.
AL 18.e.iv	Specify which plantings and seed mixes are proposed to be used in these areas. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(ix)	The plantings and seed mixes proposed for use in the stream bank restoration are specified in the E&S Plan provided in Attachment 12. Also, the BMPs for stream restoration plantings are discussed within the Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures found in Attachment 11, Enclosure F and are consistent with the E&S Plan.
AL 18.e.v	Address how native streambed material will be restored following open cut crossings. §105.13(e)(1)(ix)	Native stream bed material will be separated from other spoil for reinstallation after restoration (see the E&S Plan provided in Attachment 12). An evaluation was done for sheer stress of flow against restored native material. If the evaluation indicated that the stream will not be stable with native material, then rip rap will be used. In these cases, native stone will be used for the top six inches of rip rap. Also, the BMPs for stream bed restoration are discussed within the Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures found in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4 and are consistent with the E&S Plan.
AL 18.e.vi	If existing conditions are not to be restored, provide a site specific drawing showing the proposed post-restoration conditions. §105.13(e)(1)(ix)	Streams will be restored to existing conditions in accordance with the E&S Plan provided in Attachment 12.
AL 18.e.vii	Discuss and provide details on restoration monitoring that will occur to ensure that invasive species do not occur and restoration is successful, and the documentation that will be developed and maintained for the restoration monitoring. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(ix)	The Impact, Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4 detail the procedures that address invasive species prevention and restoration monitoring.
AL 19	You have provided plans showing the Mariner East 1 “maintenance corridor”. Regarding this corridor:	NA

AL 19.a	It is unclear if this “maintenance corridor” is the same as the permanent right-of-way for Mariner East 1. Please clarify. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(i)	The maintenance corridor is the same as the permanent right-of-way for Mariner East 1.
AL 19.b	Provide a full size, overall map of the Allegheny County portion of your project that clearly displays the right-of-way associated with Mariner East 1, and the right-of-way associated with your proposed project. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(ii)	A full size, overall map of the Allegheny County portion of the Project, which clearly displays the right-of-way associated with the Mariner East 1 pipeline ROW and the ROW associated with the proposed Project, is provided as Attachment 7, Tab 7A.
AL 20	The impacts described under Section 2.3 of your Mitigation Plan and Table 2 of your Alternatives Analysis are inconsistent with the impacts reported in the other applications associated with your project. Please review your application for accuracy and consistency and revise accordingly. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)	Impacts are now discussed in Attachment 11, Enclosure D (Project Impacts, focusing on this county), and also in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 2 (Project-Wide Resource Identification and Impacts). In addition, post-construction planting plans are provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4 (Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures). For those areas where a permanent conversion of PFO to PEM is unavoidable, a the Compensatory Mitigation Plan provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure F.
AL 21	We have compared the Plans submitted with this application (JPA) and the Plans submitted with the E&S Permit application (ESG 05 000 15 001). Regarding the site plans and Erosion and Sediment Control Plans you have provided:	NA
AL 21.a	Describe the difference between the “Permanent Easement” and “Permanent Right-of-Way” areas that are identified on your plans. This description should discuss maintenance activities that will be performed on these areas following construction of the pipeline, and measures that will be taken to ensure that future maintenance activities do not detrimentally impact aquatic resources (e.g. cutting	“Permanent Easement” refers to the legal document that gives rise to a right of way. The “Permanent Easement” is legally protected from encroachment by the landowner. The “Permanent Easement” designation on the plans has no relevance to the maintenance activities that will occur. “Permanent Right-of-Way” is the term used in the plans to designate the area where future maintenance activities

