		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is movin	g forward as		ction has encount			on has not been	taken or has encou			
Action		Performance	Responsible Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation Challenges or		Resource	es <u>Available</u>			Suggested	es <u>Needed</u>	Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
riori	ty Initiative 1: (	<b>County Progra</b>	mmatic Initi	iatives										
1.1A	Implement County Comprehensive Plan policies and actions (PHASE 1 = 2003, PHASE 2 IMPLEMENTATION = AG, TELECOM, LANDUSE)	Centre Regional Growth Boundary Full Implementation as part of Centre Region Comp Plan (2013) Ensure that growth activities address existing water quality impairments through stormwater BMP implementation already required by local ordinance	CCPC, growth boundary municipalities	Ferguson, Patton, State College Borough, College Township, Harris Township	Ongoing	Educating municipalities, Updating local plans and ordinances, Growth areas not consistent with Census Urbanized Areas and their contributing storm sewersheds	Education, outreach	1 CCPD staff person	N/A	N/A	Local planning coordination	4 municipal staff (one from each planning region), planning commissions	N/A	N/A
l.1B	Implement County Comprehensive Plan policies and actions (PHASE 1 = 2003, PHASE 2 IMPLEMENTATION = AG, TELECOM, LANDUSE)	Map existing AMD BMPs and address additional impairments in Moshannon Creek and Beech Creek Explore DEP Pilot Project for Chesapeake Bay Program Nutrient Reduction Credit	Moshannon Creek Watershed Association, DEP Office of Mining	Moshannon Creek Watershed, Phillipsburg, Rush Township, Show Shoe Township, Burnside Township	2021 – pilot initiation	Majority of Association's work has occurred in Clearfield County, drought can make sampling inconsistent, additional sampling network and BMP implementation with volunteers only, EPA acceptance of results where correlations may be drawn (data may be inconclusive on the short-term)	Field work, Project identification	MCWA Members	N/A	N/A	Project design	Consultants	Assume \$500k needed per project	DEP AMD

		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is movin	g forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encount	ered minor obsta	acles <u>Red</u> - action	on has not been	taken or has enco	untered a serious	barrier	
						Potential		Resource	es <u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
			Responsible			Implementation								
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
1.1C	Advance local	An annual review	Centre Hall	Centre Hall,	1-2 years	Reinforcing the	Educational	Centre Hall	Minimal for	Municipal,	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	comprehensive	of the document	Borough,	Millheim,		municipal role in	flyer forms	SWP Group	printing,	water				
	planning efforts	and	Centre Hall	Penn		coordinating with	and activities		postage,	authorities				
		setting/fulfilling 1	Water	Township,		the water	lists.		website					
	Penns Valley, 2006	goal.	Authority	Potter		authorities to			maintenance					
	– Implement the			Township		perform education			(\$250)					
	Source Water	Partner with				and outreach.								
	Protection Plan	NRCS to				Recommendations								
		determine				include putting		1 CCPD staff						
		eligibility of				SWP plans on the	Coordination	person						
		improvements				municipal websites	of meetings							
		regarding source				and consider annual/bi-annual	and continued education.							
		water protection and the 2018				-	education.							
		Farm Bill				joint meetings and informational								
						mailings to								
						property owners								
						who are in								
						proximity to Zone								
						1 (well heads).								
						Source water								
						projection is								
						relevant to								
						Chesapeake Bay								
						pollutant load								
						reductions because								
						reducing pollution								
						to groundwater								
						reduces discharges								
						to local streams,								
						while contributing								
						to the Bay goals								
						and protecting								
						local drinking								
						water sources.								

													_	
	T	<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac Potential	ction has encount		icles <u>Red</u> - acti s Available	on has not been	taken or has encou		barrier es Needed	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested	Financial	Suggested Source
1.1D	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Penns Valley, update – Facilitate efforts to minimize flood impacts	Not set in comp plan As outreach occurs in Penns Valley, be mindful of this need and be on the lookout for opportunity to advance the effort	Gregg Twp, Millheim Boro, Penn Township, Penns Valley Conservation Association	Within responsible party municipalities	5-10 years	Original discussions stemmed from landowner concerns regarding flooding and flood mitigation techniques. FEMA's Community Rating System (CRS) was offered as a program solution but municipalities opted not to participate citing lack of administrative capacity. CRS includes incentives for adopting/ enforcing higher floodplain development standards. Flood mitigation is relevant to Chesapeake Bay pollutant load reductions because stormwater controls for development are not designed to capture pollutants from storms >around 2″. Flood controls can, if considered in design and maintained, capture pollution.	CRS program guides	FEMA Region III STAFF	N/A	N/A	Flood elevation certificates for program entry Administrative capacity	Homeowner responsibility 3 municipal staff people (1 person per municipality)	N/A	N/A

			Description			Potential		Resource	s <u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.1E -1	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Nittany Valley, 2004 – Evaluate areas to establish riparian buffers to stabilize stream banks and limit encroachment	Not set in comp plan Utilize Chesapeake Conservancy data set to identify buffer gap project opportunities (2021)	Municipalities, Conservation District, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Pheasants Forever, National Trout Unlimited	Region-wide on agricultural lands and developed land	5-10 years	No set means of evaluation outlined in comp plan and landowners' volunteering to plant riparian buffers unlikely. Adopting ordinances not likely on a regional scale but may require a pilot project in a willing municipality to demonstrate success.	Base mapping identification; landowner outreach; on the ground riparian project execution	1 Centre County GIS staff person, 2 Conservation District staff people	N/A	N/A	Planning and construction guidance/stan dards	Conservation District, Chesapeake Bay Foundation	See Initiative 3.8	DCNR, NFWF, PACD, TreeVitalize, DEP, Coldwater Heritage Partnership Implementatio n Grants, Foundation for PA Watersheds Project Grants
1.1E -2	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Nittany Valley, 2004 – Implement a well head/borehole protection for water wells	Not set in comp plan Should outreach occur in Nittany Valley, be mindful of this need and be on the lookout for opportunity to advance the effort	Municipality, Centre County Planning Office	Marion Township	5-10 years	With no public water system this would take the place of the traditional source water protection plan.	May take place in the form of an ordinance vs. plan	1 County Planning staff person	N/A	N/A	Guidance/stan dards	DEP	Assume \$300,000 planning cost	Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (low interest loan)

