	G	reen - action ha	is been completed	or is moving for	orward as pl	lanned <u>Yellow</u> - action	has encountered	minor obst	acles <u>Red</u>	- action h	as not been take	n or has encou	intered a serious	barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline		Re	Resources <u>Available</u> Resources <u>Neec</u>					ces <u>Needed</u>	
							Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
riority	Initiative 1:	Buffer Imp	lementation											
1.1	1 stellar buffer demonstration of 1-2 acres in every township (60 total)	Forest buffer narrow -	Municipalities, Lancaster's buffer action team, Lancaster County Clean Water Consortium	All municipaliti es	2018-2025	With stormwater fees on the horizon, prepare to incentivize Ag and buffers with stormwater exemptions. (Financial and technical support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility) Not enough boots on the ground to find willing land owners, train municipal staff, and organize plantings Financial and technical support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility CREP is no longer available for municipalities (example given at public meeting where	Public works staff employed to maintain projects Some municipalities already have a buffer that could serve as a demonstration project COG's, source water collaboratives, and Lancaster County Clean Water Consortium can work as coordinating leadership groups to get groups of municipalities done grant money available for	Alliance for the Chesape ake Bay Stroud CBF's 10 Million Trees campaig n			Signage to explain the value of a buffer at every project More boots on the ground (planning, planting, maintenance) Willing municipalities Consistent professional development for municipal and conservation staff so messaging is the same Mapping point person/group to show progress spatially so	Lancaster County Clean Water Consortium to serve as organizing group for implementa tion Potentially LCWP's buffer specialist	Dollars specifically set aside to address extreme weather events for buffer repair so teams aren't spending general funds Estimates: \$4,000 per acre (source: EQIP) plus 20% for pre- and post- project work (willing landowner contact, monitoring, etc) done by boots on the ground staff	Climate change WIP

						dollars and trees for	with overall				their dollars			
						available lands but	MS4 permit				are going			
						that has stopped)	compliance							
							and public							
							education							
							Existing							
							partner							
							support for							
							consistent							
							signage across							
							the county							
							Non-municipal							
							partners							
							currently							
							installing							
							buffers							
							(Farmland							
							Trust, Alliance,							
							CBF, PSU,							
							Stroud,							
							Conservancy,							
							LCCD)							
Action #	Description	Performance	Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential					Resources			
		Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Implementation	Resources				<u>Needed</u>			
			Partnerships			Challenges or	<u>Available</u>							
						Recommendations	Technical	Course	Einancial	Course	Tochnical	Suggested	Einancial	Suggested
							recinical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.2	Create and	Community	Lancaster's	All areas but	Online	Consistent and timely	We can model		Private		Access to	DEP	Dollars to pay	DEP/EPA
	maintain an	engagemen	buffer team,	priority	map	reporting of projects;	the reporting		foundati		Practice		for map	
	online map of	t and public	Buffer	watersheds	publishe	CREP data is private	after CBF's K10		on		Keeper or a		creation;	
	buffer miles to	education	specialist	first	d by		initiative		support		shared map		consistent	
	show progress		provided by		12/2020	Lidar frequency is	(online GIS		for		with the		way of	
	across the	Data	Focus			limiting	map); use		Chesape		option for		reporting	
	county	managemen	Lancaster				Chesapeake		ake		partners to		buffer	
		t	NFWF grant,				Conservancy		Conserva		add their own		implementati	
	This allows us		DEP				buffer gap		ncy's		GIS layers so		on (acres,	
	to adjust our						opportunity		ability to		specialists can		feet, etc)	
	efforts if we						maps to		work in		integrate		•	
	realize there is						determine		Lancaste		_		Growing	
							available next				other efforts		_	
	where buffers								,		to show an		so the	
							•							
	1 7 7						1,							
	to adjust our efforts if we realize there is a specific area	managemen t	NFWF grant,				Conservancy buffer gap opportunity maps to determine		Conserva ncy's ability to work in		add their own GIS layers so specialists can integrate projects with other efforts		implementati on (acres, feet, etc) Growing Greener grant	

