

## **ENCLOSURE C DESCRIPTION OF AQUATIC HABITAT**

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco) is submitting an application to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) for a Joint Permit under the Chapter 105 Pennsylvania Water Obstruction and Encroachment guidelines. This permit application only addresses the portions of the Project located in Pennsylvania, subject to PA Code Title 25 Chapter 105 and within the jurisdiction of the Northeast, North Central and South Central Regions of the PA DEP. For Project-related impacts to waters of the United States subject to jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, Transco has applied for an Individual Permit with the **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)** Baltimore District. Transco applied for a 401 Water Quality Certification for the entire Project on April 9, 2015 at the direction of PA DEP. Attachment J of this permit application includes a Project Description that further details the purpose and need and components of the proposed Atlantic Sunrise Project.

The Project is located primarily within the Susquehanna River drainage basin subregion (4-digit HUC) which is within the Lower Susquehanna Major Basin (6-digit HUC) and the Lower Susquehanna Subbasin (8-digit HUC). The Project is located in watersheds with water quality classifications identified as High Quality- Cold Water Fishes (HQ-CWF) and Migratory Fishes (MF), Cold Water Fishes (CWF) and MF, Warm Water Fishes (WWF) and MF, and Trout Stocked Fishes (TSF) and MF according to PA Code Title 25 Chapter 93.

The Wetland Delineation and Stream Identification Report in Section L, Enclosure A, provides additional information regarding specific streams and **waterbodies-watercourses** in the Project study area. The total construction workspace associated with the Project located in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania equals approximately **667.55667-97** acres. To date, nearly **8491.0 percent%** of the workspace (**30.84-pipeline miles**) within Lancaster County has been surveyed for aquatic habitats including wetlands and waterways. Only those resources that have been identified in the field (ground truthed) are included in this Environmental Assessment Form. Additional surveys are being completed on properties approved for access during the 2015 field season. The wetland and **waterbody-watercourse** boundaries for ground truthed resources

were reviewed in the field and verified by the ~~U.S. Army Corps of Engineers~~ USACE and PA DEP in June 2015. Field surveys conducted to date identified 168 wetlands and 38 48 watercourses located within the Project construction workspace.

## **A. Aquatic Habitat**

### **A1. Food Chain Production**

Intermittent and perennial streams in the Project study area contribute to aquatic and terrestrial food chains by serving as a breeding site for fish, insects, and amphibians. Larger wetlands that are inundated for extended periods of time may also contribute to food chain production. The larval forms of many insects and macroinvertebrates are aquatic, and amphibians spend all or part of their life cycle in aquatic habitats. Insects and amphibians produced in aquatic habitats can be locally important food organisms for a variety of fish, reptiles, birds, and mammals. Moreover, wetlands include plant food sources that may be used by a number of bird, and mammal species. Emergent plant species providing food value to wildlife include bulrush, sedge, and grass species. Shrub and woody vegetation growing within wetlands identified as PFO and PSS contain plants that may be used as a food source by various bird species. PEM wetlands can provide food to various bird species as well.

### **A2. General Habitat**

Transco delineated and classified wetland resources within the Project area through field surveys conducted in 2014, and 2015, and 2016. Wetlands crossed by the Project in Pennsylvania were field delineated in accordance with the United States Army Corps of Engineers USACE Wetlands Delineation Manual (~~Environmental Laboratory~~ USACE 1987), the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region (Version 2.0) (USACE 2012).

The USFWS wetland classification system described by Cowardin, et al. (1979) was used to classify wetlands delineated within the Project area. The wetlands within the Project area were identified as PFO, PSS, and PEM, or a combination of these three Cowardin classes.

Palustrine systems include all non-tidal wetlands that are dominated by trees, shrubs, persistent

emergents, and emergent mosses or lichens and all wetlands that occur in tidal areas where salinity due to ocean-derived salts is below 0.5 percent. The palustrine system was developed to group vegetated wetlands, commonly referred to as marshes, swamps, bogs, and prairies. This system includes ponds and may be situated shoreward of lakes, river channels, estuaries, and river floodplains or in isolated catchments or on slopes (Cowardin et al. 1979).

To obtain regional relevance, the Cowardin classes can be described according to the *Terrestrial and Palustrine Plant Communities of Pennsylvania* (Fike 1999). Vascular plant species nomenclature presented in this section is from *The Plants of Pennsylvania* (Block and Rhoads 2007). The classification system used by Fike (1999) defines plant communities as “an assemblage of plant populations sharing a common environment and interacting with each other, with animal populations, and with the physical environment.” Though Fike classifications were not specifically conducted for each delineated wetland, those described in this chapter were noted to be present and associated with at least some of the mapped wetlands within the Project area.

#### Palustrine Forested Wetlands (PFO)

Cowardin class PFOs are characterized by woody vegetation that is 6 meters (approximately 20 feet) tall or taller and normally include an overstory of trees, an understory of young trees or shrubs, and an herbaceous layer (Cowardin et al. 1979). According to *Terrestrial and Palustrine Plant Communities of Pennsylvania* (Fike 1999), areas in which the canopy is closed or nearly closed, with 60 to 100 percent tree cover, are classified as Palustrine Forests. Areas in which the tree cover is sparse (between 10 and 60 percent cover) are classified as Palustrine Woodlands. Shrubs may be present in these areas, but they do not dominate the community. Herbaceous and non-vascular plant covers also are known to occur in Palustrine Woodlands. Fike (1999) palustrine forest communities correlating to Project area PFO wetlands include the following:

##### *Coniferous Palustrine Forests: Hemlock - Mixed Hardwood Palustrine Forest*

This community type is dominated by a mixture of conifers and hardwood species and typically is characterized by a mineral soil or muck over mineral soil and by some groundwater enrichment. Eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*) comprises between 25 and 75 percent of the

canopy. Other conifer species include white pine (*Pinus strobus*) and red spruce (*Picea rubens*). Yellow birch (*Betula lenta*), red maple (*Acer rubrum*), black ash (*Fraxinus nigra*), black gum (*Nyssa sylvatica*), and gray birch (*Betula populifolia*) are the predominant hardwood species found in these communities. Common shrubs include highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum*), winterberry (*Ilex verticillata*), and swamp azalea (*Rhododendron viscosum*). Typical herbaceous vegetation includes cinnamon fern (*Osmunda cinnamomea*), sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*), sedge species (*Carex* spp.), and skunk cabbage (*Symplocarpus foetidus*). Sphagnum moss (*Sphagnum* spp.) often is found in hemlock-mixed hardwood palustrine forest communities (Fike 1999).