	Phase 3 Waters	hed Implementa	ation Plan (WI	P) Planning a	nd Progres	ss Template – CEI	NTRE COUNTY							
		Green - actio	n has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as	planned Yellow - a	ction has encount	ered minor obsta	acles <b>Red</b> - act	ion has not been	taken or has enco	untered a serious	barrier	
						Potential		Resource	s Available			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
			Responsible			Implementation								
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
1.1E -3	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Nittany Valley, 2004 – Participate in source water protection planning	Complete a SWP for Walker Twp. Water Association 2021 – meet with Walker Township and Water Association to facilitate discussion and identify if a feasibility study should be conducted	Municipality, Water Association, DEP, Consultant	Walker Township	1-2 years	The Association structure vs. Authority structure has proven to be problematic for both DEP technical and financial assistance. The water system has been non- compliant in recent years and is in need of expensive repairs. The township should discuss the feasibility of taking over the system under an authority and complete a	Further discussion with township; consultant driven plan.	1 County Planning staff person, 2 DEP staff people	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Assume \$300,000 planning cost	Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (low interest loan)
1.1E-4	Advance Centre Region Climate Action and Adaption Plan	Participate in the development of "Clean Healthy Water" goals	Centre Region Planning Agency, Council of Governments Climate Action and Adaptation Technical Advisory Group, Centre County municipalities with Climate Action Plans	Centre Region	Ongoing	SWP. Connect our plans in meaningful ways so that climate action and local water quality goals can mutually benefit	Plan development	1 Centre Region Planning Agency staff person	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

			on has been comp			Potential			s <u>Available</u>		taken or has enco		es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
l.1F -1	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Milesburg/Boggs, ongoing – Work with respective water authorities to implement Source Water Protection Plans	Bi-annual review of plan and joint meeting	Milesburg Borough and Milesburg Borough Water Authority; Boggs Township and PA American Water; Bellefonte Borough/Auth ority	Within the Zone 1 and Zone 2	1-2 years	Reinforcing the municipal role in coordinating with the water authorities to perform education and outreach. Recommendations include putting SWP plans on the municipal websites and consider annual/bi-annual joint meetings and informational mailings to property owners who are in proximity to Zone 1 (well heads).	Educational flyer forms and activities lists. Coordination of meetings and continued education	Bellefonte- Milesburg SWP; PA American (Boggs Twp.) SWP 1 County Planning staff person	Minimal for printing, postage, website maintenance (\$250)	Municipal, water authorities	N/A	N/A	Assume \$300,000 planning cost	Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (low interest loar

			n has been comp			Potential			es <u>Available</u>		taken or has enco		s <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.1F -2	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Milesburg/Boggs, ongoing – Adopt and enforce an onlot sewage management program	One-time adoption of ordinance with enforcement contingent upon program cycle of inspection and tank pumping.	Boggs Township, SEO	Upper Boggs Township beyond public sewer extent	5 years	Public acceptance and public hearing meetings may be contentious given associated program fees needed for program sustainability. Landowner education will be needed to promote proper on-lot septic system maintenance and risks to groundwater resources. DEP involvement through Act 537 may act as a catalyst.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Inventory of property owners with on-lot systems; program tracking software; SEO.	SEO; excel database spreadsheet generated from tax parcels that could translate to software later.	\$90,000 per year – employee budget, assume \$12,000 per year is recouped through permit fees	Permit fees
1.2A	Implement County Hazard Mitigation Plan (2015, update kickoff JUNE 2020)	Improve flood prone areas with BMPs that also enhance water quality	CCPC, municipalities	Spring Mills (Penns, Sinking Creeks); Coburn (Penns, Elk, Pine Creeks)	2023- 2025	Funding, land for BMPs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Planning, engineering, permitting, construction	Contractors	\$5,000,000	PEMA/FEMA BRIC program

	Phase 3 Waters	-	· ·			ss Template – CEN								
		<u>Green</u> - actio		leted or is movinរ្	g forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac Potential	ction has encoun		acles <u>Red</u> - actions in the section of the section	on has not been t	aken or has enco		barrier es <u>Needed</u>	
Action		Performance	Responsible Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Implementation Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
1.2B	Implement County Hazard Mitigation Plan (2015, update 2020-2021)	Improve flood prone areas with BMPs that also enhance water quality – address tributaries impaired by ag activities (Little Marsh Creek) and tributaries (Holt Run/Bald Eagle Creek) impaired by pathogens downstream of Milesburg Borough and upstream of Bald Eagle State Park	CCPC, Milesburg Borough, Boggs Township, Centre County Farm Bureau, Bald Eagle Creek Watershed Association, Trout Unlimited, National Fish and Wildlife Service	Milesburg Boro, Boggs Twp (lower Bald Eagle and Spring Creek);	2021 – review flood- resulting pinch points and map out upstream BMP opportuni ties	Funding, local/landowner interest	Planning	CCPC			Planning, engineering, permitting, construction for ultimate solution	Contractors	\$5,000,000	PEMA/FEMA BRIC program
1.3	Update/draft Act 167 Plans	Address climate change storm intensities and enhanced water quality needs with respect to development and agriculture Create new model stormwater ordinance that would require/incentiviz e additional protections for streams (high quality and impaired)	CCPC, municipal engineers, PSU	Countywide	2021 – discuss interest to pursue and funding opportuni ties	Should local involvement exist, funding for Plan update	Institutional knowledge	Municipal engineers – assume 6 for well-rounded local background	N/A	N/A	Act 167 Plan Development	Consultant	\$350,000	DEP

			n has been comp			Potential			s Available		taken or has encou		es Needed	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested	Financial	Suggested Source
1.4	Implement County Farmland Preservation Program with farmland preservation program incentives enhancement	Total preservation farm goal (53 farms in program currently – 8,019 acres, average purchase price \$2,291 per acre, ADD 1-2 FARMS EACH YEAR ON AVERAGE) Farmland Trust – 15 parcels Preserved farms will implement and maintain pollution control BMPs beyond what is required for compliance	Centre County Farmland Trust and Centre County Agricultural Preservation Coordinator, NRCS, ClearWater Conservancy, PennAg Industries Association, PDA	Ag land use area that fits farmland preservation criteria	2021- 2022 – explore incentive opportuni ties	Operator acceptance, additional resources for plan development (requirement of program), additional staff need to handle inspection and paperwork, incentivize BMP installation as a farmland preservation goal, O&M partnership, verification, landowner acceptance/potent ial barriers to land preservation goals	Guidelines update recommendati on Priority recommendati ons, easement revision recommendati ons, supplemental BMP funding research	Ag Land Preservation Board 1 CCPD staff, Centre County Farmland Trust	N/A	N/A	Guideline updates Practice Keeper data input/manage ment Legal support	PDA 1 CCCD staff person Land use attorney consultant	Assume \$50,000 per farm → \$2.65M \$60,000 per year Assume \$10,000 budget	NFWF, GG Increased Conservation District budge American Farmland Trust, PDA
1.5A	Establish funding/staff support to assist the Agricultural community (day to day support) 1140 ag parcels in Centre Co	700 farms have their plans, including NRCS plans, might get up to 900 farms as this grant gets finished → focus on BMP implementation for these farms 86,000 acres under manure management plans/ nutrient management plans 70,000 acres under ag E&S plans	CCPD, CCCD, Contracted planners	Centre County ag land	2021- 2025	Limited compliance activities by DEP. Insufficient funding for public sector staff to assist the ag community.	Field verification, troubleshootin g	CCCD, USDA NRCS, Private Consultants Bob Neiderer (PA One Stop) Tom Blair	N/A	N/A	1 engineer, 1 planner, 2 technicians	In-house hires/consulta nt	\$350,000 per year	Additional CCCD budget, DEP, NRCS – SWAT agreement

