Community Level Biomonitoring for Per and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in Pennsylvania

Anil Nair, Ph.D., MPH. Division of Environmental Health Epidemiology Bureau of Epidemiology Pennsylvania Department of Health

Citizens Advisory Council Meeting, September 17, 2019



Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS)

- Man-made chemicals
- Used in protective surfaces and things that have waterrepellant coatings
- PFAS-containing firefighting foam













EPA Health Advisory Levels

2009 - Provisional Health Advisory Levels (PHAL)

• 0.4 ug/L for PFOA and 0.2 microgram per liter (ug/L) for PFOS (400 and 200 parts per trillion, respectively)

May 2016 - Lifetime Health Advisory Level (LHAL)

- 70 parts per trillion or 0.07 ug/L for PFOS and PFOA combined.
 - PFOA Perfluorooctanoic acid
 - PFOS Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid





- Former Naval Air Warfare Center (NAWC)-Warminster Twp. Bucks County (840 acre site)
 - In operation from 1940s-1997
 - Firefighter training activities using foams containing PFAS







NAWC

- 2013: PFAS detected in ground water
- 2014: All contaminated public wells taken out of service
- 2015: PFAS found in 93 out of 100 private wells within a 1-3 mile radius
- Private well owners were given bottled water



Background

- Former Naval Air Station Joint Reserve base and Horsham Air Guard Station (1,200 acre site)
 - In operation from 1920s-2011

Contamination in two public water systems
2014: Five public wells were taken out of service



• 2016: EPA released LHAL; Additional wells were taken out of service; Private well owners were given bottled water



PFAS Exposure in Community

- Levels 21 times higher (1,440 ppt) than EPA's health advisory level (70 ppt) found in a municipal well in one PWS area
- Assumed to have been exposed for a long time nearly 50 years
- Wide range of exposure:
 - Some municipal wells had no levels of PFAS
 - Some municipal wells had levels of PFAS much higher than national guideline



PEATT Pilot Project

- CDC/ATSDR has developed a toolkit to conduct biomonitoring for PFAS
- Pennsylvania was chosen for the pilot program to evaluate the toolkit
- Feedback from this project
 - Will be used to improve the toolkit
 - Will support a larger, national study



PFAS Exposure in Southeastern PA

• Affected area = Population of 84,184 (2010 census)



Participant Selection

- Aimed to select 500 participants
- We mailed eligibility information forms and invitation letters to 600 randomly selected households
- Eligibility form included:
 - How many in each household?
 - How many lived there prior to July 1, 2016?
 - How many willing to participate?



Participant Selection- Response Rates

- > Total households contacted: 600
- Total households responded: 276
- Household level response rate: 46%
- Number of eligible participants identified: 584 (including 113 kids aged 3-17 years)
- Number of eligible participants who completed the questionnaire and the informed consent form: 305
- Number of eligible participants who completed paperwork AND provided blood samples: 235 – from 118 households
- Individual participation rate: 40% (235 out of 584)
- > Household level participation rate: **19.6%** (118 out of 600 contacted)



PEATT Pilot Project

- Weekly clinics in Bucks and Montgomery Counties to draw the blood samples
- From May through September 2018
- 235 samples obtained and sent to Wadsworth Laboratory in the New York State Health Department
- Wadsworth returned all results to DOH, and all 235 participants were notified of their individual results along with information on national and community averages



Reading Individual Results (first letter)

For an ADULT age 20 or older (unit: microgram/L)

Your

number was

in *italicized*

bold if it

the 95th

exceeded

percentile

			ι	JS Populatior	n - Age group		
PFAS chemicals measured in	Concentration	3-11	years	12-19	years	20 plus years	
your blood	found in your blood	Geometric mean	95th percentile	Geometric mean	95th percentile	Geometric mean	95th percentil
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	3.52	1.92	4.19	1.66	3.47	1.98	5.60
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	9.60	3.88	11.00	3.54	9.30	5.22	19.50
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	8.37	0.84	3.12	1.27	6.30	1.36	5.50
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	0.80	0.79	3.26	0.60	2.00	0.69	2.00
Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBuS)	ND	*	<0.10**	*	<0.10**	*	<0.10**
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDeA)	ND	*	0.37	0.14	0.40	0.19	0.80
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA)	ND	*	<0.10**	*	0.20	*	0.20
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	ND	*	0.21	*	0.20	*	0.10
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (PFOSA)	ND	*	<0.10**	*	<0.10**	*	<0.10**
2-(N-Methyl-perfluorooctane sulfonamido) acetic acid (MeFOSAA)	ND	*	1.02	*	0.60	*	0.60
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUA)	0.95	*	0.28	*	0.20	*	0.50

This is the NHANES average

95% of population is below this number

ND or * means "non detect"levels are so tiny they cannot be detected



Above results from NHANES 2013-2014, except PFOSA which is from 2011-2012.

