3800-PM-BCW0406b Rev. 12/2019

## COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BUREAU OF CLEAN WATER



# NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) DISCHARGES OF STORMWATER ASSOCIATED WITH CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES POST-CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER MANAGEMENT (PCSM) MODULE 2

Applicant: M & G Realty, Inc.			Project Site Name:	Rutter's Huntingdon Store # 93		
Surface Wat	er Name(s):	wetlands tributary to UNT of Juniata River	Surface Water Use(s)	: WWF		

#### **PCSM PLAN INFORMATION revised 3/15/22**

1. Identify all structural and non-structural PCSM BMPs that have been selected and provide the information requested.

Discharge Point(s)	BMP ID	BMP Name	BMP Manual	Latitude N	Longitude W	DA Treated (ac)
001	1	Subsurface Infiltration Bed	6.4.3	40.48916°	78.03694°	2.37
002	2	Subsurface Infiltration Bed	6.4.3	40.48888°	78.03638°	0.30
002	3	Subsurface Infiltration Bed	6.4.3	40.48916°	78.03611°	0.29
002	4	Subsurface Infiltration Bed	6.4.3	40.48916°	78.03638°	0.57
002	5	Subsurface Infiltration Bed	6.4.3	40.48916°	78.03583°	0.55
002	6	Subsurface Infiltration Bed	6.4.3	40.48944°	78.03611°	1.76
001	7	Water Quality Filter	6.6.4	40.48944°	78.03722°	0.11
001	8	Water Quality Filter	6.6.4	40.48944°	78.03694°	0.46
001	9	Water Quality Filter	6.6.4	40.49000°	78.03666°	0.70
002	10	Water Quality Filter	6.6.4	40.49000°	78.03638°	0.42
002	11	Water Quality Filter	6.6.4	40.48972°	78.03611°	0.59
002	12	Water Quality Filter	6.6.4	40.48972°	78.03583°	0.31
002	13	Water Quality Filter	6.6.4	40.48944°	78.03583°	0.11
002	14	Water Quality Filter	6.6.4	40.48944°	78.03583°	0.07
002	15	Water Quality Filter	6.6.4	40.48916°	78.03611°	0.16

Undetained Areas: 0.90 acre(s)

The PCSM BMP Terre Arch subsurface infiltration beds will be constructed after the site grading has reached desired elevations. The PCSM BMP locations will be excavated to the proper depths and the arches installed. This critical stage of installation will be supervised by the products supplier, Terre Hill Company. The Water Quality Inlet insets cannot be installed in the completed stormwater collection system until the entire site has been permanently stabilized and the temporary inlet filter bag E&S BMP's are no longer needed and have been removed. A licensed professional will assure proper installation of these devices.

<sup>☐</sup> The Project Qualifies as a Site Restoration Project (25 Pa. Code §102.8(n))

Describe the sequence of PCSM BMP implementation in relation to earth disturbance activities and a schedule of inspections for the critical stages of PCSM BMP installation.

#### 3800-PM-BCW0406b Rev. 12/2019 PCSM Module 2

- 3. Plan drawings have been developed for the project and will be available on-site.
- 4. Plan drawings have been developed for the project and are attached to the NOI/application.
- 5. Recycling and proper disposal of materials associated with PCSM BMPs are addressed as part of long-term operation and maintenance of the PCSM BMPs.
- 6. Identify naturally occurring geologic formations or soil conditions that may have the potential to cause pollution after earth disturbance activities are completed and PCSM BMPs are operational and the applicant's plan to avoid or minimize potential pollution and its impacts.

The site is underlain by Hamilton Group geology which is known to contain pyritic shale. The Geotechnical Engineering Report documents that testing revealed pyritic sulfur bedrock could be encountered in the area proposed for the underground fuel tanks. The Geotechnical engineer will be present during excavation to evaluate soil conditions encountered in the field and determine the need for further testing. See Sheets ES4 and PCSM 3 for more detailed recommendations.

7. Identify whether the potential exists for thermal impacts to surface waters from post-construction stormwater. If such potential exists, identify BMPs that will be implemented to avoid, minimize, or mitigate potential thermal impacts.

Any time when vegetated surfaces are change to impervious surface, thermal impacts can result. Thermal impacts will be minimized by utilizing underground PCSM BMP's that will help to avoid solar warming of ponded water.

- 8. 

  The PCSM Plan has been planned, designed, and will be implemented to be consistent with the E&S Plan.
- 9. A pre-development site characterization has been performed.