		Green - actio	n has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as	planned Yellow - ad	ction has encount	ered minor obsta	icles <b>Red</b> - actic	on has not been t	aken or has enco	untered a serious	barrier	
Action		Performance	Responsible Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation Challenges or		Resource	s <u>Available</u>			Resource Suggested	es <u>Needed</u>	Suggested
# 1.5B	Description Develop plans	Target(s)2 plans permonth perconsultant,assume backlogis complete (agE&S and manuremgmt. plans)	Partnerships CCCD, Contracted planners	Location Centre County ag land	Timeline           2022-           2023	Recommendations Utilize outreach program to get landowners signed up for this during Winter 2020/2021	Technical Contracted planners	Source Growing Greener Grant - CCCD	Financial \$50/plan to be paid to contractor	Source Landowner	Technical N/A	Source N/A	Financial N/A	Source N/A
1.5C	Chesapeake Bay Technical Inspection/ Plan Data Gathering	Collect data regarding existing farm plans and implementation progress 50 farms per year → 200 farms through 2025	CCCD	Ag land use area	2021- 2025	Farmer knowledge of the location and contents of their plan, obtaining copies of existing plans to determine if they are up to date	Site visits and plan reviews	CCCD	\$200,000	DEP	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
1.5D	Other Plan Data Gathering	Collect data regarding existing farm plans and implementation progress ~45 farms per year → 170 farms through 2025	CCCD, HRG, Ag Consultants, NRCS, Chesapeake Conservancy	Ag land use area	2021- 2025	Farmer knowledge of the location and contents of their plan, obtaining copies of existing plans to determine if they are up to date	50 farm visits per year Existing plans data sharing	HRG (CAP coordinator) NRCS (with landowner permission) 1 CCCD staff person	Conservation Plan Inventory	Chesapeake Conservancy (NRCS grant)	Existing plan data	Farm Consultants	Assume 20 plans per year (\$120,000 per year)	Growing Greener, NFWF Technical Assistance
							Request/revie w plans as part of farm support							

		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as		tion has encount			on has not been t	taken or has encou			
						Potential		Resource	es <u>Available</u>			Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.6A	LandscapeU Partnership – Planning	Receive project opportunity/prior itization deliverable to assist with 2021 implementation steps	PSU Profs – Erica Smithwick, Jason Kaye; graduate students	Legacy sediment landscapes Riparian properties Preserved farms	2021	Student ownership of assignment, water quality monitoring data compatibility/consi stency, GIS knowledge	Prioritization deliverable Background data Spring Creek Watershed Atlas WRMP Report	PSU HRG, County Spring Creek Watershed Commission KWRC	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
1.6B	LandscapeU Partnership - Implementation	Develop graduate students so that they can effectively engage in landowner outreach during implementation years	PSU Profs – Erica Smithwick, Jason Kaye; graduate students	Legacy sediment landscapes Riparian properties Preserved farms	2022- 2025	Continued graduate engagement as students graduate through program, implementation funding	Outreach boots on the ground	PSU students	N/A	N/A	Digital and print materials highlighting local projects	LandscapeU	TBD – might link to other Priority Initiatives' budgets	National Science Foundation
1.6C	Quantify Land/BMPs Managed by Penn State University	Work with office of physical plant and other PSU groups to ensure that water quality improvements that they manage are captured in CAST/FieldDoc	PSU Office of Physical Plant?, PSU College of Ag Sciences	PSU-owned/ managed land in Centre County	2021	Getting maximum credits for experimental BMPs	Institutional knowledge	PSU staff, 1 County staff person, HRG (CAP coordinator)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
1.6D	Leverage Ag Progress Days as an opportunity to educate more farmers on local water quality issues and solutions	Have a booth and class(es) at Ag Progress Days 2021	CCCD, CCPD, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Chesapeake Conservancy, 4R Alliance	Countywide	2021	Preparation time, county staff availability, opportunity to encourage farm stakeholders to participate with us	Educational materials and demonstration exhibits	CBF	NFWF grant funding, assume \$5,000 could be invested in this	CBF (NFWF)	Class offering	CCCD, innovative Centre County farmers	N/A	N/A

### \_

		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - a Potential	ction has encount		icles <u>Red</u> - act s Available	ion has not been	taken or has enco			
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested	es <u>Needed</u> Financial	Suggested Source
1.7	Water quality communication plan, leveraging existing documents and covering topics including Homeowner Guide to protecting Drinking Water, Agricultural Practices and BMPs that reduce pollution, Methods and land use controls that protect groundwater quality and control quantity (Riparian & Forest Buffers, slope control), Proper Turf Management Methods (Natural Landscaping and/or Chemical Application and Treatment Measures), The Homeowner's Guide to Stormwater, Urban/Suburban BMPs	Develop messages and audience; execute plan and distribute messaging through staff and partners	Board of Commissioner s staff, Penn State Sustainability Institute, PA DEP Northcentral Office, Penn State Extension (Master Gardeners), PA Rural Water Association, Penn State LandscapeU, Clearwater Conservancy, Trout Unlimited (Spring Creek Chapter), Penns Valley Conservation Association, Spring Creek Watershed Commission, Moshannon Creek Watershed Coalition (Association), Bald Eagle Watershed Association, USDA-NRCS, DCNR, PSU AEC	Countywide	2021 – develop local content, timing, identify responsibl e staff	Simplifying the resources that are available	Communicatio ns skillset	1 Board of Commissioner s Executive Office staff person, 1 County planning staff person, 1 CCCD staff person	N/A	N/A	Website development and continued maintenance	Consultant	\$30,000 per year	Administrative budget tag- along to project- related grant award

						Potential		Resource	s Available			Resource	s Needed	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested	Financial	Suggested Source
1.8	Countywide WQ	Demonstrate	Centre County	MS4	2021	DEP acceptance of	Engineering/M	HRG (CAP	N/A	N/A	For	Rural/suburba	\$150,000 per	Municipality
1.0	Credit Offset Pilot	measurable success of a pilot	Municipalities, Centre County	watershed HUC12 pilot	2021	approach, permit compliance	S4 permit requirement	coordinator)			implementatio n, if the pilot	n township staff	year	stormwater fees or gener
	Variables to consider:	project area where MS4-	Agricultural Preservation	area		coordination among sectors	coordination				works, 1 FT MS4			fund
	• # of	regulated areas	Program, the			among sectors					Coordinator, 1			
	Farms/Forest	and non-	Centre County								PT ag			
	preserved within	regulated areas	Farmland								Coordinator			
	the Corridors of	can benefit from	Trust,											
	Opportunity (COO)	achieving	Centre County				Project	Municipal			Project design	Municipal	Unknown until	Growing
	• Miles of riparian	sediment and	Conservation				opportunity	engineers,			and	engineers,	concept is	Greener,
	buffer created	nutrient goals	District,				ideas	farmland			construction	consultants	developed and	PennVEST,
	within COOs.		Clearwater					preservation					cost-savings	CFA Act 13
	<ul> <li># of Ag. BMPs</li> </ul>		Conservancy,					orgs					are calculated	grants, NFW
	established within		Spring Creek											
	COOs.		Watershed											
	<ul> <li>Miles of Stream</li> </ul>		Commission,											
	stabilization and		Trout											
	restoration within		Unlimited											
	COOs.		(Spring Creek											
	<ul> <li>Spring Creek</li> <li>Watershed</li> </ul>		Chapter), Penns Valley											
	Resource		Conservation											
	Monitoring		Association											
	Quarterly Progress		Association											
	• # SWM facilities													
	maintained within													
	municipal park													
	systems													
	<ul> <li>Acres of</li> </ul>													
	Preserved Open													
	Space and													
	Environmentally													
	Sensitive Areas													