PEATT Pilot Project Timeline

July April Mav June August September October November December Sept 5th: Final April 30th Nov 19th: Community level analysis July 25th: DOH Weekly conference calls Notices sent to sent to participants presentation at EPA participants to return established between Nov 30th: PFAS Action Team public community meeting DOH and stakeholders in paperwork meeting NY, BOL, Bucks County, Sept 13th: Community Aug 8th: First test results Montgomery County update with DOH received from laboratory presentation at Dept Oct 18th: DOH presents to PFAS Action May 1st: Initial Letters and Eligibility Aug 22nd: Second round of of Defense Team Forms sent to 350 households in test results received from Restoration Advisory affected water supply area Oct 22nd: Fourth round of test results laboratory Board Meeting (RAB) received from laboratory May 16th: First Community Meeting to Sept 17th: Final describe PEATT Pilot Project June 7th: Began reminder Oct 23rd: Individual Results sent to Notices sent to

May 25th: Initial Letters and Eligibility Forms sent to additional 250 households in affected area

May 29th: First Blood Draw clinic scheduled

Clinics continued through September 22nd

May 30th: Community update with DOH presentation at Dept of Defense Restoration Advisory Board Meeting (RAB)

emails and phone calls to participants who had not returned paperwork

June 21**: Began reminder emails and phone calls to participants who returned paperwork, but had not scheduled clinic appointments

Reminders continued through September

participants for clinic appointments

Sept 19th: PFAS Action Team created by PA Governor's Office

Sept 21**: Third round of test results received from laboratory

Sept 25-26th: Individual Results sent to most participants

remaining participants

Dec 18th: Final call with ASTHO with feedback on PEATT Pilot Project

Dec 19th: Final Project Report released to community

Dec 19th: Final Community Meeting to present results/analysis to community



PEATT Project Demographics - Comparison

	Study Participants (%)	Community (%)	U.S. (%)					
Age	Age							
Under 12 years	5.1	14.9	15.8					
12 to 19 years	8.1	10.9	11.2					
20+ years	86.8	74.2	73.0					
Sex								
Male	44.3	48.8	49.2					
Female	55.7	51.2	50.8					
Race/Ethnicity	Race/Ethnicity							
Hispanic or Latino	0	5.1	16.3					
White	94.5	85.6	63.7					
Black	0	3.0	12.2					
Asian	0.4	4.8	4.7					
Other	5.1	1.5	3.0					
Education Level (18+ years old)								
Lower than College	20.6	34.7	40.5					
Some College or more	74.2	65.3	59.5					
Other	5.3	0.0	0.0					

Study group determined by water service area, community determined by Warrington, Warminster, Horsham Twps., and Ivyland Borough



Study Demographics



- Average age 49 years
- 66 percent had college education or higher
- 12 percent were ever employed on a military base



Study Demographics



 82 percent used public water



11 PFAS tested for

```
Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS)
Perfluorohepatnoic acid (PFHpA)
Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS)
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDeA)
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUA)
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA)
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (PFOSA)
2-(N-Methyl-perfluorooctane sulfonamido) acetic acid (MeFOSAA)
```



PFAS Detected

- Tested for 11 PFAS compounds
 - Four compounds were commonly detected
 - PFOS in 235 participants (100%)
 - PFHxS in 233 participants (99.1%)
 - PFOA in 232 participants (98.7%)
 - PFNA in 185 participants (78.7%)
 - All four detected in 79 percent of participants



PFAS Detected

- Of the remaining seven compounds-
 - PFDeA was found in 14 participants
 - MeFOSAA was found in nine participants
 - PFUA in eight participants
 - PFHpA in one participant



Serum PFAS Levels (ug/L)

Four most commonly found PFAS:

	Comr	nunity R	esults (I	n=235)	NHANES (2013-	Results -2014)
PFAS Compound	Average	95% Confidence Interval	Median	Range	Average	95% Confidence Interval
PFOA	3.13	2.81-3.50	3.06	0.55-24.8	1.94	1.76-2.14
PFOS	10.24	8.86-11.83	9.86	1.02-105.00	4.99	4.50-5.52
PFHxS	6.64	5.51-7.99	6.61	0.54-116.00	1.35	1.20-1.52
PFNA	0.74	0.67-0.80	0.76	0.50-2.56	0.68	0.61-0.74

Range excludes <LOD



Serum PFAS Levels – Univariate Analyses

- Age
- Male gender
- Residence time
- BMI
- Private well use
- Quantity of tap water consumed
- Water service area's proximity to military base



PFAS Exposure in Southeastern PA

• Affected area = population of 84,184 (2010 census)



