Hydrogeomorphic Wetland Classification

HGM classification for wetlands of the Mid-Atlantic Region, USA







Robert P. Brooks Riparia, Department of Geography PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

PLEASE READ BEFORE USING THIS WETLAND CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM:

No classification system can effectively capture the inherent variability in natural systems, nor can it provide a foolproof determination given the different experiences of users. This wetland classification system for the Mid-Atlantic region is designed to distinguish among major wetland types with recognizable differences. It also purports to serve both the needs of the regulatory community where certainty is preferred, and the science community that grapples with variability in ecological systems. Given that dual function, it is critical that users consider the landscape and hydrologic contexts of each wetland. How large an area is being classified? A river channel and the associated floodplain on both sides of the channel, or just the wetland associated with a property on the upland edge of a floodplain. Context does matter, and should be carefully and succinctly documented.

When seeking to classify a wetland, the most fundamental question the user must ask is, "How was the wetland formed?", which can be stated as, "What is the origin of the wetland?". If this question is thoughtfully answered and described in a brief narrative, then the actual label assigned to the wetland matters less, because the user will have considered where and how the wetland fits in the landscape and hydrologic setting. Obviously, this is more relevant for regions where wetlands do not form the dominant matrix of a landscape (e.g., coastal salt marshes, bottomland hardwood forests).

For example, is it a depression that is isolated during drier times of the year but located in a floodplain setting? Or is it isolated from all riverine influences, and receiving a combination of groundwater and precipitation? Clearly, these wetlands are distinctively different in many of their attributes and functions, but they could have the same morphometric dimensions. Either wetland also could have some characteristics of yet another type, warranting a dual label (e.g., depression/slope) just as NWI mapping recognizes mixed vegetation classes (e.g., forested/scrub-shrub, FO/SS). Thus, it is important to recognize these distinctive elements and document the reasons for labeling the wetland as a specific type. This is especially important when addressing wetlands that occur along a broad hydrologic gradient and when a group of microhabitats occur in a cluster. Thoughtful selection of classes supported by careful documentation will make any classification system more consistent among users.

Cover photographs provided by: David Goerman

Key for selecting among tidal and nontidal hydrogeomorphic wetland types in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the U.S. Descriptions and definitions are based on Cowardin et al. (1979), Brinson (1993), Cole et al. (1997). Classes and subclasses are in **bold**.

1.	Wetland found along tidal fringe of a marine	2
	ecosystem (ocean, beach, rocky shore)	-
1.	Wetland not associated with marine ecosystem	3
2.	Continuously submerged littoral zone	Marine subtidal (MF1)
2.	Alternately flooded and exposed to air	Marine intertidal (MF2)
3.	Wetland associated with shallow estuarine	4
	ecosystem (Mixture of saline and freshwater)	
3.	Wetland not associated with shallow estuarine	7
	ecosystem	
4.	Wetland not impounded	5
4.	Wetland impounded	Estuarine impounded (EFh)
5.	Wetland continuously submerged	Estuarine subtidal (EF1)
5.	Wetland alternately flooded and exposed to air	6
6.	Wetland regularly or irregularly flooded by	Estuarine lunar intertidal (EF21)
	semidiurnal, storm, or spring tides	
6.	Wetland flooding induced by wind	Estuarine wind intertidal (EF2w)
7.	Wetland associated with freshwater stream or	8
	river	
7.	Wetland not associated with freshwater stream	11
	or river	
8.	Wetland associated with permanent flowing	9
	water from surface sources	
8.	Wetland dominated by ground water or	10
	intermittent flows	
9.	Wetland associated with low gradient tidal	
	creek (see Estuarine types 3)	
9.	Wetland associated with low gradient and low	Riverine lower perennial (R2) *
	velocities, within a well-developed floodplain	
	(typically >3 rd order)	
9.	Wetland part of a mosaic dominated by	Riverine floodplain complex (R2c) *
	floodplain features (former channels,	
	depressions) that may include slope wetlands	
	supported by ground water (see Slope 17)	
9.	Wetland associated with high gradient and high	Riverine upper perennial (R3) *
	velocities with relatively straight channel, with	
10	or without a floodplain (typically 1 st - 3 rd order)	
10.	Wetland part of a mosaic of small streams,	Riverine headwater complex (R3c) *
	depressions, and slope wetlands generally	
10	supported by ground water	
10.	Wetland associated with intermittent	Riverine intermittent (R4) *
	hydroperiod	

