



pennsylvania

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Bureau of Environmental Cleanup & Brownfields

Land Recycling Program Concepts for Potential Regulatory Changes for the Chapter 250 Rulemaking

**Cleanup Standards Scientific Advisory Board (CSSAB) Meeting
August 11, 2021**

**presented by
Michael Maddigan
DEP**

Tom Wolf, Governor

Patrick McDonnell, Secretary

Concepts Overview

Today's Discussion

- Summary and discussion of potential minor changes.
- Discussion of potential substantial changes.
- Other technical issues.
- Next steps in rulemaking process.

Minor Changes Summary

- Periodic changes to tables based on updated scientific information
 - Medium-specific concentrations (MSCs)
 - Chemical specific physical/toxicological properties
- Minor text clarifications and updates.

Minor Changes

- § 250.301(b) – Revisit origin of mutagens and current logic. List of mutagens came from EPA but unclear how list should be updated.
- § 250.307(c) – Changes to the soil lead language that were proposed in the last rulemaking but with reference to Version 2.0 of the IEUBK model.

Minor Changes

- § 250.404(a) – Change “environmental receptors” to “ecological receptors” to avoid confusion about what a receptor is (not environmental media).
- § 250.606(d)(3)(iii) – Propose removing the words “below grade” because it implies that this provision only applies to basements.

Minor Changes

- Comprehensive review of MSCs including recent toxicity value changes.
- Evaluate MSC for 1,2,3-Trichloropropane
 - Groundwater MSC based on 2006 Lifetime Health Advisory Level (HAL)
 - 2006 HAL removed by EPA prior to issuing 2009 Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisory Tables document
 - Replace with calculated value if appropriate toxicity values are available

Substantial Changes

Proposed Lead Model Updates

- Update soil lead models
 - Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic (IEUBK) model for residential soil (Version 2, May 2021)
 - Adult Lead Model (ALM) for non-residential soil
- Use models' default input parameters
 - Target Blood Lead Level (TBLL) = 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$
 - Probability of Exceeding TBLL = 5%
 - All environmental media inputs

Substantial Changes

IEUBK Version 2 Changes

- Changes in water consumption rates (some increased, others decreased)
- Default dietary lead intake increased
- Default lead concentration in drinking water decreased from 4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ to 0.9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$

Substantial Changes

Soil Lead Value Comparison

- Comparison of current soil lead values with proposed values using IEUBK version 1.1 and IEUBK version 2.

Direct contact Soil Lead Numeric Value	Current Value mg/kg	Value Generated by Old Model	Value Generated by New Model
Residential	500 (UBK)	153 (IEUBK v1.1)	200 (IEUBK v2)

UBK: Uptake Biokinetic Model (1990)

Note: ALM did not change so proposed non-residential direct contact is still 1,100 mg/kg.

Technical Discussion

Soil Lead Averaging

- DEP considering use of average lead concentrations as an additional statistical test option for Statewide health standard attainment demonstration for lead in soil.

Technical Discussion

Concerns with Averaging

- Averaging described in IEUBK's supporting documentation is for calculating risk or a cleanup goal at specific sites, not for attainment of statewide cleanup standard.
- If IEUBK is designed only for the use of averages, shouldn't that exclude the use of 75%/10X or 95% UCL statistical tests to demonstrate attainment?

Concerns with Averaging

- Averages do not account for high variability in smaller datasets.
- Averages could allow for hot spots of very high lead contamination to remain in soil at some sites.

PAH MSC Calculation Process

- DEP exploring use of Relative Potency Factors (RPFs) in calculating MSCs for carcinogenic PAHs (cPAHs).
- EPA recommends use of RPFs for cPAHs
 - Use with concentrations in environmental media
 - Converts to equivalent conc. of benzo(a)pyrene
 - Assesses cumulative cancer risk from cPAHs

PAH MSC Calculation Process

- EPA uses RPFs as toxicity values in RSL Tables
- Use of RPFs as toxicity values requires summing risks from all cPAHs
- Unclear how this concept could be applied to the calculation of MSCs

Technical Discussion

PAH MSC Calculation Process

- CSSAB has expressed a concern with the appropriateness of the use of solubility limits in calculating groundwater MSCs for some PAHs.
- DEP needs further guidance about how to address this issue.

Other Changes

- Remaining concern about the toxicity value used to calculate vanadium soil numeric values.
- DEP will propose appropriate changes as part of this rulemaking.

Next Steps for Rulemaking

- Continue discussions with CSSAB regarding lead soil averaging, PAH MSC calculations, and other proposed changes.
- Generate draft language and tables for rulemaking annex for discussion at next CSSAB meeting.



pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Bureau of Environmental Cleanup & Brownfields

Questions?
Mike Maddigan
mmaddigan@pa.gov