Serum PFAS Levels (ug/L) by Public Water System (PWS) Area- Current Address

PFAS Compound	HWSA (n=69)		WMA (n=98)		WTWSD (n=41)		WTWSD/NWWA (n=27)	
	Average	95% C.I.	Average	95% C.I.	Average	95% C.I.	Average	95% C.I.
PFOA	3.69	2.99-4.56	3.17	2.71-3.71	3.35	2.62-4.29	1.78	1.44-2.20
PFOS	12.38	9.47-16.19	10.06	8.06-12.57	11.47	8.69-15.15	5.65	4.17-7.67
PFHxS	8.81	6.28-12.37	6.98	5.32-9.16	6.56	4.61-9.33	2.72	1.72-4.30
PFNA	0.79	0.68-0.92	0.72	0.62-0.84	0.78	0.66-0.94	0.59	0.51-0.67

Significant difference in levels of all four PFAS (P≤0.05 for all) among PWS areas

WTWSD/NWWA had lower serum PFAS levels

Includes all drinking water sources



PFAS Compound	HWSA (n=1)		WMA (n=10)		WTWSD (n=3)		WTWSD/NWWA (n=6)	
	Average	95% C.I.	Average	95% C.I.	Average	95% C.I.	Average	95% C.I.
PFOA	7.78	7.78-7.78	3.23	2.30-4.55	4.87	2.43-9.79	2.33	1.27-4.28
PFOS	23.60	23.60-23.60	12.59	8.36-18.97	15.94	7.19-35.33	7.55	5.86-9.74
PFHxS	25.90	25.90-25.90	8.05	4.48-14.47	11.75	8.99-15.35	2.29	0.99-5.28
PFNA	1.44	1.44-1.44	0.76	0.58-0.99	0.96	0.68-1.35	0.69	0.37-1.31

WTWSD/NWWA had lower serum PFAS levels



PFAS Compound	HWSA (n=61)		WMA (n=83)		WTWSD (n=31)		WTWSD/NWWA (n=18)	
	Average	95% C.I.	Average	95% C.I.	Average	95% C.I.	Average	95% C.I.
PFOA	3.65	2.89-4.60	3.24	2.73-3.84	3.63	2.76-4.78	1.63	1.25-2.11
PFOS	12.17	9.03-16.39	10.06	7.89- 12.83	12.39	9.08-16.91	4.53	3.51-5.85
PFHxS	8.90	6.11-12.96	7.19	5.31-9.73	7.69	5.41-10.92	2.42	1.55-3.79
PFNA	0.76	0.65-0.89	0.72	0.60-0.85	0.81	0.66-0.99	0.56	0.51-0.61

Significant difference ($P \le 0.05$) in levels of all four PFAS compounds

WTWSD/NWWA had lower serum PFAS levels



Serum PFAS Levels (ug/L) by Water Source - Public vs. Private Well

Overall, private well users had slightly higher levels of PFOA, PFOS, and PFNA than public water users, but not PFHxS



Public well (n=193), Private well (n=20) Differences in levels not statistically significant (P>0.05 for all)



Serum PFAS Levels and Self-Reported Health Conditions

- Elevated cholesterol was the most frequently reported health condition
- Those reporting elevated cholesterol also had higher PFAS levels (all four compounds)
- Those reporting endocrine disruption had higher levels of PFOA and PFHxS
- Those with cancer had higher levels of PFOA and PFNA



Multivariate Analysis (n=204)

- Demographic characteristics:
 - Age
 - Gender
 - Education
- Exposure characteristics:
 - Water source at current address
 - HSWA, WMA, WTWSD, WTWSD/NWWA, private well, other
 - Quantity of water consumed at current address
 - Total length of residence in the study area
 - Employment information ever employed on a base in the area
- Health information:
 - Health status
 - BMI



Multivariate Analysis

- Serum PFAS (PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS and PFNA) levels were positively associated with total length of residence in the study area.
- Those who lived in the area for 10 years or more had higher PFAS serum levels compared to those who lived in the area less than 10 yrs.).