Note:	* For any riverine type that is impounded,	
	distinguish between:	
	Wetland impounded by beaver activity	Riverinebeaver impounded (Rb)
	Wetland impounded by human activity	Riverinehuman impounded (Rh)
11.	Wetland fringing on a lake or reservoir	12
11.	Wetland not fringing on lake or reservoir	14
12.	Wetland inundation controlled by relatively	13
-	natural hydroperiod	
13.	Wetland inundation is permanent with minor	Lacustrine permanently flooded (LFH)
	fluctuations (year round)	
13.	Wetland inundation is semipermanent (growing	Lacustrine semipermanently flooded
	season)	(LFF)
13.	Wetland inundation is intermittent (substrate	Lacustrine intermittently flooded
	exposed often)	(LFJ)
12.	Wetland inundation controlled by dam releases	Lacustrine artificially flooded (LFK)
14.	Wetland water source dominated by	15
	precipitation and vertical fluctuations of the	
	water table due to low topographic relief	
14.	Wetland differs from above	16
15.	Wetland substrate is primarily of mineral origin	Flat mineral soil (FLn)
15.	Wetland substrate is primarily of organic origin	Flat organic soil (FLg)
16.	Wetland water source is primarily ground water	17
	and has unidirectional and horizontal flows	
16.	Wetland forms a depression	18
17.	Water source for wetland derived from	Stratigraphic slope (SLs)
	structural geologic discontinuities resulting in	
	discharge of groundwater from distinct point(s)	
	on slope	
17.	Water source for wetland accumulates at toe-of-	Topographic slope (SLt)
	slope before discharging	
Note:	For any slope type, distinguish between:	slope mineral soil (SLn)
	Wetland substrate is primarily of mineral origin	
10	Wetland substrate is primarily of organic origin	slope organic soil (SLg)
18.	Wetland with frequent surface connections	Depression perennial (DFH) **
10	conveying channelized flow	Depression season -1 (DEC) **
18.	Wetland with infrequent surface water	Depression seasonal (DFC) **
18.	connections conveying channelized flow	Donnession tomporeny (DEA) **
10.	Wetland with no surface outlet, often perched above water table	Depression temporary (DFA) **
Note:	** For any depression type that is impounded or	
inote:	excavated distinguish between:	
	Wetland is impounded by human activities	Depressionhuman impounded (DPh)
	Wetland is impounded by human activities	Depressionhuman excavated (DPx)
	Wetland is impounded by beaver activities	Depressionbeaver impounded (DPb)
	we chang is impounded by beaver activities	DepressionDeaver impounded (DF0)

Mid-Atlantic Wetland Classification (most relevant to freshwater wetlands in Pennsylvania are **bold**):

Classes Subclasses Modifiers Marine subtidal intertidal Estuarine subtidal lunar intertidal wind intertidal impounded **Riverine** lower perennial floodplain complex upper perennial headwater complex intermittent beaver impounded human impounded Lacustrine (fringe) permanently flooded semipermanently flooded intermittently flooded artificially flooded Flat Flat mineral soil Flat organic soil Slope Stratigraphic Topographic mineral soil organic soil Depression perennial seasonal temporary human impounded human excavated beaver impounded

The HGM classification key was adapted from the original work cited as follows:

Brooks, R. P., M. M. Brinson, K. J. Havens, C. S. Hershner, R. D. Rheinhardt, D. H. Wardrop, D. F. Whigham, A. D. Jacobs, and J. M. Rubbo. 2011. Proposed hydrogeomorphic classification for wetlands of the Mid-Atlantic Region, USA. Wetlands 31(2):207-219.

LITERATURE CITED

Brinson, M. M. 1993a. A hydrogeomorphic classification for wetlands. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS, USA. Technical Report WRP-DE-4.

Cole, C. A., R. P. Brooks, and D. H. Wardrop. 1997. Wetland hydrology as a function of hydrogeomorphic (HGM) subclass. Wetlands 17:456-464.

Cowardin, L. M., V. Carter, F. C. Golet, and E. T. LaRue. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC, USA.