STORMWATER ANALYSIS – RUNOFF VOLUME											
Surface Water Name: Wetlands tributary to UNT to the Juniata River Discharge Point(s):											
1. 🔲 The design standard is based on volume management requirements in an Act 167 Plan approved by DEP within the past five years.											
2. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	up to and includ	ing the 2	2-year/24-hour st	orm.							
3. An alternative design standard is being used.	3. An alternative design standard is being used.										
4. A printout of DEP's PCSM Spreadsheet – Volume Worksheet is attach	ed.										
5. 2-Year/24-Hour Storm Event: inches Source of preci	ipitation data:										
6. Stormwater Runoff Volume, Pre-Construction Conditions:	CF [	Calcu	ılations attached								
7. Stormwater Runoff Volume, Post-Construction Conditions:	CF [	Calcu	ılations attached								
8. Net Change (Post-Construction – Pre-Construction Volumes):	CF										
9. Identify all selected structural PCSM BMPs and provide the information red	quested.	Calcu	ılations attached								
DP No. BMP ID Series Vol. Routed to BMP (CF) Inf. Area (in/hr)	Inf. Period (hrs)	Veg?	Media Depth (ft)	Storage Vol. (CF)	Inf. Credit (CF)	ET Credit (CF)					
			Tota	al Infiltration &	ET Credits (CF):						

Non-Structural BMP Volume Credits (CF) (Attach Calculations):

Managed Release Credits (CF) (Attach MRC Design Summary):

Volume Required to Reduce/Manage (CF):

Total Credits (CF):

INFILTRATION INFORMATION							
BMP ID: 1 Soil/geologic test results are attached.							
1. No. of infiltration tests completed: 2							
2. Method(s) used for infiltration testing: Percolation							
3. Test Pit Identifiers (from PCSM Plan Drawings): INF-2 & INF -3							
4. Avg Infiltration Rate: <b>0.91</b> in/hr 5. FOS: <b>2</b> : 1							
6. Infiltration rate used for design: <b>0.40</b> in/hr							
7. Separation distance between the BMP bottom and bedrock: +2.0 feet							
8. Separation distance between the BMP bottom and seasonal high-water table: +2.0 feet							
9. Comments:							
BMP ID: 2 Soil/geologic test results are attached.							
No. of infiltration tests completed:     1							
2. Method(s) used for infiltration testing: Percolation							
3. Test Pit Identifiers (from PCSM Plan Drawings): INF-1							
4. Avg Infiltration Rate: <b>2.00</b> in/hr 5. FOS: <b>2</b> : 1							
6. Infiltration Rate Used for Design: <b>0.48</b> in/hr							
7. Separation distance between the BMP bottom and bedrock: +2.0 feet							
8. Separation distance between the BMP bottom and seasonal high-water table: +2.0 feet							
9. Comments:							
DMD ID: 2							
BMP ID: 3 Soil/geologic test results are attached.							
No. of infiltration tests completed:     1							
2. Method(s) used for infiltration testing: Percolation							
3. Test Pit Identifiers (from PCSM Plan Drawings): INF-4							
4. Avg Infiltration Rate: <b>0.50</b> in/hr 5. FOS: <b>2</b> : 1							
6. Infiltration Rate Used for Design: <b>0.11</b> in/hr							
7. Separation distance between the BMP bottom and bedrock: +2.0 feet							
8. Separation distance between the BMP bottom and seasonal high-water table: +2.0 feet							
9. Comments:							
INFILTRATION INFORMATION							

BMP ID: 4	Soil/geologic test results are attached.
10. No. of infiltration tests completed: 1	
11. Method(s) used for infiltration testing: Percolation	
12. Test Pit Identifiers (from PCSM Plan Drawings): INF-5	
13. Avg Infiltration Rate: <b>0.82</b> in/hr 14. FOS: 2	2 :1
15. Infiltration rate used for design: <b>0.19</b> in/hr	
16. Separation distance between the BMP bottom and bedrock: +2	.0 feet
17. Separation distance between the BMP bottom and seasonal high-	water table: +2.0 feet
18. Comments:	
BMP ID: 5	Soil/geologic test results are attached.
10. No. of infiltration tests completed: <b>1</b>	
11. Method(s) used for infiltration testing: Percolation	
12. Test Pit Identifiers (from PCSM Plan Drawings): INF-6	
13. Avg Infiltration Rate: 13.50 in/hr 14. FOS: 2	2 :1
15. Infiltration Rate Used for Design: 3.42 in/hr	
16. Separation distance between the BMP bottom and bedrock: +	2.0 feet
17. Separation distance between the BMP bottom and seasonal high-	water table: +2.0 feet
18. Comments:	
BMP ID: 6	Soil/geologic test results are attached.
7. No. of infiltration tests completed: <b>1</b>	
8. Method(s) used for infiltration testing: <b>Percolation</b>	
9. Test Pit Identifiers (from PCSM Plan Drawings): INF-7	
10. Avg Infiltration Rate: <b>0.88</b> in/hr 11. FOS: 2	2 :1
12. Infiltration Rate Used for Design: 0.20 in/hr	
Separation distance between the BMP bottom and bedrock: +2	2.0 feet
10. Separation distance between the BMP bottom and seasonal high-	water table: +2.0 feet
10. Comments:	