	<p>PSS wetlands after restoration). 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)</p>	<p>will occur. The maintenance activity in the Permanent Right-of-Way will vary depending on the type of Right-of-Way (<i>e.g.</i>, Permanent Right-of-Way, ROW-Travel LOD, ROW-Travel, Station-LOD, or Block Valve Setting-LOD). These designations are described in the Project Description in Attachment 9. The Minimization, Avoidance, and Mitigation Procedures, provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4 discusses maintenance activities that will be performed in the Permanent Right-of-Way areas following construction of the pipeline as well as measures that will be taken to ensure that future maintenance activities do not detrimentally impact aquatic resources. For example, the plan indicates that “No Mowing” signs will be placed in PSS areas that will be restored within the Permanent Right-of Way. These areas will also be inspected for continued presence of signage as part of SPLP’s maintenance activities.</p>
<p>AL 21.b</p>	<p>The E&S Plans show a feature labeled as “temporary bridge”. This appears to be the same as a timber mat. Describe the difference between the two features, and provide a specific drawing of each. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(i)</p>	<p>The E&S Plans standard typical details found in Attachment 12 have been revised accordingly.</p>
<p>AL 21.c</p>	<p>The plan views provided do not show a permanent right-of-way proposed over areas where HDD installation is proposed. Describe any clearing or maintenance activities that are proposed to occur over areas where your pipeline installation will utilize HDD/bore methods to install the line. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(iii)</p>	<p>The Project Description located in Attachment 9 has been revised to define the nomenclature of the terms discussed below, and the aerial site plans located in Attachment 7, Tab 7A have been revised to more clearly depict these designated areas. The Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4 details the construction, operation, and maintenance procedures in these designated areas.</p> <p>As depicted on the aerial site plans, the DEP Chapter 105 jurisdictional areas defined as “Permanent Impact” are</p>

		<p>areas where the “Permanent ROW”, “Permanent Access Road”, “ROW-Travel and Clearing LOD”, “Station-LOD”, and “Block Valve Setting-LOD” intersect waters of the Commonwealth. These areas will receive both direct and indirect impacts resulting from the placement or construction of a water obstruction or encroachment and include areas necessary for the operation and maintenance of the water obstruction or encroachment located in, along or across, or projecting into a watercourse, floodway or body of water. These “Permanent Impacts” areas are proposed for permanent vegetation clearing, cutting, grubbing, removal, and maintenance. However, wetlands will not be cut or mowed during general operation and maintenance.</p> <p>As depicted on the aerial site plans, the DEP Chapter 105 jurisdictional areas defined as “Temporary Impacts” are areas where “Temporary ROW”, Additional Temporary Workspace (“ATWS”), “ROW-Travel LOD”, and “Temporary Access Road” intersect waters of the Commonwealth. These areas will receive both direct and indirect impacts resulting from the construction of a water obstruction or encroachment located in, along or across, or projecting into a watercourse, floodway or body of water that are restored upon completion of construction. These “Temporary Impacts” areas are proposed for temporary vegetation cutting, clearing, grubbing, and removal.</p> <p>The “Permanent Easement” depicted on the aerial site plans identifies the limits of SPLP’s agreement with the affected landowner, and is an independent designation from proposed “Permanent Impacts” and “Temporary</p>
--	--	---

		<p>Impacts”. In areas not identified as “Permanent Impacts” or “Temporary Impacts” within the “Permanent Easement”, no permanent or temporary vegetation cutting, clearing, grubbing, removal, and/or maintenance is proposed. The “Permanent Easement” is depicted on the aerial site plans in response to previous DEP requests to show the limits of the permanent easement in areas where “Permanent Impacts” and “Temporary Impacts” are not proposed, and does not represent a DEP Chapter 105 jurisdictional area.</p>
<p>AL 21.d</p>	<p>It is recommended that changes to either the JPA or the E&S application be reflected in the other application. Failure to ensure consistency between the two applications will delay any permit decision for this project. 25 Pa. Code §105.13€</p>	<p>The E&S plans, Joint Permit Application (JPA) site plans, and impact tables have been reviewed for accuracy and consistency across all the applications for the Project.</p>
<p>AL 22</p>	<p>A Stormwater Consistency Letter has not been provided for Forward Township. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(v)</p>	<p>The Department’s regulations (25 Pa. Code § 105.13(e)(1)(v)) require that a project application be accompanied by a stormwater management analysis and a letter from the county or municipality's comments on the analysis if a watershed stormwater management plan has been prepared or adopted under the Storm Water Management Act (32 P. S. § § 680.1—680.17). Allegheny County does not have a Countywide Act 167 Stormwater Management Plan; instead, the county is subdivided into Watershed Study Areas. Forward Township is located within the Monongahela River Watershed Study Area, but has not adopted the Monongahela River Watershed Act 167 Stormwater Management Plan. Therefore, Forward Township does not have a stormwater management plan adopted in accordance with the Act 167 Stormwater Management requirements. As such, SPLP is not required to provide a</p>