		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted of is moving	g forward as p		tion has encoun	ered minor obsta		on has not been	taken of has enco			
			<b>D</b>			Potential		Resources	s <u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
		D. (	Responsible		-	Implementation								
Action	Description	Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or	Tashuisal	6	<b>Financial</b>	6	Tashulasi	Suggested	Financial	Suggested
Ħ	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
Priorit	ty Initiative 2: I	Reporting and	Tracking											
2.1A	Existing BMP	Expand use of	Lead -	Centre County	2021 –	EPA acceptance of	Precision	Chesapeake	N/A	N/A	Further GIS	Chesapeake	\$46,000 (2021	DEP
	cataloguing	existing buffer	Chesapeake		cataloguin	the approach,	Conservation	Conservancy			and data	Conservancy	only)	
	(quantity and	layer with urban	Conservancy		g	further refine	Tools				processing/me			
	location) for select	hydrology layer				guidance in QAPP					thod		Assume	
	BMPs, expanding		Stakeholder		2022 –	so that counties					refinement		\$200,000	
	on general	R&D into	peer review -		Practice	can accomplish		QAPP					annual	
	recommendations	distinguishing ag,	PSU, USGS,		Keeper	this or so that the	Conorol						salary/benefit	
	provided in QAPP	pasture, and turf covers from	Farm Bureau, PDA, EPA		batch	state can take the	General						need for 2	
	BMPs = forest	grassed buffers	PDA, EPA		upload processin	burden off of counties, utilize	methodology outline	Varies by					staff people to follow up on	
	buffers, urban	grassed bullers			g and field	the approach to	outime	BMP, but					BMP	
	forest buffers,	Manual digitizing			views	catalogue existing	BMP field	likely 1 CCCD					verification	
	grass buffers,	where leaf-off <1			10003	BMPs and do on	backcheck	staff person, 1					annually	
	urban grass	ft resolution				the ground	buckencek	CBF staff					annaany	
	buffers, wet ponds	imagery is				verification where		person, 1 PSU						
	and wetlands,	available				required for		Extension staff						
	fencing					reporting		person						
		Back check with				purposes, this is an								
		staff field views				accelerated BMP								
		where required				catch up approach								
						while we continue								
		Add data to				to provide support								
		Practice Keeper				to farmers on								
		or another batch				planning and BMP								
		upload option				installs, reduce the								
		(FieldDoc)				amount of								
						interruption of								
						government								
						entities to compliant farm								
						compliant famil								

		<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been compl	leted or is moving	g torward as I		ction has encount	tered minor obsta		ion has not been	taken or has encou			
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Resources	<u>Available</u> Financial	Source	Technical	Resource Suggested Source	es <u>Needed</u> Financial	Suggested Source
2.1B	Identify future ag/urban project opportunities using automated means	BMP opportunity analysis – ag conservation, land retirement, alternative crop, forest conservation, stream restoration Back check with staff field views Batch upload to FieldDoc to calculate credit	Lead - Chesapeake Conservancy Stakeholder peer review - PSU, USGS, Farm Bureau, PDA, municipalities with MS4 permits	Centre County	2021 – cataloguin g 2022 – batch upload processin g and field views 2023 – 2025 – implemen tation focus	Different data set scales/precision	Precision Conservation Tools Batch upload processing BMP field backcheck	Chesapeake Conservancy DEP/SRBC Varies by BMP, but likely 1 CCCD staff person, 1 CBF staff person, 1 PSU Extension staff person	N/A	N/A	Further GIS and data processing/me thod refinement	Chesapeake Conservancy	\$44,000 (2021)	DEP
2.2	Improve continuous data collection on urban structural and non- structural practices	opportunity Add development- related BMPs to CAST/FieldDoc so that as land use data sets are updated, there are accompanying BMPs	Municipal engineers, Bellefonte Borough, Chesapeake Conservancy?	Urban/suburb an landscape	2022	Non-MS4 engagement (what's in it for them if they don't already have a BMP database?), difficulty obtaining past information (MS4s typically have databases from 2003- present)	Reporting platform	FieldDoc	N/A	N/A	Training Municipal data input	DEP 1 staff person per developing municipality	N/A Assume \$5000 administrative cost per municipality per year and 7 developing municipalities → \$35,000 per year	N/A Municipal general fund or stormwat fees
2.3	Implement a documentation program for commercial and homeowner nutrient applications in developed lands	Support fertilizer legislation – where legislation requires reporting, be the data clearinghouse	Centre County – department to be determined	Countywide	TBD	Education of responsible parties, receiving timely information, training on reporting system, will need direction from State on what's expected and any reporting system that's developed	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

	Phase 3 Waters	hed Implementa	ation Plan (WI	P) Planning a	nd Progres	ss Template – CEN		1						
		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	ction has encoun	tered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - action	on has not been t	aken or has enco	untered a serious	barrier	
						Potential		Resource	es <u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
			Responsible			Implementation								
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
Priori	ty Initiative 3: A	Achieve New F	Pollutant Re	ductions			1							
3.1	Implement BMPs from Growing Greener plan development farms	Design and install four manure management systems and AHUAs per year (20 systems total) 13 acres of treated area, assuming we build 20 more loafing lots (5 farms per year)	CCCD, NRCS, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Chesapeake Conservancy	Centre County ag land	2021- 2025	Having enough in- house technical assistance capacity to see projects from concept through construction	Design, construction oversight	CCCD, Consultants	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	assume we need \$170k per project for implementatio n → \$3.4 million	DEP Block Grant, CEG (future), NRCS sources

cion bescription spectrat			on has been comp				1					ountered a serious		
ctim       Description       Performance Targetto       Performance Partners       Performance Partners       Performance Partners       Performance Partners       Performance Partners       Performance Partners       Performance Partners       Performance Partners       Performance Partners       Partners						Potential		Resourc	es <u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	<u> </u>
<b>i</b> DescriptionTarget(s)Varies(s)Varies(s)VariantRemone to the space of the spa	_					-								
3.2       Implement Halfmoon WIP       WIP approval- 2021       Chesapeake Bay       Hufmoon Watershed- 2021       Funding, Bay       Outreach and Landowner interest in BMPs, implementation Grant Application       CBF       \$5000       NFWF (CBF grant)       Design, permit, construction oversight       Private sector, permit, construction       \$4,700,000       EPA 319 Funding, PA         Visit       Conservation       Conservation       Township and oversight       Township oversight       S5000       NFWF (CBF grant)       Design, permit, construction       Private sector, permit, construction       \$4,700,000       EPA 319 Funding, PA         Autorship and regulation       Conservation       Township       Township       Township       Township       Private sector, conservancy, western       Sono       NFWF (CBF grant)       Design, permit, construction       Private sector, conservancy, western       Sono       NFWF (CBF grant)       Design, permit, construction       Private sector, conservancy, western       Sono       NFWF (CBF grant)       Design, permit, construction       Private sector, conservancy, keystone 10       Sono       NFWF (CBF grant)       Design, permit, conservancy, keystone 10       Private sector, conservancy, keystone 10       Private sector, conservancy, keystone 10       Sono       NFWF (CBF grant)       Design, permit, conservancy, keystone 10       Private sector, conservancy, keystone 10       Private sector, conservancy, keystone 10 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>-</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Suggested</th></t<>					-									Suggested
Halfmoon WIP       2021       Bay       Watershed - Foundation, Cante County       2025       Iandowner interest in BMPs, implementation Grant Application Conservation       grant, Foundation, Cante County       permit, Conservation       US FWS, volunteers       Values       Funds, PA         Vice Specifies       Conservation       Ferguson       Foundation, Township and (when approve)       Foundation, Cante County       Foundation, Ferguson       Foundation, Partner														Source
District, ClearWater Conservancy, Partners for	.2 Implement	WIP approval – 2021 Implementation Grant Application – 2022 – see plan (when approved) for BMP specifics Partial Implementation	Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Centre County Conservation District, Dr. Preisendanz Lab Group of Penn State University, DCNR, Chesapeake Conservancy, Western Pennsylvania Conservancy, Keystone 10 Million Tree Partnership, Penn State Agriculture & Environment Center, Huntingdon County Conservation District, ClearWater Conservancy,	Halfmoon Watershed – Halfmoon Township and Ferguson	2021-	Funding, landowner interest in BMPs, implementation partner	Outreach and			NFWF (CBF	Design, permit, construction	Private sector, US FWS,		