		STOR	MWATER A	ANALYSIS -	- PEAK RA	TE			
Surface Water Name	: Wetlands	tributary t	o UNT to the	e Juniata Ri	ver Dis	charge Poi	nt(s): 00	01	
1. The design standard is based on rate requirements in an Act 167 Plan approved by DEP within the past five years.									
2. X The design sta	andard is base	ed on mana	ging the net	change for 2	-, 10-, 50-, a	ınd 100-yea	r/24-hour	storms.	
3.	design standa	ard is being	used.						
4. A printout of D	EP's PCSM S	Spreadshee	t – Rate Wor	ksheet is atta	ached.				
5. 🛛 Alternative rate	e calculations	are attache	ed.						
6. Identify precipitation	on amounts.	Sourc	ce of precipit	ation data:	NOAA				
2-Year/24-Hour St	torm: 2.6	 6	<u> </u>	10-Yea	ır/24-Hour S	torm	3.84		
50-Year/24-Hour S	Storm: 5.2	 5		100-Ye	ear/24-Hour	Storm	5.93		
7. Report peak disch			-construction					ation analysi	<u> </u>
		struction Pe			struction P				
Design Storm	110 00110	(cfs)			(cfs)		D	ifference (c	:fs)
2-Year/24-Hour		2.41		8.11			5.70		
10-Year/24-Hour		4.93		12.04			7.11		
50-Year/24-Hour		8.29		16.69			8.40		
100-Year/24-Hour		9.97		18.92			8.95		
8. Identify all BMPs เ	used to mitigat	te peak rate	differences	and provide	the requeste	ed informati	on.		
BMP ID			Inflow to	BMP (cfs) O			utflow fro	m BMP (cfs	s)
		2-Yr	10-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr	2-Yr	10-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
1		8.11	12.04	16.69	18.92	0.45	1.34	2.07	2.34
9. Report peak rates	for pre-constr	ruction and	post-constru	ction with BN	/IPs and ide	ntify the diffe	erences.		
Design Storm	Pre-Cons	struction Pe (cfs)	eak Rate		struction P th BMPs) (c		D	ifference (c	efs)
2-Year/24-Hour		2.41			1.60			-0.81	
10-Year/24-Hour		4.93			3.50			-1.43	
50-Year/24-Hour		our 8.29 5.55 -2.74 our 9.97 6.48 -3.49							

