

Appendix H

State Expenditures Under the Federal Superfund Program

Superfund State Contract Status (as of July 1, 2025)
10% State Match Payment Status

Total SSC

Site Name	Status	(10% match)	Balance	FY 23/24	FY 24/25	FY 25/26
AIW Frank 1&2	Active	\$ 534,597	\$ 5,100	\$ 5,100		\$ 1,700
BoRit	Active	\$ 90,000	\$ 9,000			
Butz Landfill	Active	\$ 565,488	\$ 20,000			\$ 20,000
Chem Fab OU2	Active	\$ 1,299,000	\$ 1,299,000			\$ 649,500
Chem Fab OU3	Active	\$ 93,000	\$ 9,300		\$ 8,370	\$ 930
Crossley Farms OU2	Active	\$ 2,269,176	\$ 107,583			\$ 107,587
Crossley Farms OU3	Active	\$ 40,932	\$ 8,154		\$ 8,154	
Franklin Slag OU1	Active	\$ 2,724,107	\$ 2,724,107		\$ 1,634,465	
Lower Darby Creek OU1	Active	\$ 2,839,414	\$ 1,135,766			\$ 1,135,766
North Penn 6 OU2	Active	\$ 402,040	\$ 40,204			\$ 241,224
North Penn 6 OU3	Active	\$ 900,000	\$ 15,000			
Old Wilmington Road OU1	Active	\$ 1,181,771	\$ 1,181,771		\$ 709,062	\$ 354,351
Ryeland Road	Active	\$ 1,840,000	\$ 184,000			\$ 184,000
Safety Light	Active	\$ 135,000	\$ 25,000			
Safety Light OU3	Active	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 155,481			\$ 155,481
Sharon Steel	Active	\$ 997,928	\$ 486,039			
WJL OU1	Active	\$ 1,247,091	\$ 88,000			
WJL OU2	Active	\$ 392,400	\$ 392,400			\$ 274,680
Valmont TCE	Active	\$ 69,300	\$ 23,100			\$ 23,100
Total		\$36,437,142.90	\$8,397,253.66	\$5,100.00	\$2,360,051.00	\$3,197,664.06

The outstanding balance of the 10 percent match required in these contracts is approximately \$8.4 million. However, some or all of the cost share may be covered under the federal Infrastructure Fund. [<https://www.epa.gov/infrastructure>]

Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Obligations (100% Funded by State):

Site Name	Engineering Controls to Maintain	Institutional Controls	Estimated Annual Cost
AIW Frank OU1 & OU2	Groundwater pump and treat plant, monitoring		\$59,756
Ambler Asbestos OU1 & OU2	Monitoring and maintenance of remedy components	Site fence, Land use restrictions	\$113,900
Baghurst Drive	Groundwater monitoring		\$27,289
Berkley Products Landfill	Landfill cap, groundwater monitoring	Site fence, Land use restrictions	\$328,460
Berks Sand Pit	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$71,000
BoRit	Landfill cap and stream bank		\$19,000
Bruin Lagoon	Landfill cap, ground and surface water monitoring	Site fence	\$50,000
Butz Landfill	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring	Land use restrictions	\$83,000
Crossley Farms OU1	Treatment Systems and Sampling		71,000
Crossley Farms OU2	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring	Land use restrictions	\$310,300
Crossley Farms OU3	Residential sub-slab depressurization maintenance		\$2,480
Croydon TCE	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$145,000
Cryo-Chem OU2	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$165,000
East Mt. Zion	Landfill cap, groundwater monitoring	Site fence, Land use restrictions	\$100,200
Havertown PCP OU2 & OU3	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$279,000
Hellertown Manufacturing	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$175,000
Millcreek Dump	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring	Land use restrictions	\$120,000
Moyers Landfill	Landfill cap, leachate collection, groundwater monitoring	Site fence	\$150,000
North Penn 1	Groundwater pump to sewage plant, monitoring	Site fence, Land use restrictions	\$60,656
North Penn 6 OU3	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$308,200
Raymark OU1, OU2, & OU3	Landfill cap, groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$80,000
Salford Quarry OU1	Cap maintenance, inspections, and groundwater monitoring		\$68,751
Sharon Steel	Landfill cap, groundwater monitoring		\$67,260
Strasburg Landfill	Landfill cap, leachate treatment, residential carbon filter	Site fence	\$156,236
Valmont TCE	Groundwater monitoring, Sub-slab inspections		\$16,540
Watson Johnson OU1	Landfill cap system		\$23,000
		Total:	\$3,051,028

Terms, Abbreviations, Notations:

“SSC”: “Superfund State Contract” – Document that obligates the state to meet its financial commitment under federal Superfund law. The state is obligated to fund 10 percent of the remedial action cost and fund 100 percent of the operation and maintenance costs for the sites that EPA funds. Many Superfund site cleanups are funded and performed by parties (e.g. financially viable businesses) that are responsible for the contamination. In these cases, the state is not obligated to fund 10 percent of the remedial action nor 100 percent of the operation and maintenance of the site.

“OU”: “Operable Unit” – EPA frequently separates areas of contamination at sites into operable units. This separates phases of work and allows more immediate threats to be addressed quicker.

“Operation and Maintenance”: Operation and Maintenance (O&M) activities include long-term obligations to maintain the protectiveness of the remedy. In cases where groundwater pump-and-treat remedial systems are installed, O&M begins 10 years after the plant is operational. Some of these obligations are perpetual in nature.

10 Percent Match Payment Schedules: Payment schedules are negotiated on a site-by-site basis depending on the length of time the remedial action is expected to take before completion. These payments do not include future operation and maintenance costs.

Note 1: O&M costs identified above are EPA estimates contained in the SSC agreement. Actual yearly costs vary and are reported in Appendices E and F.

Note 2: The 10 percent match and 100 percent O&M costs are only contract costs and do not include DEP personnel and administrative costs. DEP personnel and administrative costs for these sites and other NPL sites addressed by the responsible parties are estimated at \$1.8 million annually.