

February 9, 2015

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, N.E. Washington D.C. 20426

Subject: Docket #PF14-8: Leidy Transco Line and Atlantic Sunrise Project Interconnected

Dear Ms. Bose,

Delaware Riverkeeper Network (DRN) is submitting for the record the rehearing and rescission request made by DRN in response to FERC's granting of the Certificate of Public Necessity for the Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC (Transco) Leidy Southeast Expansion Line (Docket No CP13-551-000) that was ordered by FERC on December 18, 2014. As indicated in our past correspondence, the Atlantic Sunrise project is one of three applications to the Commission that Transco has filed since Leidy Southeast Expansion. Each of these projects leap-frog on the Leidy Pipeline system and the planned Atlantic Sunrise project would add looping sections of pipeline filling in gaps along Transco's Leidy line system, which would be located along the same geographic corridor as the Project.

In DRN's scoping comments for Atlantic Sunrise (dated August 16, 2014) DRN requested that the Commission include a consideration of impacts resulting from all inter-related projects, including Cove Point, and their potential overlapping zones of impact, in its review of the Atlantic Sunrise Project. By considering the environmental impacts of these five inter-related and functionally inter-dependent projects in separate NEPA documents, rather than completing a full Environmental Impact statement to review upgrading the Leidy line system as a whole that includes the Atlantic Sunrise Project, the Commission is unlawfully segmenting its analysis in violation of its obligations under NEPA. DRN requests a response regarding if the Commission plans to include these additional pipeline projects in its review and analysis of the Atlantic Sunrise project that still remains in pre-filing.

DRN also requests an explanation of how alternative routes to Atlantic Sunrise that are being presented by Transco after the scoping process has ended, fairly includes landowners who may be impacted by the alternative routes being considered. DRN would suggest as these alternative routes are considered, additional scoping meetings be held so newly impacted landowners could provide critical information and not be unfairly left out of the process.

DELAWARE RIVERKEEPER NETWORK

925 Canal Street, Suite 3701 Bristol, PA 19007 Office: (215) 369-1188 fax: (215)369-1181 drn@delawareriverkeeper.org www.delawareriverkeeper.org Respectfully submitted,

Maya K. van Rossum

the Delaware Riverkeeper

Delaware Riverkeeper Network

Mayor K. von Rom

Network

Faith Zerbe

Director of Monitoring

Fraith Pyle

Delaware Riverkeeper