	Phase 3 Waters	hed Implementa	ation Plan (W	IP) Planning a	nd Progres	ss Template – CEN		1						
		<u>Green</u> - actio		leted or is moving	g forward as	Potential	ction has encoun		icles <u>Red</u> - actions S <u>Available</u>	on has not been t	aken or has enco		barrier es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
3.3	Accelerated implementation of Rapid Delisting Catchment Strategy through the Precision Conservation Partnership	Implement 9 previously scoped projects resulting in 29 acres of forest buffer, 9.4 acres of fencing with buffer, 11 acres of wetland restoration, 5,000 LF of stream restoration	Chesapeake Conservancy and the Precision Conservation Partnership stakeholders	Beaver Branch, Halfmoon – Bald Eagle, Halfmoon – Loveville, Elk Creek, Tributary to Pine Creek	2021- 2024	Reinvigorating landowner interest, design/permit/con struction schedules, construction match dollars	Program management and GIS Landowner outreach	Chesapeake Conservancy Partnership stakeholders	\$500,000	NFWF Grant	N/A	N/A	\$56,840	DEP Block Grant
3.4	Fish Hatchery Nitrogen Reduction	Address nitrogen discharges associated with fish hatchery locations – amend how they are viewed in CAST, collect environmental monitoring information to confirm/refute that they are elevated nitrogen producers, plan/design/cons truction nitrogen- reducing treatment system	PA Fish and Boat Commission, DEP Northcentral Regional Office, local watershed group, TU, Western PA Conservancy	Countywide	2021 – investigati on 2022 – next steps	Stakeholder interest, treatment challenges	CAST insight Hatchery operation insight	DEP/SRBC PA Fish and Boat	N/A	N/A	Monitoring study	PSU	N/A	N/A

			n has been comp			Potential		tered minor obsta Resource	es <u>Available</u>		taken or has enco		es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
3.5	4R Practice Education and Implementation	Transition manure management plans to nutrient management plans and incentivize implementation Increase existing 4R practice (N rate and timing) adoption by 2,800 acres	4R Alliance, CBF	Priority HUC	2021- 2022	Landowner interest, BMP verification (annual), CBF staff loss who had a lot of landowner relationships	Educational support	CBF/4R Alliance	CBF grant	NFWF	Cost share recommendati ons/equipmen t/software	Consultant	\$50,000	DEP
3.6	Low and no-till cover crop and tillage BMPs	Determine feasibility of having a county cost share program to enhance adoption of the annual practice Increase conservation tillage by 1,000 acres Increase high residue tillage by	CCCD, Centre Co Farm Bureau	Ag land use countywide	2021 – investigati on 2022 – next steps	Capacity to manage the program, landowner interest	Transect survey Landowner education	Capital RC&D 1 CCCD staff person	N/A	N/A	Cost share program development	Adapted CD model program	\$120,000 cost share budget per year	DEP

			n has been comp			Potential			es Available		taken or has enco		es Needed	
			Responsible			Implementation								
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
3.7	Implement more	Off stream	CCCD, NRCS,	Centre County	2025	Landowner	Landowner	NRCS	CBF grant	NFWF	N/A	N/A	2 grazing plans	NRCS
	pasture	watering without	Chesapeake	ag lands –		education, BMP	education						per year	l.
	management BMPs		Вау	landowners		funding for non-							(\$4000 each) -	l.
		acres/10 farms	Foundation,	who raise		buffer work, plan	Data gathering						\$80,000 total	l.
			Chesapeake	horses, dairy,		updates, data	through Bay	Tech staff					planning	l.
		Pasture	Conservancy	beef		gathering	Tech visits	person					budget	l.
		Alternative											<b>A</b>	l
		Watering – 400 acres/10 farms											Assume \$70,000 per	l
		acres/10 farms												l
		Prescribed											grazing mgmt. project → 10	l
		grazing – 3,520											farms,	l
		acres (50% by											\$700,000 total	l
		reporting)											project budget	l
		reporting/											project budget	l
		Horse pasture												l.
		management –												l.
		50 acres/3 farms												l
														l.
		Forest buffers on												l
		fenced pasture												l
		corridor – 365												l
		acres (50% by												l
		reporting)												l.
		Grass buffers on												l
		fenced pasture												
		corridor – 1,100												
		acres (50% by												l.
		reporting)												l

		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been compl		5 IOI Walu as	Potential	aon nas encoun		s Available	in has not been t	taken or has encou		es Needed	
			Responsible			Implementation		Resources	S <u>Available</u>			Resourc	es <u>inceded</u>	
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
3.8	Riparian buffer and	Partner with	ClearWater	Centre County	2022 –	Landowner	Materials	CCCD (in-kind,	Budget	CBF	Implementatio	Landscapers	\$2,000,000	DCNR, NFWF,
	re-forestation	Chesapeake Bay	Conservancy,		line up	partnerships,		annual tree	available to be		n – design,	(private	budget	PACD,
	BMPs (not	Foundation to	CBF,		landowne	landowner		sale efforts)	determined		equipment,	sector)		TreeVitalize,
	downstream from	plant 100,000	watershed		rs	education,					materials			DEP,
	animal activities)	trees (portion of	associations,			volunteer								Coldwater
		500,000 trees	Chesapeake		2023-	acceptance of	Mapping	Chesapeake						Heritage
		that will be	Conservancy,		2025 –	grass buffer		Conservancy						Partnership
		RFPed for	Western PA		implemen	plantings, grass				NFWF				Implementation
		growers)	Conservancy		tation	buffer								n Grants,
						maintenance guide								Foundation fo
		130 riparian				for farmers,								PA
		forest buffer				routine site visits								Watersheds
		acres – new				to confirm buffers				NFWS				Project Grants
		buffer; assume				are thriving,								
		1100 acres are				invasive species				NRCS - CREP				
		reported through				removal during								
		aerial photo				establishment, it								
		analysis				was recommended								
						by their peers that								
		50 riparian grass buffer acres –				ClearWater								
		new buffer;				Conservancy serves as the lead								
		assume 800 acres				site coordinator								
		are reported				Site coordinator								
		through aerial												
		photo analysis												
		8 acres – urban												
		forest buffer												
		3 acres – urban												
		tree planting –												
		target one												
		landowner in												
		urbanized area												
		200 acres – urban												
		forest planting –												
		target one large												
		landowner in												
		urbanized area												