		STOR	MWATER A	NALYSIS -	- PEAK RA	TE			
Surface Water Name:	Wotlands	tributany te	o LINT to the	e Juniata Ri	vor Disc	charge Poi	nt(s): 00	2	
10.   The design sta			•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		•	ears.
11. X The design sta	ındard is base	ed on manaç	ging the net	change for 2	-, 10-, 50-, a	nd 100-yea	r/24-hour s	torms.	
12. An alternative	design standa	ard is being	used.						
13. A printout of D	EP's PCSM S	Spreadsheet	– Rate Wor	ksheet is att	ached.				
14. Alternative rate	e calculations	are attache	d.						
15. Identify precipitation	on amounts.	Sourc	e of precipita	ation data:	NOAA				
2-Year/24-Hour St	orm: 2.66	6		10-Yea	ar/24-Hour St	torm	3.84		
50-Year/24-Hour S	Storm: 5.25	5		100-Ye	ear/24-Hour S	Storm	5.93		
16. Report peak disch	arge rates, pr	e- and post-	construction	(without BM	1Ps), based o	on a time of	concentra	tion analysi	S.
Design Storm	Pre-Cons	truction Pe	eak Rate	Post-Con	struction Po	eak Rate	Di	fference (c	fs)
2-Year/24-Hour		4.48		12.64			8.16		
10-Year/24-Hour		8.97		19.92			10.95		
50-Year/24-Hour		14.89		28.63			13.74		
100-Year/24-Hour		17.84		32.82			14.98		
17. Identify all BMPs ι	ised to mitigat	te peak rate	differences	and provide	the requeste	ed information	on.		
BMP ID		Inflow to		<del></del>		0	utflow fro	m BMP (cfs	5)
		2-Yr	10-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr	2-Yr	10-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
2		1.14	4.05	11.25	16.56	0.14	2.14	8.14	10.99
3		1.04	4.28	11.74	16.39	0.45	3.87	10.72	15.48
4		2.04	2.96	4.07	4.60	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.34
5		1.65	6.51	13.86	16.31	0.41	4.00	10.79	14.65
6		5.29	8.29	11.82	13.52	0.35	4.74	10.31	12.26
				1					
18. Report peak rates	for pre-constr	uction and <sub>l</sub>	oost-constru	_l ction with BN	⊥ ⁄IPs and ider	ntify the diffe	erences.		
Design Storm	Pre-Cons	truction Pe (cfs)	eak Rate	Post-Construction Peak Rate (with BMPs) (cfs)			Difference (cfs)		
2-Year/24-Hour		4.48			1.83			-2.65	
10-Year/24-Hour		8.97			5.02		-3.95		
50-Year/24-Hour		14.89			13.49			-1.40	
100-Year/24-Hour		17.84			17.29			-0.55	

	STORMWATER ANAI	LYSIS - WATER O	QUALITY
□ A printout of D	EP's PCSM Spreadsheet – Quality Worksh	eet is attached for a	ll surface waters receiving discharges.
	LONG-	TERM O&M	
Describe the long-	term operation and maintenance (O&M) red	quirements for each	selected PCSM BMP.
BMP ID		O&M Requirem	ents
	See Sheet PCSM 3 for specific ma	aintenance require PCSM BMP	ments specified for the different types of s
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	Terre Arch subsurface Infiltration Be	ed	
7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 13, 14, & 15	Water Quality Inlet Insert		
_		N DEVELOPER	
	nd experienced in PCSM methods.		sed professional.
Name:	Benjamin S. Piper, P.E.	Title:	Senior Designer
Company:	Keller Engineers, Inc.	Phone No.:	814-696-7430
Address:	P.O. Box 61	Email:	bpiper@keller-engineers.com
-	Hollidaysburg, PA 16648	License No.:	PE086344
License Type:	Registered Professional Engineer	Exp. Date	09/30/23
,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	PCSM Plan Developer Signature	09/08	
	FOOM Flan Developer Signature	Date	



## **General Information**

Instructions Gen	eral Volume Rate Qua	ality	
Project Name:	Huntingdon Rutter's Store 93	Application Type:	PAG-02 NOI
County:	Huntingdon	Municipality:	Smithfield Township
Project Type:	Commercial Building	New Project	O Minor / Major Amendment
Area: (In Watershed)	<b>4.76</b> acres	Total Earth Disturba (In Watershed)	nce: 4.61 acres
No. of Post-Constr	uction Discharge Points: 1	Start DP Numbering	at: <b>002</b>

Discharge Point (DP) No.	Drainage Area (DA) (acres)	Earth Disturbance in DA (acres)	Existing Impervious in DA (acres)	Proposed Impervious in DA (acres)	Receiving Waters	Ch. 93 Class	Structural BMP(s)
002	4.76	4.61	0.15	2.70	wetlands tributary to UNT Juniata River	WWF	Yes
Undetained Areas					wetlands tributary to UNT to Juniata River	WWF	