*Coniferous - Broadleaf Palustrine Woodlands: Hemlock - Mixed Hardwood Palustrine Woodland*

This category describes a group of wetland forests dominated by a mixture of conifers and hardwood species. The substrate usually is mineral soil or muck over mineral soil. There is generally some groundwater enrichment in these systems. Eastern hemlock contributes 25 percent to 75 percent of the canopy. Other conifer species that may occur with hemlock include eastern white pine, red spruce, and tamarack (*Larix laricina*). The most common hardwood species are yellow birch, red maple, black ash, black gum, and gray birch. Rosebay (*Rhododendron maximum*) often forms a dense understory; other shrubs include highbush blueberry, winterberry, swamp azalea, and witherod (*Viburnum cassinoides*). Herbaceous species include cinnamon fern, sedges (e.g., *Carex trisperma*, *Carex prasina*, *Carex leptalea*), violets (*Viola* spp.), skunk-cabbage, false hellebore (*Veratrum viride*), sensitive fern, partridge-berry (*Mitchella repens*), gold-thread (*Coptis trifolia*), Canada mayflower (*Maianthemum canadense*), crested wood fern (*Dryopteris cristata*), and purple-stemmed aster (*Symphotrichum puniceum*). The bryophyte layer usually is well developed and may be dominated by sphagnum (Fike 1999).

*Broadleaf Woodlands: Red Maple – Mixed Shrub Palustrine Woodland*

This community type is characterized by a tree cover between 10 and 60 percent, dominated by red maple, and has a dense understory of shrubs. The substrate usually is mineral soil or muck over mineral soil. Other tree species may include blackgum (*Nyssa sylvatica*), Eastern hemlock, eastern white pine, black willow (*Salix nigra*), swamp white oak (*Quercus bicolor*), pin oak (*Q. palustris*), and black ash. The shrub layer is typically dense and includes silky dogwood

(*Cornus amomum*), winterberry, spicebush (*Lindera benzoin*), smooth alder (*Alnus serrulata*), silky willow (*Salix sericea*), swamp rose (*Rosa palustris*), and buttonbush (*Cephalanthus occidentalis*). Ferns typically are the dominant vegetation within the herbaceous layer and include marsh fern (*Thelypteris palustris*), cinnamon fern, sensitive fern, crested wood fern (*Dryopteris cristata*), and royal fern (*Osmunda regalis*). Other herbs include skunk-cabbage, beggar-ticks (*Bidens* spp.), and jewelweed (*Impatiens capensis*). Areas of the woodland floor with long-duration hydrology support the growth of arrow-arum (*Peltandra virginica*), wapato (*Sagittaria latifolia*), and marsh-marigold (*Caltha palustris*) (Fike 1999).

#### Palustrine Scrub-Shrub Wetlands (PSS)

Cowardin class PSS wetlands include freshwater wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 20 feet in height or with trunks less than 3 inches diameter at breast height (dbh). PSS wetlands include true shrubs, saplings, young trees, and trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions (Cowardin et al. 1979). PSS wetlands are widespread, highly variable communities with shrub-dominated wetlands that occur on mineral or mucky mineral soils that are either seasonally or temporarily flooded. They are typically found in flat areas in which the water table is at or above the soil surface for most of the year. Shrub swamps generally are found on the transition zone of emergent and forested areas that have been previously disturbed.

Review of Project area PSS wetlands did not identify any direct correlations between this Cowardin class and Fike (1999) palustrine shrubland communities. Regardless, typical vegetation within Project area PSS wetlands include multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*), black willow, red maple, highbush blueberry, tussock sedge (*Carex stricta*), and jewelweed. Multiflora rose is listed as a noxious weed within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

#### Palustrine Emergent Wetlands (PEM)

Cowardin class PEM wetlands are non-tidal wetlands characterized by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. Hydrophytic vegetation is present for a majority of the growing season in most years. PEM wetlands usually are dominated by perennial herbaceous plants, though some woody plants may be present. Plant communities present in PEM wetlands can be very dynamic due to the variable hydrologic conditions through the

growing season and between growing seasons (Cowardin et al. 1979). Fike (1999) herbaceous emergent wetland communities correlating to Project area PEM wetlands include the following:

*Emergent Wetland: Mixed Forb – Graminoid Wet Meadow*

This is a common, statewide, diverse wetland type characterized by poorly drained clay loam soils, few to scattered trees, and may occur as old fields, grasslands, or alluvial bottoms where trees have been removed. This type of wetland may be subject to seasonal grazing or mowing. Plant species composition is diverse, though some sites may be dominated by one or two species. Representative species include a combination of grass, grass-like (Graminoid) and forb species. Species include goldenrods (*Solidago* spp.), rice cutgrass (*Leersia oryzoides*), woolgrass (*Scirpus cyperinus*), bugleweed (*Lycopus uniflorus*), smartweeds, sedges (*Carex stipata* var. *stipata*, *C. canescens*, *C. lurida*, *C. cristatella*, *C. tribuloides*, *C. vesicaria*, *C. stricta*), soft rush (*Juncus effusus*), Joe-Pye-weed (*Eutrochium* spp.), boneset (*Eupatorium perfoliatum*), cinnamon ferns, Canadian St. John's-wort (*Hypericum canadense*), bluejoint (*Calamagrostis canadensis* var. *canadensis*), New York ironweed (*Vernonia noveboracensis*), beggar-ticks (*Bidens* spp.), dwarf St. John's-wort (*Hypericum mutilum*), bulrush (*Scirpus* spp.), marsh St. John's-wort (*Triadenum virginicum*), rattlesnake mannagrass (*Glyceria canadensis*), and spike-rushes (*Eleocharis* spp.). Scattered shrubs may be present, including steeplebush (*Spiraea tomentosa*), silky dogwood (*Cornus amomum*), gray dogwood (*Cornus racemosa*), red-osier dogwood (*Cornus sericea*), and arrow-wood (*Viburnum recognitum*). Noxious weed species such as purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*) and a variety of non-native grasses, such as reed canary-grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*) are frequently found in these meadows (Fike 1999)

*Emergent Wetland: Mixed Forb Marsh*

This wetland community occurs along lake margins, flooded depressions, and other wetlands that remain inundated throughout the growing season. Soils may contain muck at or near the surface and many of these wetlands are associated with sandy soils. The plant composition is variable and includes aquatic emergent plants as well as submerged aquatic species.