		<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as		tion has encount			on has not been	taken or has enco			
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	es <u>Available</u> Financial	Source	Technical	Resourc Suggested Source	es <u>Needed</u> Financial	Suggested Source
3.9A	Wetland restoration implementation on marginal production ag land	11 acres	Chesapeake Conservancy, Western PA Conservancy, Penns Valley Conservation Association	Halfmoon – Bald Eagle, Beaver Branch	2021 – early implemen tation activities	Willing landowner; appropriate siting, design, and construction for successful restoration result	Landowner outreach	1 CCCD staff person	N/A	N/A	Preliminary design	USFWS	Assume \$300,000 for land acquisition Assume \$100,000 project budget (design, permit, construction)	Seek a co- benefits funding source (wildlife focus)
3.9B	Wetland restoration implementation	Identify 1 large property owner from University of Vermont restorable wetland layer to install a 350- acres-treated wetland	Wildlife for Everyone, ClearWater Conservancy, Western PA Conservancy	Priority watershed (ag or non-ag)	rmit (2023- 2024)	WFE just finished up the Soaring Eagle wetland project, is there interest in another one? – same for ClearWater, landowner participation, permitting, funding	GIS mapping Landowner outreach	Chesapeake Conservancy 1 CCCD staff person	N/A	N/A	Preliminary design	USFWS	\$500,000	Seek a co- benefits funding source (wildlife focus)
3.10	Non-urban stream restoration	37,600 feet (7 miles) installed/invento ried Assume two project installs per year per contractor team 22,200 LF in TU planned projects	CBF, Chesapeake Conservancy, TU National, Spring Creek TU, NFWS, Western PA Conservancy, Penns Valley Conservation Association	Rapid delisting areas	Constructi on (2025) 2021- 2022 2023- 2024 2025	Design/permit/con struction cycle seems to work in two-year increments, there is an assumption that eroded/degraded streams exist based upon 403(d) listing – should that not be the case in the field, adjust quantitative goal down and ensure buffers are in place	GIS Design/GP-1 permit	Chesapeake Conservancy and partners Trout Unlimited	Existing grant	NFWF	Design, permit, construction services	Private sector, USFWS, TU	Assume \$450/LF - \$7 M for total project delivery, floodplain restoration concept, not O&M Assume \$50/LF - \$1,110,000 for TU-lead projects	Growing Greener, NFWF, California University of Pennsylvania, Hamer Foundation

		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as		ction has encount			on has not been	taken or has enco			
						Potential		Resource	s <u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
A		Deufennen	Responsible	Coordinatio	France and a	Implementation						Guaranteed		Guarantead
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
3.11	Implement more barnyard runoff control/loafing lot management/ag stormwater management	110 acres of treated area, assuming we map 79 more and build 20 more (5 farms per year)	CCCD, Chesapeake Conservancy, NRCS	Livestock farms	2021- 2025	Mapping challenges, typical logistics regarding landowner buy-in and project development/fund ing	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Consultant for program management and project delivery	RFP	\$1.8 M per year, \$9 M total	DEP, NRCS
3.12	Animal waste management BMP implementation for livestock	8,500 AUs managed through new BMPs	CCCD, SCC, CEG Program (future years)	Livestock farms	2021- 2025	Current capacity through NRCS and CCCD implements about 5 farms projects per year; Time to get through planning, design, and construction; outreach to smaller farms that likely need the assistance; match cash value for small farms; readiness to plan/implement projects when outreach efforts yields willing landowners	Project implementatio n – 2 farms/year Project implementatio n – 3 farms/year	NRCS	Assume \$280,000/year Assume \$420,000/year	NRCS EQIP Growing Greener, private funding	Design, permit, construction services	Private sector	Assume 2 projects per year, \$130,000 each, \$260,000 per year, \$1.3 M total	Growing Greener, NFWF, PennVEST, private capita
3.13	Mitigation Banking Pilot Project	Floodplain restoration and/or wetland restoration project that achieves future MS4 permit sediment compliance for a currently waived Township while yielding nitrogen reductions for UAJA's benefit	UAJA, Benner Township, Spring Township, Nittany Valley Joint Recreation Authority	Benner Township and/or Spring Township	Regulator y approval (2021- 2022) Design/pe rmitting (2023) Constructi on (2024- 25)	Landowner participation, regulatory compliance (MS4 – sediment and WWTP NPDES - nitrogen), funding for construction, recreational opportunity based on project location and public accessibility	Long-term operation and maintenance Municipal/ landowner coordination	UAJA 1 County Planning staff person, HRG (CAP coordinator)	N/A	N/A	Design, permit, construction services	Private sector	Assume 2500 LF project, \$750,000 project budget	NFWF, CFA

						Potential		Resources	s <u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
3.14	Runoff Reduction Performance Standard and Storm Water Treatment Performance Standard Implementation	Implement existing ordinances at local municipal level Catalogue existing BMPs that fit into this category and newly built ones	CCPC, CCCD, developing municipalities	County-wide	Ongoing 2021- 2025	Coordination/traini ng for municipal staff, FieldDoc batch opportunity, non-MS4 engagement (what's in it for them?), difficulty obtaining past information (MS4s typically have databases from 2003-present)	Reporting platform	FieldDoc	N/A	N/A	Training Municipal data input	DEP 1 staff person per developing municipality	N/A Assume \$5000 administrative cost per municipality per year and 7 developing municipalities → \$35,000 per year	N/A Municipal general fund or stormwater fees
3.15	MS4-related Chesapeake Bay Pollutant Reduction Plan Implementation	Plan implementation by end of current permit term Implement bioretention, buffers, stream restoration, street sweeping, etc.	Harris Township, Patton Township, College Township, State College Borough, Ferguson Township	Joint urbanized planning area	2023 – might be 2025 if they're individual permittee s	Municipal capacity to implement plans (technical, financial)	Municipal engineer design/permit, construction readiness	Municipalities, public works departments	Unknown	Municipal budgets (taxes, stormwater fees, grants)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3.16	Conservation Landscape/Turf to Meadow Conversion/Waters hed Forestry Initiative	Promote new program and enable one large tract landowners' participation (20 acres of conversion)	CCPC, DCNR, Western PA Conservancy, ClearWater Conservancy, Penn State Ag/Turf Program	Developed areas in Centre County municipalities	2021- 2022	Landowner education and acceptance	Planting plan assistance	Alliance for the Bay (in- kind)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$5,000 per acre (forest), \$2,500 per acre (meadow) → \$100,000 (budget for all forest)	DCNR