		<p>stormwater management consistency request letter to the Township as part of its Chapter 105 Joint Permit Application. Nonetheless, SPLP sent a request for stormwater consistency determination to Forward. The status of consultations regarding stormwater and floodplain management analysis is provided within Attachment 14.</p>
AL 23	<p>A Floodplain Management Consistency Letter has not been provided for Forward Township. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(e)(1)(vi)</p>	<p>SPLP sent a request for consistency determination letter to Forward Township in December 2015 and February 2016. However, no response from the Township has been received to date. Therefore, in accordance with guidance provide by DEP, a floodplain analysis is presented below and in Tab 14 of the Application.</p> <p>The Project will cross several FEMA-designated floodways within Forward Township. However, no aboveground structures are proposed within floodways. The Project will require open cut construction through these areas, but the pipeline will be located underground and flood-proofed, minimizing the chance for flood impairment and obstruction of flow of water. The surface areas disturbed during construction will be restored to existing grade and no fill or increased risk of flooding is anticipated. Since there will be no increase of impervious areas, no increases to the base flood elevation will occur. Therefore, it is not anticipated that the Project will result in impacts to the existing floodway delineation or existing water surface profiles in the area.</p>
AL 24	<p>If any changes to the proposed route occur, revise the application to reflect these changes. 25 Pa. Code §105.21(a)(1)</p>	<p>The attached Application represents the proposed facilities and workspaces. Attachment 7, Tab 7E provides summaries of changes to these components since submittal of the SPLP's incomplete response.</p>

AL 25	Revise the fee calculation worksheet to reflect any alterations in the reported impacts. 25 Pa. Code §105.13(c)(2)(iii)	The fee calculation worksheet has been updated to represent the pipeline as it is currently mapped and the proposed impacts to aquatic resources through the construction and operation of the Project.
AL 26	Comprehensive Environmental Evaluation - The following technical deficiencies are related to the overall project comprised by the 17 Chapter 105 Water Obstruction and Encroachment permit applications associated with this pipeline. Please provide the Department with a Comprehensive Environmental Evaluation of the Entire Pipeline Project as a Whole (“Comprehensive Environmental Evaluation”) which at a minimum includes the following:	NA
AL 26.a	Use the Environmental Assessment Form (3150-PM- BWEW0017, 2/2013) as a guide and provide a detailed narrative and other appropriate documentation that comprehensively evaluates the project as a whole under each of the categories therein (Part 1 – Resource Identification; Part 2 – Project Description – including all the analyses listed in the form, as well as in 25 Pa. Code §§ 105.13(e)(1)(vii-x), (2), (3), (g), and (j); and 25 Pa. Code § 105.15.	A Comprehensive Evaluation of Compliance and an evaluation of Resources Identification and Project Impacts for the Project as a whole has been added to the application materials and is located in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Parts 1 and 2. This Comprehensive Evaluation of Compliance references application materials that apply to each requirement pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 105.18a and associated referenced regulations, including 25 Pa. Code §§ 105.13(e)(1)(vii-x), (2), (3), (g), and (j); and 25 Pa. Code § 105.15.
AL 26.b	The Comprehensive Environmental Evaluation should also provide a detailed narrative and other appropriate documentation that comprehensively evaluates the project as a whole for compliance with the requirements associated with the Department’s review of the application listed in 25 Pa. Code § 105.14 in its entirety, with particular emphasis on:	A Comprehensive Evaluation of Compliance for the Project has been added to the application materials and is located in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 1. This Comprehensive Evaluation of Compliance references application materials that apply to each requirement pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 105.18a and associated referenced regulations, including 25 Pa. Code § 105.14.