Characteristic species include three-way sedge (*Dulichium arundinaceum* var. *arundinaceum*), halberd-leaf tearthumb (*Persicaria arifolia*), tearthumb (*Persicaria sagittata*), dock (*Rumex* spp.), sharp-fruited rush (*Juncus acuminatus*), beggar-ticks (*Bidens* spp.), jewelweed, sensitive fern, wapato, sedges (*Carex stricta*, *C. lacustris*, *C. lurida*, *C. crinita*, *C. stipata*, *C. tribuloides*, *C.*

scoparia, *C. projecta*, *C. comosa*, *C. hystericina*, *C. bailey*), sweet-flag (*Acorus calamus*), and rice cutgrass (Fike 1999).

**Emergent Wetland: Skunk Cabbage – Golden Saxifrage Seep**

Emergent wetlands are associated with seeps that occur where groundwater flow is expressed at the surface and saturates a broad area without forming a channel, which would otherwise promote drainage. These wetland types often form underneath a forest canopy. Species composition is highly variable, but can include turtlehead (*Chelone glabra*), red maple, Jack-in-the-pulpit (*Arisaema triphyllum*) Pennsylvania bittercress (*Cardamine pennsylvanica*), sedge (*Carex prasina*), winterberry, spicebush, hornbeam (*Carpinus caroliniana*), skunk-cabbage, golden saxifrage (*Chrysosplenium americanum*), cinnamon fern, sedge (*Carex scabrata*), jewelweed, spinulose wood fern (*Dryopteris carthusiana*), Pennsylvania bittercress (*Cardamine pennsylvanica*), clearweed (*Pilea pumila*), slender mannagrass (*Glyceria melicaria*), swamp saxifrage (*Saxifraga pennsylvanica*), and sensitive fern. Bryophytes include *Brachythecium rivulare*, *Bryhnia novae-angliae*, *Rhynchostegium serrulatum*, *Rhizomnium punctatum*, *Sphagnum* spp., and *Thuidium delicatulum*. Shrubs may be present, common representatives include alders (*Alnus* spp.), spicebush, viburnums (*Viburnum* spp.), and dogwoods (*Cornus* spp.) (Fike 1999).

Information on aquatic habitats was derived from three principal sources: Project-specific communication with federal and state agencies; published and unpublished natural resources data pertaining to the regional Project area; and biological field surveys. The field surveys included wetland delineations and rare species habitat evaluations. Table L-4(c)-1 lists the ecological functions served by each wetland and **waterbody-watercourse** type within Lancaster County.

**Table L-4(c)-1  
 Ecological Functions of Wetlands Associated with the Atlantic Sunrise Project within Lancaster County**

Ecological Function	Emergent Wetlands	Forested Wetlands	Scrub-Shrub Wetlands
Groundwater Re-charge/Discharge	X		
Floodflow Alteration	X	X	
Fish and Shellfish Habitat	X	X	X

**Table L-4(c)-1**

**Ecological Functions of Wetlands Associated with the Atlantic Sunrise Project within Lancaster County**

Ecological Function	Emergent Wetlands	Forested Wetlands	Scrub-Shrub Wetlands
Sediment/Toxicant/Pathogen Retention	X		
Nutrient Removal/Retention/Transformation	X		
Production/Export			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	X	X	
Wildlife Habitat	X	X	X
Recreation			
Education/Scientific Value			
Uniqueness/Heritage			
Visual Quality/Aesthetics			
Threatened or Endangered Species Habitat			

Riverine

Riverine systems are defined as wetland and deepwater habitats contained within a channel with periodic or continuously moving water. Although a multitude of intermittent and perennial stream systems are crossed by the Project route, the Susquehanna River is the most prominent Riverine feature represented within the Project area. According to Fike (1999) sparsely vegetated wetland communities, two communities have the potential to be present along the Susquehanna River: Floodplain Scour Community and Periodically Exposed Shoreline Community. Review of the crossing locations along the Project route did not identify the presence of either of these communities.

Sparsely Vegetated Wetland Communities - Periodically Exposed Shoreline Community

This community is found on all orders of streams, and in a wide variety of riverine settings including island heads, bars, spits, low terraces, and river banks. The underlying substrate also varies greatly, though it is often cobbles and sand with thin deposits of silt, muck, or organic matter.

According to Fike (1999), this community type is a component of ponds, lakes, and river floodplains, and occurs along nearly every creek and river. This community type, while



common, provides important habitat for a number of rare insect species, namely tiger beetles (genus *Cicindela*), and ground beetles (order Carabidae) and others.

Aside from the Fike community described above, rivers/streams within the Project route have many values assigned to them by the state of Pennsylvania, ~~as summarized in Table 1 below~~. Based on Pennsylvania Code (Title 25, Chapter 93), streams designated as Trout Stocking Fisheries have conditions suitable for supporting stocked trout between February 15 and July 31, and maintaining other flora and fauna indigenous to a warm water habitat. High Quality Waters support a high quality benthic macroinvertebrate and fish community, potentially including trout. Exceptional Value Waters are similar to High Quality Waters, but may maintain a healthier macroinvertebrate community and/or be located in a designated area that supports natural communities such as a state park.