Total Length of Residence	PFOA percent higher	PFOS percent higher	PFHxS percent higher	PFNA percent higher
10-19 yrs	22.5%	89.1%	49.8%	17.3%
20-29 yrs	27.7%	66.0%	67.6%	5.8%
30-39 yrs	38.9%	77.9%	65.4%	46.1%
40+ yrs	55.4%	124.3%	171.8%	17.0%

Bold = statistically significant ($p \le 0.05$)



Multivariate Analysis

- In general, PFAS levels were higher the closer the water source was to the military base.
- Water sources were compared to the source farthest from the military bases (WTWSD/NWWA reference group):

Drinking water source	PFOA percent higher	PFOS percent higher	PFHxS percent higher	PFNA percent higher
HWSA	157.4%	168.5%	257.2%	33.6%
WMA	104.5%	88.5%	137.4%	15.3%
WTWSD	94%	98.7%	113.9%	10.4%
Other (bottled water, unknown)	78.1%	97.84%	77.2%	29.6%
Private Well	105.9%	101.24%	97.9	38.6%

Bold= statistically significant $p \le 0.05$) WTWSD/NWWA reference group



Multivariate Analysis

- Average PFHxS serum levels 32% higher in men
- Average PFHxS serum levels 35% higher in employed than never employed in study area (self-reported)
- Average PFOA serum level of participants consuming 4 to 7 cups of tap water daily was 29% higher than participants consuming 0 to 3 cups daily
- Average serum levels of PFOA, PFOS and PFNA increased with participant age



Summary

- 4 PFAS compounds were consistently detected (PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS and PFNA)
- 75%, 81%, 94% and 59% of the study participants had levels exceeding the national average for PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS and PFNA respectively
- Serum levels associated with:
 - Receiving water from select public water systems
 - Total length of residence in the study area
 - Age of the study participants
 - Employment in the study area
 - Quantity of daily tap water consumption



PA-Specific Changes to PEATT

Sample Selection

- Used eligibility information form because of exposure cut-off date
- Modified Consent/Assent form

Questionnaires

- Questionnaires had to be reworded to reflect past exposure
- Questions had to be added considering multiple residences
- Excluded questions on other sources of exposure (soil, fish, food)
- Created detailed instructions for collection, handling, storage, and shipment of samples
- Modified results letter: Initial and final letters



Recommendations

• Selection Process

- Option to include volunteer participants and special categories of exposure (i.e. veterans)
- Create initial eligibility form to determine number of participants in a household. This facilitates sending the correct number of forms to a household, along with return postage-paid envelopes

• Questionnaires

- Need to accommodate for long duration of exposure
- Fewer open-ended questions and more structured, multiple choice questions for health conditions



Recommendations

• Participant Drop-out

- Paper questionnaire visually overwhelming- consider online survey options with built-in "skips" to lessen the perceived burden
- Streamline the participation process- possible online scheduling for clinics
- Consider visiting nurses/teams to collect information
- Possible tokens of appreciation
- Results Process
 - Letter templates complete for information and numbers, but limited in psychological comfort for those with high levels



Communicating the Risks of PFAS

- Cancer Data Review (1985–2013) with Addendums 1 and 2
 - A review of cancer incidence rates in Horsham, Warminster and Warrington
- Fact sheets
 - PFAS FAQs
- Presented at 5 PFAS community meetings including the Willow Grove Air Station Restoration Advisory Board meetings
- Participated in Medical Grand Rounds in hospitals
- Always available to answer citizen emails and phone calls



2

Communicating the Risks of PFAS

- PEATT Pilot Project gave some residents the chance to find out about their own individual exposures.
- PEATT Pilot Project recruitment letter sent to 600 households
 Included information on the limitations of interpreting the results of PFAS biomonitoring
- Results letters included information to share with physicians
- Responded to several media inquiries



PFAS Responses - Pennsylvania

PFAS Action Team

Governor's Executive Order in September 2018

Members:

- Secretaries of
 - Department of Environmental Protection
 - Department of Health
 - Department of Military and Veteran Affairs
 - Department of Community and Economic Development
 - Department of Transportation
 - Department of Agriculture AND
 - State Fire Commissioner



PFAS Action Team - Functions

- Ensure drinking water is safe
- Identify impacted locations and develop response protocols for identified sites
- Engage stakeholders to develop site-specific plans
- Reduce risk to drinking water from potential sources
- Establish a site to inform and educate the public about PFAS
- Explore funding avenues for remediation efforts
- Engage with academic institutions, public health and environmental
 - remediation experts



PFAS Responses - Pennsylvania

- Regular participation in DoD's Restoration Advisory Board Community meetings
- Participation in Environmental Exposure Assessment Efforts in Other DoD Sites
- Participation in PEATT Expansion Project
- Multi-site National Health Study



Our Partners

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
- Association of State and Territorial Health Officials (ASTHO)
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)
- Bucks County Health Department
- Montgomery County Health Department
- New York State Health Department Laboratory



PEATT Pilot Project Team

- Dr. Sharon Watkins
- Dr. Anil Nair
- Dr. Marshal Ma
- Susan Schrack Wood
- Dr. Farhad Ahmed



Should you have any questions, feel free to contact us at <u>env.health.concern@pa.gov</u> or by phone at 717-787-3350

For more information:

https://www.health.pa.gov/topics/envirohealth/Pages/PFAS.aspx



THANK YOU!

Questions?