	a detrimental rate.						Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay has an "Adopt a Buffer" program starting if awarded Growing Greener grant; PSU GIS specialist; county GIS team; Lancaster County Conservancy's				of work happening Mapping point person/group to show progress spatially so the public can see where their dollars are going PennDOT support		Chesapeake Bay can run an "Adopt a Buffer" program as a way to engage local businesses Estimates: \$7,000 per ArcGIS license Staff person at the District or staff time from another	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	GIS specialists; tree canopy report at the County Resources Available				Resources <u>Needed</u>		partner with GIS staff like the Conservancy	
							Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.3	Directed and strategic landowner outreach for immediate implementatio n – Ag projects will get top priority and all projects will be combined with other types of restoration projects as	Forest buffer wide – 6,000 acres	All partners across Lancaster County Lancaster's buffer team Lancaster Conservancy	Ag projects get top priority, specifically if a project will limit livestock access to streams Pequea Watershed, Octoraro Watershed,	Impleme nted or process started for impleme ntation by 2025	Not enough boots on the ground to find willing land owners and organize plantings CREP acre cap for the state No Farm Bill means a closed door for CREP	Chesapeake Conservancy's buffer gap analysis ReLeaf Report WSI's list of priority landowners 2019 planting schedule from buffer team	LCCD, LFT, Conserv ancy, Alliance for the Chesape ake Bay, Lancast er Clean Water Partners , municip	NFWF Private foundati ons CREP		Plain sect outreach Staff to do landowner outreach, plant, and maintain PennDOT support	LCCD, LFT, Conservanc y, Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay, Lancaster Clean Water Partners, municipaliti es, CBF, PSU AEC, DCNR, private consultants	Non-federal income-replacing incentive Dollars specifically set aside to address extreme weather events for buffer repair so teams	EPA dollars funneled through a partner organization Climate change WIP
	often as possible (streamside, floodplain,			Chiques watershed, and Cocalico watershed		Financial and technical support from municipalities as	members (see Appendix)	alities, CBF, PSU AEC,				we need3x the staffthat arecurrently	aren't spending general funds	

	wetland, dam			because the		we all wade through	CBF's K10	DCNR,		doing the	
	removal, etc)			data is		new MS4 flexibility	campaign	private		work (other	
				available,				consulta		ideas like	
				municipaliti		Outreach to the plain	Plain sect	nts		community-	
				es are		sect community can	outreach is			based	
				already		be sensitive	happening in			campaigns	
				participating			specific			within plain	
				, and/or the		USACE issue permit	communities			sect areas	
				loading is		for development on				are being	
				the highest		wetlands so the	REAP credits			explored)	
						easement is held by				, ,	
						USACE with different	Municipal				
						language (federal	leaders				
						level)					
						icvery					
Dutant	The state at the company	D		datta.							
	ty Initiative 2:					T =	_				
2.1	We will	All	LCWP and	All of	2019-	Financial and	Report from		A collection of		
	examine how	implemente	Consortium,	Lancaster	2025	technical support	PSU coming		common		
	high quality	d projects as		County		from municipalities as			language that		
	template	a result of	buffer team,			we all wade through	Willing and	Warwic	has been well-		
	language from	this Priority	Kate Gonick	Buffering		new MS4 flexibility	experienced	k,	received by		
	existing	Initiative will	and the	headwaters			municipal staff	Ephrata,	municipal		
	ordinances can	be counted	Lancaster	is the		Outreach to the plain		E.	boards		
	help make	in reductions	County	priority so		sect community can	Consortium	Cocalico			
	required	outlined in	Conservancy	we can		be sensitive	can offer	, etc	Peer-to-peer		
	buffers the	1.1 or 1.3		protect			workshops or		stories and		
	norm across			source		USACE issue permit	events		outreach from		
	the county			water and		for development on			municipal		
	(look at			preserve		wetlands so the			officials,		
	Warwick			pristine		easement is held by			developers,		
	Township and			areas		USACE with different			and		
	East Cocalico					language (federal			landowners		
	as examples)					level)			that show		
									how buffers		
	We will hold a								make		
	meeting of all								economic		
	municipalities								sense for both		
	who currently								parties		
	have								ps. 0.03		
	ordinances								Municipal and		
	with buffer								Partners staff		
	requirements								time to collate		
	with the								the		
	intention of								ordinances		
	getting all								and strategize		
	municipalities								how to get		