Under Pennsylvania Code Title 58, Chapter 57, the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC) classifies ~~waterbodies-watercourses~~ that support trout populations (either stocked or native) or provide trout habitat as follows: Wild Trout Waters (also identified by PFBC as “Wild Trout Streams”, “Stream Sections that Support Natural Reproduction of Trout” and “Trout Natural Reproduction Waters”), including upstream tributaries; Class A Wild Trout Streams; and Wilderness Trout Streams. In general, Wilderness Trout Streams provide the most suitable conditions for trout as reflected by natural abundance levels, followed by Class A Wild Trout Waters and then Approved Trout Waters. PFBC-designated Trout Stocked Streams and Warmwater/Coolwater fisheries are generally not cold enough to maintain trout populations, but provide suitable habitat for seasonal stocking of trout and other sport fish.

#### **A2a through A2g. Nesting, Spawning, Rearing, Resting, Migration, Feeding, and Escape Cover**

Within the Project route, these forested wetland communities provide habitat for a variety of avian, mammal and amphibian species. For avian species, vegetation structure provides nesting, rearing, resting, escape cover, feeding, and migratory habitat. The intermittent water table, which excludes the presence of fish populations within these wetlands, provides diverse habitat for amphibians, including spawning and feeding habitats. Amphibian habitat is often provided by a “hummock and hollow” microtopography within this palustrine forest community

(Fike 1999). Field delineated wetlands within this forest type for the Project noted that “hummock and hollow” micro-topography was often present where Eastern hemlocks (*Tsuga canadensis*) occupy hummocks, and hollows occur between trees.

Muddy Run, ~~Climbers Run~~, and Little Chicques Creek are classified by the PA Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC) as approved trout waters. Little Chicques Creek and the main stem of Climbers Run have been confirmed by PFBC to be stocked within .25 miles of the Project area. Additionally, Tucquan Creek, ~~Fishing Creek and their tributaries and Climbers Run~~ are classified by PFBC under PA Code Title 58, Chapter 57.11 as a Wild Trout Stream, or a stream that supports the natural reproduction of trout. UNT to Trout Run is identified as being Class A Wild Trout Stream within the Project area. The perennial ~~waterbodies-watercourses~~ in the Project study area may provide spawning habitat for trout and/or small fish species and contribute to the water quality of downstream waters for the spawning of larger fish. The substrate within each of the ~~waterbodies-watercourses~~ crossed by the Project is variable and includes, but is not limited to: boulder, cobble, gravel, sand, silt, clay, and vegetation. All ~~waterbodies-watercourses~~ in the Project area are considered MF for the passage, maintenance, and propagation of anadromous and catadromous fishes and other fishes which move to, or from, flowing waters to complete their life cycle in other waters, according to PA Code Title 25 Chapter 93. It has been confirmed with PFBC that there are currently no stocked anadromous fishes within the Project area. Adequate fish cover is available under cobble, undercut banks, and woody debris.

A variety of open water and vernal pool aquatic habitats were delineated within the Project route. Some of these may be classified as vernal pools, but wetland survey methods did not specifically target the identification of vernal pools. Due to long duration ponded water, these aquatic resources are typically sparsely vegetated, and in the case of vernal pools, susceptible to seasonal drying.

~~Waterbodies-Watercourses~~ within the Project area contain cobble and woody debris that may provide a resting habitat for aquatic organisms. Vegetation within wetlands provides shade and limited resting opportunities for wildlife species such as small mammals, amphibians, and

insects. Larger PEM, PSS, and PFO wetlands with a greater degree of vegetation profile heterogeneity may provide additional resting habitat and escape cover for wildlife species.

Riffle-pool complexes in the streams provide minimal escape cover for aquatic species. Woody debris and undercut banks may also serve as cover for escape. Some wetlands in the Project area have sufficient vegetation to provide escape cover for small vertebrates and white-tailed deer.

Since PA falls within both the Atlantic and Appalachian Flyways for migratory birds, many bird groups utilize PA's natural habitats for resting, breeding, and nesting. Breeding populations of interior forest bird species depend upon unfragmented forests. The Project will cross a total of 0.2 mile through the Lower Susquehanna River Gorge - Conowingo/Muddy Run IBA between MP 1.9 and MP 2.1. The location consists primarily of cropland/pastureland and developed land northeast of Muddy Run Reservoir, as well as a small portion of deciduous forest habitat.

~~Transco is engaged in on-going discussions with the USFWS Pennsylvania Field Office and PGC to address potential Project-related impacts on migratory birds, including impacts on interior forests. Transco is developing a Migratory Bird Plan in coordination with the USFWS Pennsylvania Field Office. The plan outlines conservation measures proposed to minimize potential impacts on migratory birds. A Draft Migratory Bird Plan was submitted to the USFWS Pennsylvania Field Office and FERC for review and has been updated per agency comments and refiled with the FERC July 2015 supplemental. Transco will implement the measures outlined in the final, approved plan during construction and operation of the Project.~~

Transco developed a Migratory Bird Plan in coordination with the USFWS Pennsylvania Field Office. The plan outlines conservation measures proposed to minimize potential impacts on migratory birds. Transco is also developing a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the USFWS that includes mitigation to offset the removal of upland forest and forest fragmentation.

#### **A2h. Other**

One **waterbody-watercourse** crossed by the Project, Tucquan Creek, is designated as scenic by the Pennsylvania Scenic Rivers Act (DCNR). CPL South crosses Tucquan Creek in

Lancaster County near MP 3.9. The classification of Tucquan Creek as a scenic river means that it is free of impoundments and has shorelines or watersheds still largely primitive and undeveloped but accessible in places by roads. PA DEP regulates the crossing of designated State Scenic Rivers; however this particular portion is overseen by DCNR. Transco investigated crossing Tucquan Creek with a conventional bore method. Based on the feasibility assessment which included subsurface geological investigations, Transco determined the method was not constructible due to unsuitable substrate and safety concerns with boring under the waterway. Details related to this analysis, including the results of the geotechnical analysis that was completed, are included in the Alternatives Analysis (Attachment P).

