LIST OF TABLES

<u>Chapter 1</u>	
Table 1.1 Annual and total summary of FBC ash generated in Pennsylvania to date Table 1.2 Coal ash use at mine sites in Pennsylvania (annual use rates)	
<u>Chapter 2</u>	
Table 2.1 Mine drainage quality of the Bituminous and Anthracite Coal Regions of PA	48
Chapter 3	
Table 3.1 Field density test data at Panther Creek Energy	59
Table 3.2(a) Soil boring logs from NEPCO site TB-1	61
Table 3.2(b) Test boring logs from NEPCO site TB-2	61
Table 3.3 Soil boring logs from Knickerbocker Site	
Table 3.4 Coal ash special conditions used in DEP permits	
Table 3.5 Comparison of physical properties of coal ash, sand and clay	
Table 3.6 Bearing capacity results for NEPCO	69
Chapter 4	
Table 4.1 Geotechnical data from ash reclamation area at Alden site	81
Table 4.2 Chemical analyses of Gilberton coal ash and SPLP leachate	92
Table 4.3 Chemical analyses of SER coal ash and SPLP leachate	
Table 4.4 Groundwater monitoring data for B-D and SER sites in (mg/L)	
Table 4.5 Groundwater monitoring data of Susquehanna site in (mg/L)	107
<u>Chapter 5</u>	
Table 5.1 Comparison of background pollutant concentrations to recent concentrations in MW-1	125
Table 5.2 Reduction in flow and concentrations at discharge 4SP	
Table 5.3 Summary of flow and AMD pollutant load data for the discharges from the	
Revloc 1 site	
Table 5.4 Summary of flow and AMD pollutant load data from the Revloc 2	122
site discharges	131
Table 5.5 Comparison of background median flow and mine drainage pollutant	
concentrations at SP-1, the monitoring point on the South Branch directly down	
stream of the Revloc 1 and 2 sites	131
Table 5.6 Summary of overburden analysis data for the Laurel Land Development	
McDermott site	138
Table 5.7 Summary of the overburden analysis data for the Abel-Dreshman site	
Table 5.8 Sample results of July 29, 1982 showing the quality of AMD occurring on	
cita that day	1/17

	Table 5.9 Summary of mine drainage parameter results at point 29A, comparing the	1.40
	periods, before, during and after ash application and reclamation	149
	periods, before, during and after ash application and reclamation	150
	Table 5.11 Summary of mine drainage parameter results at point 29, comparing the	150
	periods, before, during and after ash application and reclamation	150
	periods, before, during and after ash application and rectamation	150
Cł	napter 6	
	Table 6.1 Coal Ash use in Anthracite Mine Fire Projects	164
	Table 6.2 Thickness coal veins (from Norris and Sons, 1927)	
	Table 6.3 Laboratory tests of various grout mixtures	173
	Table 6.4 Summary of project-scale grout mixture strength tests (from Levitz, 2003)	
	Table 6.5 Influent and effluent water quality from aerobic constructed wetland	180
	Table 6.6 Typical chemical characteristics of fly ash leachates used at the McCloskey site. (Data provided by B. E. Scheetz from TCLP extracts)	192
	Table 6.7 Mine drainage discharge quality sampled in 1997. Concentration of AMD	102
	Table 6.7 While dramage discharge quanty sampled in 1997. Concentration of AMD	
	parameters for 9/2003 are shown in parenthesis followed by an *	184
	Table 6.8 Pre-grouting water quality from selected groundwater monitoring points.	
	Note the wide variety in concentrations from one monitoring point to the next,	100
	Especially Well K23	189
	applications	193
	Table 6.10 Pre- and post-grouting mean concentrations of mine drainage constituents and percent reduction in mean concentration for wells and toe of spoil seep located in	ı
	discharge plumes. For locations refer to Fig. 6.21	197
Cł	napter 7	
	Table 7.1 Average thickness and range in thickness of coal beds mined in the eastern	
	part of the Shenandoah quadrangle	211
	Table 7.2 Analytical results for depth sampling of the Shen Penn pit. Samples collected	
	on 3 May 1995. Concentrations are in mg/L. LD = less than detection. Values for	
	As, Cd, Cr, and Hg were less than the detection level for all samples. Data from PA	
	DEP*	216
	Table 7.3 Chemical Analysis of SPLP Leach Test, with all concentrations in mg/L	
	Table 7.4 Sieve Analysis 60:40 Mix Schuylkill Energy Resources Ash	218
	Table 7.5. Unconfined compressive strength of LKD activated fly ash grouts as a function	
	of time	
	Table 7.6 Unconfined compressive strength of CKD activated fly ash grouts as a function	
	of time.	
	Table 7.7 Variation in compaction pressure with depth under a load	224

Chapter 8

	Table 8.1. Cs-137 densitometer results collected by Tom Owen, July, 2000	
	Table 8.3. Mean and standard deviation data for blow counts per foot recorded at the center of cells 1 – 4 in November 2000	
	Table 8.4. Range of concentrations in sediment (using the SPLP test) and in water from the Knickerbocker test cells, February 2000. For comparison, the maximum	230
	concentration levels (MCL) of contamination for drinking water as of 2002 are given Table 8.5. Average bulk chemical concentrations from the four test cells	
	Table 8.6. Test cell moisture loss values of samples collected November 2000	242
Ch	apter 9	
	Table 9.1. Summary of coal production, water, sulfate, acid, and iron yields from the	251
	four anthracite fields in eastern Pennsylvania (from Growitz et al., 1985)	251
	Southern Field (from Growitz et al., 1985)	251
	depth traverses	256
	Table 9.4 Average of values above detection from analyses performed on NEPCO fly and bottom ash for Module 25 regulations from 1992-2002. Maximum allowable leachate concentration values provided for comparison	258
	Table 9.5 Comparison of bulk chemical analyses versus average composition of five culm-derived ash analyses. Values were calculated from aqueous chemistry by	
	S. Atkinson	259
	project	259
	Table 9.7 Average, maximum, and minimum concentrations for analytes above detection limits in the Big Gorilla mine pool 1993-2002. Count is the number of above detection limit values used for the calculations. Note that true averages and minimums would be less if the concentrations below the detection limits were	2
	included	266
	1.24% of the calcium is available	276
	which was taken at a depth of 6m (20ft.)	282
	NEPCO property. All concentrations reported in mg/L except for conductivity (μS/cm), turbidity (ntu), and pH. Average values are calculated from concentrations above the detection limit, and (T) indicates total (dissolved and suspended)	
	concentration. Samples analyzed by PA DEP	291
	Table 9.11 Water analyses for NEPCO test borings. Analyzed by Scott Atkinson at MRL, PSU. All concentrations are for dissolved constituents and are reported in	205
	mg/L. V was <0.02 mg/L, and As was <0.005 mg/L	∠93

Chapter 10

Table 10.1 Chemical analysis (total digestion) of 9 Class C and 49 Class F fly ashes	
(from Miller et al., 2000)	304
Table 10.2 Mineral composition of fluidized bed boiler ashes (Stehouwer et al., 1995a	a)304
Table 10.3 Chemical composition of gypsum-containing clean coal combustion by-	
products (Miller et al., 2000)	305
Table 10.4 Soil pH ranges for selected plants	312
Chapter 11	
Table 11.1 Summary of the solubility products of phases in the ash structure	328
Table 11.2 Reported substitutions in the ettringite structure	