	to adopt similar requirements Example recommendatio n: All headwater streams be required to have a 35-50 foot buffer; all new developments must include buffers, landowner maintenance, and signage								the best language options out to municipalities (potential PSU intern can finalize this part of the project as this work has already begun)			
2.2	Create a Lancaster County Buffer Program	Have an organized effort (not an official County project) that complemen ts CREP and coordinates a care establishme nt program This group will oversee the buffer work across the county. All implemente d projects as a result of this Priority	Lancaster buffer team, LCWP Lancaster County Conservancy Lancaster County Clean Water Consortium	Across the county, priority watersheds TBD	2019	Financial and technical support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility Outreach to the plain sect community can be sensitive USACE issue permit for development on wetlands so the easement is held by USACE with different language (federal level) Boots on the ground now are doing every role in every phase of buffers - we need 3x our current capacity at every organization	Lamonte Garber, Ashley Spotts Program to be modeled after the CREP program but creating alternatives based on the limitations identified in CREP Township solicitors meeting to speak to them all at once LCWP buffer specialist	Kate Gonick and the Consorti um NFWF Focus Lancast er	Township outreach to monitor township-held easements and buffer ordinances NGO's currently installing buffers (Farmland Trust, Alliance, CBF, PSU, Stroud, Conservancy, LCCD) with approximately 2-3 staff now, we need 3x that at every organization — add 20% to the cost of a project	Consortium (maybe)	Dollars for the incentive part of the program Dollars for 3x the staff currently working on buffers — approx. \$1 million because current boots on the ground are doing every angle of buffer strategy, outreach, planning, planting, maintenance, and more — An opportunity	DCNR Source water budget items DEP EPA

		Initiative will be counted in reductions outlined in 1.1 or 1.3							exists to have staff be more specialized so we can all be more efficient.	
2.3	Create a coordinated outreach campaign for public lands and semipublic lands to be required to have forested buffers Focus on public health and flood control	60% of churches, schools, libraries, municipaliti es, parks, will have buffers All implemente d projects as a result of this Priority Initiative will be counted in reductions outlined in 1.1 or 1.3	Lancaster Clean Water Partners	Across the county	2025	Feedback loop: getting landowners all the right resources Coordination of all the partners' outreach efforts	Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay DCNR Existing success stories to be the examples we need Groundwater and source water collaboratives	Point person to work with at Council of Churches, real estate associations, builders associations, libraries, school districts etc Marketing materials for each audience Calendar of events so we don't duplicate NGO's currently installing buffers (Farmland Trust, Alliance, CBF, PSU, Stroud, Conservancy, LCCD) with approximately 2-3 staff now, we need 3x that at every organization	DCNR	Source water budget items Sector-specific/non-traditional funding from health and flooding sources

2.4	Increase the	All	LCWP,	Across the	ongoing	Limited participation	Amazing staff	Conserv	Private	More	Dollars for	
	presence of	implemente	Conservancy,	county		in Water Week events	who organize	ancy	foundati	marketing	more	
	buffers in	d projects	Consortium			- have to make sure	Water Week		ons,	materials and	marketing	
	marketing and	as a result				we're not only			corporat	a way to get	materials and	
	events of	of this				"preaching to the	Hundreds of		e	them to each	a way to get	
	Water Week	Priority				choir"	participants		sponsors	audience	them to each	
		Initiative							of Water		audience	
		will be							Week	More public	(estimate:	
		counted in								participation	\$60,000)	
		reductions										
		outlined in									Incentives for	
		1.1 or 1.3									landowners to	
											install buffers	
											even after	
											Water Week	

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description)