AL 26.b.i	Antidegradation Analysis - Prepare and submit an analysis and information that addresses consistency with State antidegradation requirements contained in Chapters 93, 95 and 102 (relating to water quality standards; wastewater treatment requirements; and erosion and sediment control) and the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C.A. § § 1251—1376) for this entire project and other potential or existing projects. 25 Pa. Code § 105.14(b)(11).	An Antidegradation Analysis consistent with 25 Pa. Code § 105.14(b)(11) has been prepared and is provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 5.
AL 26.b.ii	Secondary Impact Analysis – Prepare and submit an analysis and information that addresses secondary impacts associated with but not the direct result of the construction or substantial modification of the water obstruction or encroachment in the areas of the entire project and in areas adjacent thereto and future impacts associated with water obstructions or encroachments, the construction of which would result in the need for additional dams, water obstructions or encroachments to fulfill the project purpose. 25 Pa. Code § 105.14(b)(12).	A Secondary Impact Analysis consistent with 25 Pa. Code § 105.14(b)(12) has been prepared and is provided in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 2.
AL 26.b.iii	Project Wide Cumulative Impacts Analysis. Prepare and submit an analysis and information that addresses the cumulative impact for this entire project and other potential or existing projects. As part of this analysis please evaluate whether numerous piecemeal changes associated with all the chapter 105 applications related to this pipeline project may result in a major impairment of the wetland resources. The analysis must be undertaken for each alternative prepared for the proposed pipelines and facilities of Mariner East II, on a	A stand-alone Cumulative Impacts Analysis has been added to the application materials and is located in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 6.

	statewide basis and must be completed for the entire project, as a whole referencing each of the applications for the entire project. 25 Pa. Code §§ 105.14(b)(14); and 105.15.	
AL 26.b.iv	Comprehensive Evaluation of Compliance with 25 Pa. Code § 105.18a. Prepare and submit an analysis and information that evaluates the project as a whole with all the requirements found in 25 Pa. Code § 105.18a for each wetland or wetland complex in or along the project area as a whole. 25 Pa. Code § 105.18a.	A Comprehensive Evaluation of Compliance for the Project has been added to the application materials and is located in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 1. This Comprehensive Evaluation of Compliance cross-references the application materials that address each requirement in 25 Pa. Code § 105.18a.
AL 26.b.v	Comprehensive Alternatives Analysis, Avoidance and Minimization and Mitigation. The applicant needs to demonstrate, that the alternative/s chosen for the entire project will avoid cumulative impacts to the maximum extent practicable, and where such impacts are not avoidable, describe in detail with appropriate supporting documentation, how such impacts will be minimized and mitigated to the satisfaction of the Department. 25 Pa Code §§ 105.1, 105.13(e)(1)(viii)-(x), 105.14(b); and 105.15-105.20a.	A comprehensive Alternatives Analysis has been added to the application materials to address this comment and is located in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 3. A Cumulative Impacts Analysis has been added to the application materials to address this comment and is located in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 6. An Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Procedures document has also been added to address this comment, located in Attachment 11, Enclosure E, Part 4.

SPLP appreciates your timely review of the revision. Please contact Sandy Lare of Tetra Tech, Inc. with any questions at 716-849-9419, or email sandy.lare@tetrattech.com.

Sincerely,
Tetra Tech, Inc.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sandra J. Lare". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Sandra J. Lare
Environmental Planner/Permitting Specialist

Enclosures: Revised Chapter 105 Joint Permit Application

cc: Ann Roda, DEP Headquarters / Program Integration (letter only)
Sachin Shankar, DEP Southeast Region (letter only)
Dominic Rocco, DEP Southeast Region (letter only)
Jared Pritts, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Pittsburgh District (letter only)
Wade Chandler, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District (letter only)
Sam Reynolds, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Philly District (letter only)
Monica Styles, Sunoco Logistics
Matthew Gordon, Sunoco Logistics
Christopher Embry, Sunoco Logistics
Brad Schaeffer, Tetra Tech, Inc.