Totals: 4.76 4.61 0.15 2.7

**Project: Huntingdon Rutter's Store 93** 



## **Volume Management**

Instructions General Volume Rate Quality						
2-Year / 24-Hour Storm Event (NOAA Atlas 14): 2.66 inches	Alternative 2-Ye	ar / 24-Hour Sto	rm Event		inches	
	Alternative Sour					
Pre-Construction Conditions:  No. Rows:   Exempt	from Meadow ir	Good Condition	a ☑ Automo	atically Calcu	ılate CN, Ia, Runc	off and Volume
Land Cover	Area (acres)	Soil Group	CN	la (in)	Q Runoff (in)	Runoff Volume (cf
Pervious as Meadow	4.49	D	78	0.564	0.89	14,563
Impervious Areas: Paved Parking Lots, Roofs, Driveways, Etc. (Excluding ROW)	0.12	D	98	0.041	2.43	1,058
TOTAL (ACRES):	4.61	•		•	TOTAL (CF):	15,621
Post-Construction Conditions: No. Rows: 2						
Land Cover	Area (acres)	Soil Group	CN	la (in)	Q Runoff (in)	Runoff Volume (cf
Impervious Areas: Paved Parking Lots, Roofs, Driveways, Etc. (Excluding ROW)	2.70	D	98	0.041	2.43	23,815
Open Space (Lawns, Parks, Golf Courses, Cemeteries, Etc.) - Good Condition (Grass Cover > 75%)	1.91	D	80	0.500	1.00	6,942
TOTAL (ACRES):	4.61		•		TOTAL (CF):	30,757
		<b>JET</b>	CHANGE IN	VOLUME TO	MANAGE (CF):	15,135
Non-Structural BMP Volume Credits:						
☐ Tree Planting Credit						

Other (attach calculations):	
------------------------------	--

**Structural BMP Volume Credits:** 

No. Structural BMPs:

5

Start BMP Numbering at:

2

DP No.	BMP No.	BMP Name	MRC?	Discharge	Incrementa I BMP DA (acres)	Volume Routed to BMP (CF)	Infiltration / Vegetated Area (SF)	Infiltration	Infiltration Period (hrs)	_	Media Depth (ft)	Storage Volume (CF)	Infiltration Credit (CF)	ET Credit (CF)
002	2	Infiltration Bed A		Off-Site	0.30	9,793	4,480	0.48	72	No		7,384	9,319	
002	3	Infiltration Bed C		to BMP No. 2	0.29	8,967	2,880	0.11	72	No		1,520	1,711	
002	4	Infiltration Bed D		to BMP No.	0.57	4,713	4,800	0.19	72	No		4,713	4,713	
002	5	Infiltration Bed E		to BMP No.	0.55	3,447	4,800	3.42	72	No		3,447	3,447	
002	6	Infiltration Bed F		to BMP No. 5	1.76	11,032	5,120	0.20	72	No		0	922	

20,112 Totals:

**INFILTRATION & ET CREDITS (CF):** 20,112

NET CHANGE IN VOLUME TO MANAGE (CF): 15,135 20,112

**TOTAL CREDITS (CF):** 

**VOLUME REQUIREMENT SATISFIED** 

**Project: Huntingdon Rutter's Store 93** 



### **Rate Control**

Instructions General Volume	Rate	Quality	
Precipitation Amounts:			
NOAA 2-Year 24-Hour Storm Event (in):	2.66	Alternative 2-Year 24-Hour Storm Event (in):	
NOAA 10-Year 24-Hour Storm Event (in):		Alternative 10-Year 24-Hour Storm Event (in):	
NOAA 50-Year 24-Hour Storm Event (in):		Alternative 50-Year 24-Hour Storm Event (in):	
NOAA 100-Year 24-Hour Storm Event (in):		Alternative 100-Year 24-Hour Storm Event (in):	

#### **☑** Report Summary of Peak Rates Only

Attach model input and output data or other calculations to support the rates reported below.

	Ped	ak Discharge Rates (c	fs)
	Pre-Construction	Post-Construction	Net Change
2-Year Storm:	4.48	0.12	-4.36
10-Year Storm:	8.97	1.90	-7.07
50-Year Storm:	14.89	8.56	-6.33
100-Year Storm:	17.84	11.48	-6.36

Rate Control Satisfied Rate Control Satisfied Rate Control Satisfied Rate Control Satisfied

DP No.	ВМР	RMD Namo		BMP Name		lı	nflow to	BMP (cf	s)	Outflow from BMP (cfs)			
DP NO.	No.	DIVIP IVAILLE	MR	2-yr	10-yr	50-yr	100-yr	2-yr	10-yr	50-yr	100-yr		
002	2	Infiltration Bed A											

002	3	Infiltration Bed C					
002	4	Infiltration Bed D					
002	5	Infiltration Bed E					
002	6	Infiltration Bed F					