**A3. Habitat for Threatened and Endangered Plant and Animal Species**

Coordination has been initiated with the PA DCNR, PFBC, PGC and USFWS, and field surveys have been completed to determine the presence of sensitive plant and wildlife species within the Project area. A summary of this correspondence and field survey results is included in Attachment G of this permit application package.~~Coordination has been initiated with the PA DCNR, PFBC, PGC and USFWS to determine the presence of sensitive plant and wildlife species within the Project area. A summary of this correspondence is included in Attachment G of this permit application package. Resource agency coordination resulted in the identification of several species as potentially occurring in Lancaster County. There are no sanctuaries or refuges in the vicinity of the Project area in Lancaster County. Table L(c)-2 summarizes species identified by each agency as potentially occurring within Lancaster County and the current status of consultation.~~

**Table L(c)-2**  
~~Federal and State-Listed Species Potentially Occurring within the Project Area: Lancaster County~~

<b>Species Common Name</b>	<b>Scientific Name</b>	<b>Federal Status</b>	<b>State Status</b>	<b>Survey Conducted (Y/N)</b>	<b>Detected within Lancaster County (Y/N)</b>
<b>Indiana bat</b>	<b><i>Myotis sodalist</i></b>	<b>Endangered</b>	<b>Endangered</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Northern long-eared bat</b>	<b><i>Myotis septentrionalis</i></b>	<b>Threatened</b>	<b>Threatened</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes, only on adjacent Transco Project (Rock Springs)</b>

Atlantic Sunrise Project – PA DEP Chapter 105 Joint Permit Application  
 Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC  
 Lancaster County  
 Attachment L – Environmental Assessment  
 Enclosure C – Description of Aquatic Habitats

**Table L(c)-2  
 Federal and State-Listed Species Potentially Occurring within the Project Area: Lancaster County**

Species Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Status	State Status	Survey Conducted (Y/N)	Detected within Lancaster County (Y/N)
Bog turtle	<i>Glyptemys muhlenbergii</i>	Threatened	Endangered	Yes (Phase I) Phase II surveys underway in 2015	No
Bald eagle	<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	Protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act	Recovered	No	No documented nest sites within 1.0 mile of workspace
Scarlet ammannia	<i>Ammannia coccinea</i>	N/A	Endangered (proposed threatened)	Yes	No
Puttyroot	<i>Aplectrum hyemale</i>	N/A	Rare	Yes	No
Jeweled shooting-star	<i>Dodecatheon radicans</i>	N/A	Threatened	Yes	No
Ellisia	<i>Ellisia nyctelea</i>	N/A	Threatened	Yes	No
Harbinger-of-spring	<i>Erigenia bulbosa</i>	N/A	Threatened (proposed rare)	Yes	Habitat found, 2015 presence / absence surveys underway.
Glade spurge	<i>Euphorbia purpurea</i>	N/A	Endangered	Yes	No
American holly	<i>Ilex opaca</i>	N/A	Threatened	Yes	No
Upright primrose-willow	<i>Ludwigia decurrens</i>	N/A	Endangered	Yes	No
Southern bog clubmoss	<i>Lycopodiella appressa</i>	N/A	Threatened	Yes	No
Umbrella magnolia	<i>Magnolia tripetala</i>	N/A	Threatened (proposed rare)	Yes	No
Oblique milkvine	<i>Matelea oblique</i>	N/A	Endangered	Yes	No
Tooth-cup	<i>Rotala ramosior</i>	N/A	Rare	Yes	No
River Bulrush	<i>Schoenoplectus fluviatilis</i>	N/A	Rare	Yes	No
Sida	<i>Sida hermaphrodita</i>	N/A	Endangered	Yes	No

**Table L(c)-2**  
**Federal and State-Listed Species Potentially Occurring within the Project Area: Lancaster County**

Species Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Status	State Status	Survey Conducted (Y/N)	Detected within Lancaster County (Y/N)
Crane-fly Orchid	<i>Tipularia discolor</i>	N/A	Rare	Yes	Yes
Appalachian gametophyte fern	<i>Vittaria appalachiana</i>	N/A	Threatened	Yes	No

**A4. Environmental Study Areas**

The Project is not located near any known Environmental Study Areas.

**A4a. Sanctuaries**

The Project will not affect areas dedicated for use as sanctuaries by state or federal agencies or non-profit organizations.

**A4b. Refuges**

The Project will not affect areas dedicated for use as refuges.

**A5. Description of Instream Macroinvertebrate Communities**

The Project does not involve stream relocation, placement of fill, placement of a water obstruction or dredging. cursory visual inspections were recorded for streams in the Project area, which will be temporarily impacted by construction. Macroinvertebrates and amphibians observed were recorded in Section L, Enclosure A on each watercoursewaterbody's corresponding data sheet.

**B. Water Quantity and Streamflow**

**B1. Natural Drainage Patterns**

The Lower Susquehanna River Basin drains 5,529 square miles in Pennsylvania, with largest contributing waterbody-watercourse crossed by the Project within Lancaster County being the Conestoga River. Post-construction contours will be returned to approximately the same as pre-construction contours, leaving existing drainage patterns intact. Trench plugs will be

installed at all resource crossings to maintain existing hydrology within wetlands and **waterbodieswatercourses**. Crossing methods for **waterbodieswatercourses** have been designed to accommodate the drainage area and flow characteristics of each particular crossing.

## **B2. Flushing Characteristics**

Large storm events and spring snow melt likely flush the streams and wetlands in the area. Some wetlands drain to unnamed secondary channels and may contribute flushing flows to streams within the watershed.

## **B3. Current Patterns**

Streams in the Project area do not affect current patterns in other surface waters downstream of the Project area. Wildlife activity (i.e., beaver dams) may alter water flow out of wetland areas into **waterbodieswatercourses**.

## **B4 and B5. Groundwater Discharge for Baseflow Natural Recharge Area for Ground and Surface Waters**

The Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifer is located underneath the Project from MPs 0.0 to 7.1, 21.6 to 23.6, and 29.5 to 33.4. The aquifer is 0 to 275 feet below ground surface and has an average well yield of 0 to 550 gallons per minute. The Piedmont and Blue Ridge carbonate-rock aquifer is located underneath the Project from MPs 7.1 to 21.6 and 23.6 to 29.5. The aquifer is 0 to 300 feet below ground surface and has an average well yield of 0 to 1,810 gallons per minute. The Early Mesozoic basin aquifer is located underneath the Project in Lancaster County from MPs 33.4 to 36.5. The aquifer is 0 to 363 feet below ground surface and has an average well yield of 0 to 800 gallons per minute. The Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifer is located underneath the River Road Regulator Station. The aquifer is 0 to 275 feet below ground surface and has an average well yield of 0 to 550 gallons per minute. Precipitation, adjacent underlying bedrock, and stream flow recharge these aquifers. Most recharge of the glacial aquifer is discharged to the Susquehanna River or withdrawn throughout the watershed via potable or non-potable water supplies. Wetlands in the Project area may provide groundwater or surface water recharge, depending on soil permeability.