	Phase 3 Waters	hed Implementa	ation Plan (W	P) Planning a	nd Progres	ss Template – CEN		(									
		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	acles <u>Red</u> - action	on has not been ta	aken or has encountered a serious barrier								
						Potential							Resources <u>Needed</u>				
			Responsible			Implementation											
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested			
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source			
3.17	Impervious surface reduction project	Identify a blighted/flood prone area that could be converted to a park (8-acre area)	CCPC, CDBG- eligible municipalities	Centre County Boroughs	ID landowne r (2021) Funding (2022) Design/pe rmit (2023- 2024)	Lack of local interest, property purchase/donation , suggested to explore below South Hills Plaza, streets perpendicular to Easterly/Westerly Parkway	Mapping	CCPD	Available budget to be determined	Municipal PRP Implementatio n Budget	Design, permit, construction	Private sector	\$7,000,000	CDBG (potentially), DCNR, FEMA BRIC			
					Constructi												
3.18	Continue dirt and gravel road program	200,000 feet overall restored through past projects and future projects	CCCD	Countywide	on (2025) 2025	Continue D&G Road program funding	Education, technical assistance, project oversight	CCCD, Center for Dirt & Gravel Road Studies, State Conservation Commission	\$175,000 per year	State Conservation Commission	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
3.19	Operation and Maintenance Performance	Cultivate a partnership(s) with volunteer groups or contractors who we can direct landowners to who have interest in assistance with O&M of practices that we facilitate	Volunteer group, contractor	Countywide	2021- 2022	Volunteer training, liability, materials/equipme nt funding	Technical guidance Volunteer maintenance labor	DCNR Master Watershed Stewards volunteer hours	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
3.20A	Private Funding	Identify some private funding sources that may be able to supplement public funding sources/existing sources utilized for stakeholders	Existing project implementer networks, Ag Choice Farm Credit?	Centre County	2021- 2022	Need to expand network, educational aspect of less common funders, logistics of utilizing unproven funding sources (or lesser known)	Financial services	HRG (CAP coordinator)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	rty(ies) and Geographic	Expected Timeline	Potential	ction has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier Resources Available Resources Needed							
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships			Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
3.20B	Public/Non-profit Funding	Fill-out our public/non-profit source list	Central PA Conservancy, Western PA Conservancy, Natural Lands Trust, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Hamer Foundation	Centre County	2021	Partners use similar funding sources, becoming more competitive	Financial services	HRG (CAP coordinator)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3.20C	Public/private Farm BMP sponsorship program	Utilize REAP program to leverage funding, sponsor farmers who perform BMPs and pay them cash from tax rebates that corporation receives from funding the program	SCC, The Nature Conservancy, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, PennAg	Centre County	2021- 2022 initiation	Corporate interest,	Initial coordination	HRG (CAP coordinator)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Priori	ty Initiative 4: F	Research, Edu	cation, and <sup>-</sup>	Training										
4.1A	Incorporate existing water quality monitoring data into Chesapeake Data Explorer/ Chesapeake Monitoring Cooperative database	Successful data input/acceptance by ALLARM program by end of 2021	ALLARM, Keystone Water Resources Center – Spring Creek, Senior Environmental Corps, PSU, watershed associations	Countywide	2021	Data precision, QAQC, opportunity to educate landowners about local stream health and what they can do about it	Data QAQC and training Data input	ALLARM Monitoring groups	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

			n has been comp			Potential Implementation	tion has encountered minor obstacles <u>Red</u> - action has not been t Resources <u>Available</u>				Resources <u>Needed</u>			
			Responsible											
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggeste
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
4.1B	Initiate additional	Location	ALLARM,	To be	2021	Land access,	\$30,000 intern	PSU	N/A	N/A	Sample	ALLARM	\$10,000	DEP Block
	water quality	identification,	Keystone	determined		expanded	salary budget				Collection for	volunteer	EQUIPMENT	Grant
	monitoring sites	financial and	Water			volunteer need,					new sites			
	that promote long-	volunteer budget	Resources			equipment/materi					identified			
	term trend	analysis, and	Center –			als budget,	QAQC	PSU/ALLARM			through CAP			
	evaluation at key	initial landowner	Spring Creek,			Consistent data					implementatio			
	locations in Centre	communication	Senior			collection, QAQC					n			
	County	by end of 2021	Environmental			continuation								
			Corps, PSU,											
		CAST-21	local			Consideration to								
		acknowledgemen	watershed			expand the								
		t of our data	associations			Keystone Water								
		Map existing				Resources Center								
		monitoring				to cover places								
		locations				like Bald Eagle,								
						Buffalo Run, etc.								
		Expand				to get a continual								
		monitoring based				basis								
		on Corridors of												
		Opportunity area												
		monitoring gaps												

						Potential		Resources	s <u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance escription Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	-	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
4.2	Enhance the capacity of local watershed associations for short-term success and long-term sustainability	Share each other's social media content When developing water quality projects, include them in the scoping/funding process When we utilize/obtain funding for outreach, reserve some for partner promotional items (hats, shirts, etc.) Encourage project implementation on the watershed level so that these partners enhance their relationships with non-peers with a co-benefit of diversifying their membership	Spring Creek Watershed Commission, Bald Eagle Watershed Association, Spring Creek Chapter of Trout Unlimited, National Trout Unlimited, Penns Valley Conservation Association, Moshannon Creek Watershed Association	Countywide	Ongoing	Continued coordination among a lot of active groups, keep project leads list active so that watershed approach to grant applications can be developed well in advance of submittal deadlines, see if Spring Creek Watershed Commission could serve as the coordinating party	Project development	County – department to be determined CAP Coordinator (HRG)	Limited match	Watershed group membership dues	N/A	N/A	\$5000 per organization to produce promotional materials (hats, shirts, stickers) for members	Add on to a NFWF app where watershed association is engaged in project and there is an outreach/rib on-cutting project outcome

		<u>Green</u> - actic	on has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as	Potential	tion has encount		es <u>Available</u>	on has not been	taken or has encountered a serious barrier Resources <u>Needed</u>			
			Responsible		<b>F</b>	Implementation						6		
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
4.3	Farm decision maker trips to showcase BMPs that work	Two trips per year, increase participation by 10% each year	CBF, CCCD, NRCS, County Farm Bureau	Centre County	Fall and Spring of each year	Promotion to increase participation each year, expand logistics/# of local	N/A	N/A	Trip coordination and field facilitation	CBF	N/A	N/A	\$2000 per year to expand resources	PACD Adult Education Non-Point Source Education
						and Bay trips to allow for increased participation, consider alternative transportation options to canoes if it helps to scale up the initiative								Grant Private Secto Donation/Sp nsorship

### Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

1. Inputs – These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.

2. Process – what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.

3. Outputs and outcomes – both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.

4. Implementation challenges – any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

**Responsible Party(ies)** = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole: or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

### GLOSSARY

- ACT 167 Plan. The Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Act of 1978, or Act 167, required that each county must prepare and adopt a watershed stormwater management plan for each watershed located in the county as designated by DEP, in consultation with the municipalities located within each watershed.
- Ag E & S Agricultural Erosion and Sedimentation Plan. Agricultural Erosion and Sedimentation plans document best management practices on crop and pasture fields to mitigate erosion and protect soil health. Any landowner that disturbs the soil (including no tillage) more than 5,000 square feet (~ 1/10 acre) must have a written Agricultural Erosion & Sediment Control Plan according to Pennsylvania State law, Chapter 102.

ALLARM – Alliance for Aquatic Resource Monitoring. ALLARM is a program of Dickinson College that enhances local action for the protection and restoration of waterways by empowering communities with scientific knowledge and tools. AMD - Acid Mine Drainage. Outflow of acidic water from metal mines or coal mines.