## **Water Quality**

**Project: Huntingdon Rutter's Store 93** 

**PRINT** 

Instructions General Volume Rate Quality

#### **Pre-Construction Pollutant Loads:**

Pervious as Meadow Grassland/Herbaceous 4.49 D 14,563 48.8 0.22 2.30 44.38 0.20 2.09  Impervious Areas: Paved Parking Lots, Roofs, Driveways, Etc. (Excluding ROW)  Residential 0.12 D 1,058 65.0 0.29 2.05 4.30 0.02 0.14	Land Cover (from Volume Worksheet)	Land Cover for Water	Area (acres)	Soil	Volume	Polluta	nt Conc.	(mg/L)	Pollutant Loads (lbs)		
Impervious Areas: Paved Parking Lots, Roofs,  Residential 0.12 D 1.058 65.0 0.29 2.05 4.30 0.02 0.14	Land Cover (from Volume Worksheet)	Quality		Group		TSS	TP	TN	TSS	TP	TN
Residential   1 0.12   D   1.058   1.65 0   0.29   2.05   4.30   0.02   0.14	Pervious as Meadow	Grassland/Herbaceous	4.49	D	14,563	48.8	0.22	2.30	44.38	0.20	2.09
	,	Residential	0.12	D	1,058	65.0	0.29	2.05	4.30	0.02	0.14

TOTAL (ACRES): 4.61 TOTALS: 48.67 0.22 2.23

#### Post-Construction Pollutant Loads (without BMPs):

Land Cover (from Volume Worksheet)	Land Cover for Water	Area	Soil	Runoff Volume (cf)	Polluta	nt Conc.	(mg/L)	Pollutant Loads (lbs)		
Land Cover (from Volume Worksheet)	Quality	(acres)	Group		TSS	TP	TN	TSS	TP	TN
Impervious Areas: Paved Parking Lots, Roofs, Driveways, Etc. (Excluding ROW)	Residential	2.70	D	23,815	65.0	0.29	2.05	96.66	0.43	3.05
Open Space (Lawns, Parks, Golf Courses, Cemeteries, Etc.) - Good Condition (Grass Cover > 75%)	Open Space	1.91	D	6,942	78.0	0.25	1.25	33.81	0.11	0.54

TOTAL (ACRES): 4.61 TOTALS: 130.47 0.54 3.59

1.36

<b>Characterize Undetained Areas</b>	(for Untreated Stormwater)
Characterize Officeanica Areas	(101 Officialed Stoffilwater)

Land Cover	Area (acres)	Soil Group	CN	la (in)	Q Runoff (in)	Runoff Volume (cf)

#### **Non-Structural BMP Water Quality Credits:**

Dorvious	Undetained	Aroa	Cradit
Pervious	unaetainea	Area	Crean

☐ Other (attach calculations)

#### **Structural BMP Water Quality Credits:**

☑ Use default BMP Outflows and Median BMP Outflow Concentrations

DP No.	ВМР	BMP Name	MRC?	BMP DA	Vol. Routed	Inf. & ET	Capture & Buffer	Outflow	Outflo	w Conc.	(mg/L)	Pollutant Loads (lbs)		
DP NO.	No.	DIVIP Name	M	(acres)	to BMP (CF)	Credits (CF)	Credits (CF)	(CF)	TSS	TP	TN	TSS	TP	TN
002	2	Infiltration Bed A		0.30	9,793	9,319		474						
002	3	Infiltration Bed C		0.29	8,967	1,711		7,256	1	-	-	1	-	-
002	4	Infiltration Bed D		0.57	4,713	4,713		0	-	-	-	-	-	-
002	5	Infiltration Bed E		0.55	3,447	3,447		0	-	-	-	-	-	-
002	6	Infiltration Bed F		1.76	11,032	922		10,110	1	1	1	1	-	-

POLLUTANT LOADS FROM STRUCTURAL BMP (TREATED) OUTFLOWS (LBS):

TSS	TP	TN
0.00	0.00	0.00

POLLUTANT LOADS FROM UNTREATED STORMWATER (LBS):	43.15	0.18	1.19
NON-STRUCTURAL BMP WATER QUALITY CREDITS (LBS):			
NET POLLUTANT LOADS FROM SITE, POST-CONSTRUCTION (LBS):	43.15	0.18	1.19
POLLUTANT LOADS FROM SITE, PRE-CONSTRUCTION (LBS):	48.67	0.22	2.23

WATER QUALITY REQUIREMENT SATISFIED

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I certify under penalty of law and subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities) that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I further certify that the structure, function, and calculations contained in this spreadsheet have not been modified in comparison to the spreadsheet DEP has posted to its website or, if modifications were made, an explanation of the modifications made is attached to this spreadsheet.

Ben Piper		9/8/2022
Spreadsheet User Name	_	Date