In Lancaster County, one potable water surface water intake was identified on the Susquehanna River, approximately 1.9 miles from MP 22 of CPL South. According to PA DEP records, this intake is operated by the City of Lancaster as a community water supply servicing a population of 120,000 (insert source). CPL South crosses Shawnee Run (WW-T10-2004), a tributary to the Susquehanna River near MP 22.4. This crossing is within 3 river miles upstream of the City of Lancaster intake. Transco proposes to cross Shawnee Run using the flume method.

Source Water Protection Areas (SWPAs) were developed in 1999 and 2000 by the PA DEP to fulfill the requirements of the 1996 Amendments to the SDWA. SWPAs are divided into two or three zones, depending on watershed size. While the entirety of a watershed contributes to water quality, Zone A SWPAs are the areas hydrologically closest to surface potable water intakes and are identified by the PA DEP as relevant considering the nature of the Project.

Zone A SWPAs are a 0.25-mile-wide corridor along a river or stream that extend from a PWS surface intake upstream to the 5-hour time of travel point. Time of travel is the linear stream distance traveled based on the average maximum flow velocities recorded over a 3-year period. In watersheds encompassing less than 100 square miles, Zone B SWPAs are the remaining watershed area upstream and outside of the Zone A SWPAs. In watersheds encompassing greater than 100 square miles, Zone B SWPAs are the area outside the Zone A SWPAs within a 2-mile-wide corridor extending upstream a 25-hour time of travel from the intake. Zone C SWPAs are the remaining watershed area upstream and outside of the Zone A and B SWPAs. For public safety reasons, PA DEP does not explicitly disclose the location of the source. Transco was able to identify the location of some sources through correspondence with operators. However Transco has elected to not disclose that information within this application due to PA DEP's safety concerns and non-disclosure policy.



**Table L(c)-23**

**Pennsylvania Source Water Protection Areas Within the Vicinity of the Project in Lancaster County**

Surface Water Intake PWSID	Operator	County	Surface Water Source(s) <sup>a</sup>	Distance from Crossing to Intake (river miles)	Source Water Protection Plan
7360058	City of Lancaster Water Authority	Lancaster	Susquehanna River, <i>Conestoga Creek</i>	2	NP
7360123	Columbia Water Authority	Lancaster	Susquehanna River	>5.8	NP
7360124	Elizabethtown Borough Water Authority	Lancaster	<i>Conewago Creek, Back Run, Raw Reservoir, Cornwall Quarry</i>	N/A <sup>b</sup>	Yes
7360136	Safe Harbor Power Corporation	Lancaster	Susquehanna River	>7.3	NP

Sources: PA DEP 2015b; Beers 2015; Lewis 2015; Elizabethtown Area Water Authority 2012; Skelly 2015

<sup>a</sup> Italicized source is not crossed by the Project.

<sup>b</sup> Intake is located upstream of project facilities.

Key:  
 NP = Not provided by PWS operator  
 N/A = Not Applicable (intake is upstream)  
 PWSID = Public water system identification number

**B6. Storm and Flood Water Storage and Control**

Streams and wetlands in the Project area may function to attenuate flood waters and provide flood storage or control. During storm events, the Project area wetlands store stormwater that may drain to large perennial waterbodies/watercourses. Wetlands within the Project area would provide some capacity to store flood waters and may serve to reduce the severity of flood peaks emanating from their upstream watersheds.

**C. Water Quality**

**C1. Preventing Pollution**

Pollution prevention is an aquatic function that includes a variety of specific pollutant removal mechanisms such as trapping sediments, removal and burial of metals and other toxic compounds, and biological transformation and degradation of nutrients and organic pollutants. Some of these pollutants may become trapped in the substrate and deposit within streams and

wetlands in the Project area. A wetland's ability to improve water quality depends on the type and density of aquatic vegetation, reduction and oxidation (redox) conditions and organic content of the sediments, available water storage volume, and detention time within the wetland. Most of the wetlands also have a depositional environment, which aids in trapping and retaining pollutants.

Transco obtained federal and state search reports from Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR) to determine the presence and location of potential groundwater contamination in the vicinity of all proposed pipeline facilities and new aboveground facilities. The search area was based on a 2-mile radius extending from the centerline of the pipeline loop to account for any subsequent route modifications. **No sites were identified within the search areas for the Project.**

For proposed modifications to existing aboveground facilities that are not within the search radius for the pipeline EDR reports, Transco completed a search for potential sources of contaminated groundwater using the USEPA's multisystem database. The search of the USEPA's multisystem database for records of potential groundwater contamination within 0.25 mile of all existing compressor stations, meter/regulator stations, contractor/pipe yards, and MLVs did not identify any potential groundwater contamination in the vicinity of the aboveground facilities associated with the Project in **Lancaster County.**

## **C2. Sedimentation Control and Patterns**

Sediment stabilization includes stream bank anchoring, dissipation of erosion forces and trapping of sediments. Siltation observed within some of the Project area streams demonstrated in-stream sedimentation. In general, any vegetated cover (e.g., herbaceous and scrub/shrub vegetation) within wetlands can stabilize soils and trap sediments. Some portions of the wetlands in the Project area contain dense vegetation that aids in retaining sediments and filtering water.

## **C3. Salinity Distribution**

The Project is not located in a coastal or estuarine environment, therefore, this section is not applicable.

#### **C4. Natural Water Filtration**

Streams in the Project area may perform some functions in water filtration; there is moderate variation in the stream substrate and/or gradient. Streambed substrate observed in streams most commonly included silt, sand, gravel, and cobble, but also included vegetation, boulder, and bedrock. Wetlands, especially those with dense vegetation, can effectively detain and naturally filter water laden with nutrients and/or toxicants. In the Project area, the wetlands and riparian vegetation abutting or adjacent to streams serve to some extent to maintain natural water filtration. Most emergent portions of wetlands within the Project area contain areas of dense vegetation that could aid in the natural water filtration process.