- BMP Best Management Practice. Best management practices describe a type of water pollution control. Using agricultural BMPs can help to prevent or minimize the effects of nonpoint source pollution.
- BRIC Building Resilient and Infrastructure and Communities. The Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC) program is a new FEMA pre-disaster hazard mitigation program that replaces the existing Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program. CAST - Chesapeake Assessment Scenario Tool. CAST is a web-based nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment load estimator tool that streamlines environmental planning.
- CBF Chesapeake Bay Foundation. The Chesapeake Bay Foundation is a non-profit organization devoted to the restoration and protection of the Chesapeake Bay in the United States.
- CCCD Centre County Conservation District. The Centre County Conservation District serves as the primary local source of assistance to all individuals and organizations who benefit from the county's natural resources that we collectively strive to sustain and improve.
- CCPC Centre County Planning Commission. The Centre County Planning Commission makes recommendations and decisions to maintain and enhance the high quality of life for all residents, in accordance with the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, and other laws and regulations of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the County of Centre.
- CHMP County Hazard Mitigation Plan. The Centre County Hazard Mitigation Plan was developed for the purpose of providing a blueprint for reducing property damage and saving lives from the effects of future natural and human-caused disasters in Centre County; Qualifying the County for pre-disaster and post-disaster grant funding; Complying with state and federal legislative requirements related to local hazard mitigation planning; Demonstrating a firm local commitment to hazard mitigation principles; and Improving community resiliency following a disaster event.
- COO Corridors of Opportunity. Analysis completed comparing the County Comprehensive Plan goals to potential for co-benefits for local water quality. Since there are elevated nitrogen levels throughout Centre County, the analysis helped focus the planning team on particular HUC12 watersheds for the most global benefit. Once the HUC12 watersheds are identified, the next step is to identify regulatory compliant (or in progress) farms, their neighbors, and preserved farms for targeted outreach and specific BMP installation options.
- CRS Community Rating System. Community Rating System is a voluntary incentive program that recognizes and encourages community floodplain management activities that exceed the minimum National Flood Insurance Plan requirements.
- DCNR Department of Conservation and Natural Resources. DCNR is responsible for maintaining and preserving state parks and forests; providing information on the state's natural resources; and working with communities to benefit local recreation and natural areas.
- DEP Department of Environmental Protection. The Department of Environmental Protection's mission is to protect Pennsylvania's air, land and water from pollution and to provide for the health and safety of its citizens through a cleaner environment.
- EPA Environmental Protection Agency. The Environmental Protection Agency is a United States federal government agency whose mission is to protect human and environmental health.
- FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency. FEMA supports citizens and emergency personnel to build, sustain, and improve the nation's capability to prepare for, protect against, respond to, recover from, and mitigate all hazards. FieldDoc – FieldDoc is a protected, online database that uses geographic information to generate baseline nutrient and sediment loading information and calculate load reductions for planned BMPs. GIS – Geographic Information System. GIS is a computer system that analyzes and displays geographically referenced information.
- HUC12 Watershed. A local sub-watershed level delineation that captures tributary systems draining into the larger Chesapeake Bay watershed.
- Legacy Sediment. Soil that was eroded from upland areas after the arrival of early settlers and over the centuries of intensive land uses, such as agriculture.
- MMP Manure Management Plan. Manure management plans document how a landowner plans to capture, store, treat, and utilize animal manures in an environmentally sustainable manner. Every landowner that has livestock or spreads manure on their property must have a written Manure Management Plan according to Pennsylvania State law, Chapter 91.
- MS4 Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System. A separate storm sewer system is a collection of structures, including retention basins, ditches, roadside inlets and underground pipes, designed to gather stormwater from built-up areas and discharge it, without treatment, into local streams and rivers.
- NFWF National Fish and Wildlife Foundation. NFWF works towards sustaining, restoring, and enhancing the nation's fish, wildlife, plants and habitats for current and future generations through innovative public and private partnerships, and by investing financial resources and intellectual capital into science-based programs designed to address conservation priorities and achieve measurable outcomes.
- NMP Act 38 Nutrient Management Plan. Nutrient management plans are required under Pennsylvania State law Act 38 which applies to operations with more than 2,000 pounds live animal weight per acre of pasture and crop fields.

NRCS - Natural Resource Conservation Service. NRCS's programs help farmers reduce soil erosion, enhance water supplies, improve water guality, increase wildlife habitat, and reduce damages caused by floods and other natural disasters. PACD – Pennsylvania Association of Conservation Districts. Provides support for Pennsylvania's conservation districts.

PEMA – Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency. PEMA is tasked with the response to, preparedness for, recovery from, and the mitigation or prevention of disasters and other emergencies. PracticeKeeper. PracticeKeeper is a protected, online database Used for reporting conservation plans, BMPs, E&S plans, nutrient management plans, watershed plans, complaints, DEP inspection reports and data exports to DEP. QAPP – Quality Assurance Project Plan. A QA Project Plan documents the technical and quality aspects of a project, including project management, implementation and assessment. It specifies responsibilities, monitoring objectives, sampling design, sample collection methods, analytical methods, quality control, data management and data validation activities. It is required by EPA prior to any monitoring or data collection.

QAQC – Quality Assurance Quality Control. QA/QC is the combination of quality assurance, the process or set of processes used to measure and assure the quality of a product, and quality control, the process of ensuring products and services meet consumer expectations.

**4R Nutrient Stewardship** – **Precision Conservation.** Right fertilizer source at the Right rate, at the Right time and in the Right place for optimal crop management.

SRBC – Susquehanna River Basin Commission. SRBC's mission is to enhance public welfare through comprehensive planning, water supply allocation, and management of the water resources of the Susquehanna River Basin. SWM – Stormwater Management. Stormwater management is the effort to reduce runoff of rainwater or melted snow into streets, lawns and other sites and the improvement of water quality. SWP – Source Water Protection. Source Water Protection is a planning process conducted by local water utilities, as well as regional or national government agencies, to protect drinking water sources from overuse and contamination. UAJA – University Area Joint Authority. University Area Joint Authority (UAJA) is the municipal authority providing Wastewater Treatment, or Water Recycling services, to much of State College and the Centre Region.

USGS – United States Geological Survey. USGS provides science about the natural hazards that threaten lives and livelihoods; the water, energy, minerals, and other natural resources we rely on; the health of our ecosystems and environment; and the impacts of climate and land-use change.

Water Quality Credit Offset Pilot. For nitrogen, and potentially other pollutants, BMPs are more effective and cheaper to build in the agricultural landscape than the developed landscape. The goal of this pilot is to further explore the 1-mile radius beyond the MS4 Pollutant Reduction Plan planning area, and larger radii, where ag BMPs can be sited for MS4 credit under certain conditions.

- WIP Watershed Implementation Plan. Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPs) are the roadmap for how the Bay jurisdictions (including Pennsylvania), in partnership with federal and local governments, will achieve the Chesapeake Bay TMDL allocations.
- WWTP Wastewater Treatment Plant. Wastewater treatment plants process contaminants from wastewater or sewage and convert it into an effluent that can be returned to the water cycle with acceptable impact on the environment or reused for various purposes.