#### **D. Recreation**

##### **D1 and D2. Game and Non-Game Species**

The Atlantic Sunrise Project area traverses habitats that support a variety of wildlife species. Vegetative cover type and density are important environmental factors influencing wildlife habitat and species distribution. Variations in vegetative community types (e.g., deciduous hardwood and conifer are community types within the forested upland vegetation cover type) and other conditions, such as topography and existing land use, influence the quality and availability of wildlife habitat within the Project area. Areas of greatest wildlife diversity and density are expected in natural habitats such as extensive, contiguous forest tracts, successional habitats (scrub-shrub), and grasslands. Habitats in agricultural land such as pastures, croplands, and hayfields harbor generalist wildlife species, consisting primarily of small mammals and white-tailed deer.

##### Upland Forest

Upland forests provide food resources, cover, and nesting habitat for a variety of fauna in the vicinity of the Project. The tree canopy and shrub understory provide food and cover for larger mammals such as white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) and black bear (*Ursus americanus*). Leaf litter on the forest floor provides food and cover for amphibians and reptiles, such as northern ringneck snake (*Diadophis punctatus edwardsii*) and American toad (*Anaxyrus americanus*). The eastern newt (*Notophthalmus viridescens*) spends the majority of its life as a juvenile roaming forested areas. Smaller mammals such as the gray squirrel (*Sciurus*

*carolinensis*), eastern chipmunk (*Tamias striatus*), and raccoon (*Procyon lotor*) utilize fallen logs for cover and nest cavities. Pennsylvania's official state bird, the ruffed grouse (*Bonasa umbellus*), is an example of a non-migratory bird that prefers young, thick hardwood forest stands. Thus, they can benefit from timbering or clearing activities in the long-term when the forest regenerates back to a thick young forest stand. Upland forest is also one of several cover types inhabited by wild turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*).

#### Open Land

Several mammal species use shrublands and grasslands in the Project area as foraging and nesting habitat. These species include the eastern cottontail (*Sylvilagus floridanus*), gray squirrel, red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*), several small mammal species (e.g., mice and voles), and raccoon (NatureServe 2014). Previously cleared areas that are infrequently maintained or abandoned create successional growth habitats that are used by a distinct group of wildlife. These open lands include old agricultural fields and existing utility ROWs. ROWs in particular provide corridors that are used by several species to move between habitats. Within the Project area, these species may include white-tailed deer, coyote (*Canis latrans*), and eastern cottontail.

Other animal species such as the Virginia opossum (*Didelphis virginiana*), eastern garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis*), and turkey likewise rely on open land habitat for food, nesting, and protection from predators (e.g., in areas where vegetation is taller or denser than understory of adjacent habitat).

#### Forested and Scrub-Shrub Wetlands

PFO and PSS wetlands are important for providing food, shelter, migratory and wintering areas, and breeding areas for wildlife species, including red-backed salamander (*Plethodon cinereus*), wood frog (*Rana sylvatica*), and eastern garter snake. Aquatic mammals common in forested and scrub-shrub wetlands in the Project area include beaver (*Castor canadensis*), muskrat (*Ondatra zibethicus*), and mink (*Mustela vison*). Each of the wildlife species listed above for upland forests could also be present within forested and scrub-shrub wetlands.

### Emergent Wetlands

PEM wetlands provide habitat for a variety of reptile and amphibian species, such as green frog (*Rana clamitans*), bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*), northern dusky salamander (*Desmognathus fuscus fuscus*), red-backed salamander, leopard frog (*Rana pipiens*), spring peeper (*Pseudacris crucifer*), northern water snake (*Nerodia sipedon*), painted turtle (*Chrysemys picta*), and snapping turtle (*Chelydra serpentina*).

### Agricultural Areas

Although crop fields and livestock pastures do not generally provide the highest quality habitat, they often provide forage and nesting for several small mammal species such as the meadow vole (*Microtus pennsylvanicus*) that also utilize adjacent forest, open land, and even developed habitats. Additionally, pastures provide grazing habitat for species such as the whitetailed deer.

### **D3. Fishing**

~~One-Three~~ watercourses within the Project area has a State Water Quality Designated Use Classification of High-Quality Cold Water Fishery, ~~four-two~~ are classified as Cold Water Fisheries, ~~21-31~~ are classified as Warm Water Fisheries, and ~~42-15~~ are classified as Trout Stocked Fisheries. Muddy Run and Little Chicques Creek are classified by the PA Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC) as approved trout waters. Little Chicques Creek and the main stem of Climbers Run have been confirmed by PFBC to be stocked within .25 miles of the Project area. Additionally, Tucquan Creek, Fishing Creek and their tributaries are classified by PFBC under PA Code Title 58, Chapter 57.11 as a Wild Trout Stream, or a stream that supports the natural reproduction of trout. UNT to Trout Run is identified as being Class A Wild Trout Stream within the Project area. Muddy Run, Climbers Run, and Little Chiques Creek are identified by PFBC as Approved Trout Waters. Chiques Creek and Climbers Run were confirmed to have stocked trout streams within 0.25 miles of the Project by PFBC. Tucquan Creek and Climbers Run are identified as PFBC as stream sections that support natural reproduction of wild trout. There are no Class A Wild Trout Streams crossed by the Project in Lancaster County. Coldwater stream sections in the Project area support trout populations. Within the workspace of the Project in Lancaster County, 33 streams have been identified as having perennial flow and could, therefore, sustain populations of fish.

**Table L(c)-34**  
**Representative Fish Species in Waterbodies**  
**Watercourses Crossed by the Atlantic Sunrise Project in**

Common Name	Scientific Name
<b>Warmwater Fish</b>	
Largemouth bass	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>
Smallmouth bass	<i>Micropterus dolomieu</i>
Rock bass	<i>Ambloplites rupestris</i>
Channel catfish	<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>
Muskellunge	<i>Esox masquinongy</i>
Chain pickerel	<i>Esox niger</i>
Black crappie	<i>Pomoxis nigromaculatus</i>
Bluegill	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>
Pumpkinseed	<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i>
White perch	<i>Morone americana</i>
Yellow perch	<i>Perca flavescens</i>
Walleye	<i>Sander vitreus</i>
<b>Coldwater Fish</b>	
Brown trout	<i>Salmo trutta</i>
Rainbow trout	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>
Brook trout	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>
Longnose dace	<i>Rhinichthys cataractae</i>
Eastern blacknose dace	<i>Rhinichthys atratulus</i>
Mottled sculpin	<i>Cottus bairdi</i>
Slimy sculpin	<i>Cottus cognatus</i>
<b>Migratory Fish</b>	
Striped bass	<i>Morone saxatilis</i>
Blueback herring	<i>Alosa aestivalis</i>
Alewife	<i>Alosa pseudoharengus</i>
American shad	<i>Alosa sapidissima</i>
American eel	<i>Anguilla rostrata</i>
Sources: PFBC	

#### D4. Hiking

The proposed route crosses the Enola Low-Grade Trail at MP 7.1 in Lancaster County. The Enola Low-Grade Trail (formerly known as the Atglen- Susquehanna Trail) is an approximately 29-mile-long, non-contiguous trail extending from the Susquehanna River to Atglen, Pennsylvania. Portions of the trail consist of the former (abandoned) ROW of the Enola Low-

Grade Railroad and was developed as a Rails-to-Trails project through negotiations with the owner of the abandoned ROW, Lancaster County, and municipalities along the trail. The Trail is currently planned to be used for biking, walking, horseback riding, and cross-country skiing.

The proposed route crosses the Conestoga Trail at MP 8.2 in Lancaster County. The Conestoga Trail, a 63-mile-long trail developed, maintained, and protected by the Lancaster Hiking Club, is located primarily on private land. The Trail crosses a variety of land uses and provides opportunity to view the varied natural terrain of Lancaster County.

#### **D5. Observation (plant/wildlife)**

The Safe Harbor East Woods County Natural Heritage Inventory (CNHI) site is located in Conestoga Township. The site occurs on the south side of the Conestoga River where it empties into the Susquehanna River. The Safe Harbor East Woods CNHI site is dominated by a tuliptree-beech-maple hardwood forest. Two large interior forest patches occur within the Natural Heritage Area. The Natural Heritage Area of the site includes core habitat for a population of puttyroot (*Aplectrum hyemale*), a Pennsylvania rare plant species, and adjacent blocks of forestland. Approximately half of the Natural Heritage Area is owned by Safe Harbor Power Company, which allows public access to the site. The remainder of the area is a mixture of utility ROW and privately owned land.

The Project crosses the Safe Harbor East Woods CNHI for 1.5 miles at two locations, including 0.1 mile of the site designated as Supporting Natural Landscape within the Natural Heritage Area and 1.4 miles of the site designated as Supporting Natural Landscape outside the Natural Heritage Area. The portion of Supporting Natural Landscape crossed by the Project is predominantly agricultural land. The proposed route reflects an adjustment made by Transco to reduce impact on approximately one acre of upland forest within the Natural Heritage Area of the CNHI, as discussed in the Alternative Analysis (Attachment P).

#### **D6. Other**

Pequea Creek, Conestoga River, Chiques Creek and Little Chiques Creek have been identified as PFBC navigable waters within Lancaster County. No other functions, values, and uses have been identified for the streams and wetlands affected by this Project.

**E. Upstream and Downstream Property**

Adjoining property owners are provided in Section A, Attachment A-2.

**F. Other Environmental Factors Determined by Site Investigation**

The Project traverses multiple land use types but primarily includes open agricultural land and forested areas. During the Project siting process, Transco located all proposed facilities in such a manner as to minimize impacts to streams, wetlands, forested areas, public, and private lands. Where possible, Transco placed the proposed pipeline ROW within open areas, limiting stream and wetland crossings. More details related to the routing process for the Project is included in Attachment P.

**G. References**

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Conservation and Natural Resources. 2013.

Bureau of Topographic and Geologic Survey. Available online at [www.dcnrstate.pa.us/topogeo/](http://www.dcnrstate.pa.us/topogeo/). Accessed March 2014.

Cowardin LM, Carter V, Golet FC, LaRoe ET. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Pub. FWS/OBS-79/31, Washington, DC.

Fike, J. 1999. Terrestrial and Palustrine Plant Communities of Pennsylvania. Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory.

Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC). 2014a. *Class A Wild Trout Waters*. Available from: <http://www.fish.state.pa.us/classa.pdf>. Accessed February 2015 and reviewed with PFBC.

\_\_\_\_\_. 2014b. *Regional Listings of Approved Trout Waters*. Available from: <http://www.fish.state.pa.us/fishpub/summary/troutwaters.html>. Accessed February 2015 and reviewed with PFBC.



*Atlantic Sunrise Project – PA DEP Chapter 105 Joint Permit Application*  
*Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC*  
*Lancaster County*  
*Attachment L – Environmental Assessment*  
*Enclosure C – Description of Aquatic Habitats*

\_\_\_\_\_. 2014c. *Stream Section That Supports Natural Reproduction of Trout*. March 2015.  
Available from: [http://www.fish.state.pa.us/trout\\_repro.htm](http://www.fish.state.pa.us/trout_repro.htm). Accessed February 2015  
and reviewed with PFBC.

Pennsylvania Natural Heritage Program (PNHP). 2015. Pennsylvania Endangered Reptile  
Species - bog turtle (*Glyptemys muhlenbergii*) fact sheet. Available from:  
<http://www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us/factsheets/11522.pdf>.  
Accessed June 25, 2016.

The Pennsylvania Code. Title 25 Environmental Protection, Chapter 93. Water Quality  
Standards. Available from:  
<http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/025/chapter93/chap93toc.html/>. March 2014.

**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2012. Regional Supplement to the Corps of  
Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region  
(Version 2.0), ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-10-1.  
Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.**

**. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. U.S. Army Corps of  
Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station, Wetlands Research Program,  
Technical Report Y-87-1. Vicksburg, MS. January 1987 - Final Report. 92 pp. +  
app.**