Appendix H

State Expenditures Under the Federal Superfund Program

Superfund State Contract Status (as of July 1, 2021)

10% State Match Payment Status

		Total SSC													
Site Name	Status	(10% match)	Balance	FY 19/20	FY 20/21	FY 21/22	FY 22/23	FY 23/24	FY 24/25	FY 25/26	FY 26/27	FY 27/28	FY 28/29	FY 29/30	FY 30/31
AIW Frank 1&2	Active	\$534,597	\$6,800		\$6,800										
BoRit	Active	\$90,000	\$9,000		\$9,000										
Butz Landfill	Active	\$565,488	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000										
Chem Fab OU2	Active	\$1,299,000	\$1,299,000			\$129,900	\$129,900	\$129,900	\$129,900	\$129,900	\$129,900	\$129,900	\$129,900	\$129,900	\$129,900
Crossley Farms OU2	Active	\$2,269,176	\$322,748		\$215,165	\$107,583									
Crossley Farms OU3	Active	\$40,932	\$8,154		\$8,154										
Lower Darby Creek OU1	Active	\$2,839,414	\$1,135,766		\$851,824	\$283,942									
North Penn 6 OU2	Active	\$402,040	\$281,428	\$120,612	\$281,428										
North Penn 6 OU3	Active	\$900,000	\$30,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000									
Ryeland Road	Active	\$1,840,000	\$184,000		\$184,000										
Safety Light	Active	\$135,000	\$25,000		\$25,000										
Safety Light OU3	Active	\$1,000,000	\$497,421		\$497,421										
Sharon Steel	Active	\$997,928	\$486,039		\$486,039										
WJL OU1	Active	\$1,247,091	\$88,000		\$88,000										
WJL OU2	Active	\$392,400	\$313,920		\$78,480	\$39,240	\$39,240	\$39,240	\$39,240	\$39,240	\$39,240				
Valmont TCE	Active	\$76,300	\$30,100			\$30,100									
Total Budget		\$14,629,366	\$4,737,376	\$155,612	\$2,766,311	\$605,765	\$169,140	\$169,140	\$169,140	\$169,140	\$169,140	\$129,900	\$129,900	\$129,900	\$129,900

New SSCs and Amendments Anticipated (10% match obligation)

		10	% Closeout		
Site Name	Туре		Estimate	Comments N	V = no estimate
Drake Chemical	Close Out		N/E	Potential Overrun p	ending EPA contract dispute
Hellertown	Close Out	\$	15,194	Estimated Overrun	by USEPA
Raymark OU3	Close Out	\$	(8,318)	Estimated underrun	by USEPA (Refund to State)
AIW Fank	Close Out	\$	6,000	Potential Overun by	USEPA letter March 2019
Franklin Slag	New	\$	540,000	Potential cost recov	very
North Penn 5 OU3	New	\$	254,500		
Berkley Products Landfill	New	\$	1,090,000	Residential & Grou	indwater Response
Price Battery	New	\$	1,242,900	Potential New by U	ISEPA April 2021
Salford Quarry	New	\$	600,000		
Foster Wheeler	New		N/E	Potentially Response	sible Party Lead email August 2018
Havertown	New		N/A	Drat SSC March 20	21
Jackson Ceramix	New	\$	1,400,600	Potential New base	d on October 2020 ROD email
Ryeland Road	New	\$	460,000	Feasibility Study N	2 & S2 July 2020 email
Valmont	New	\$	1,700,000	Estimated from pro	posed work plan email February 2021
Sharon Steel	Amend or Close Out	\$	27,745	Estimated overrun b	by USEPA letter June 2018
BoRit	Amend or Close Out	\$	38,400	Estimated Overrun	by USEPA letter June 2018
Watson Johnson OU1	Close Out	\$	367,091	Estimated Overrun	by USEPA letter February 2017 & December 2017
		\$	7,734,112		

The outstanding balance of the 10 percent match required in these contracts is approximately \$4.7 million. DEP anticipates that EPA will propose another ten SSCs in the future. Eight of those SSCs will require a total of \$7 million in additional state funds. The other cost is unknown at this time.

Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Obligations (100% Funded by State):

Site Name	Engineering Controls to Maintain	Institutional Controls	Estimated Annual Cost
Current State O&M Obligat	ions:		
AIW Frank OU1 & OU2	Groundwater pump and treat plant, monitoring		\$326,550
Berkley Products Landfill	Landfill cap, groundwater monitoring	Site fence, Land use restrictions	\$84,800
Berks Sand Pit	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$22,700
BoRit	Landfill cap and stream bank		\$19,000
Bruin Lagoon	Landfill cap, ground and surface water monitoring	Site fence	\$25,000
Butz Landfill	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring	Land use restrictions	\$150,000
Crossley Farms OU2	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring	Land use restrictions	\$748,612
Crossley Farms OU3	Residential sub-slab depressurization maintenance		\$15,000
Croydon TCE	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$130,800
Cryo-Chem OU2	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$165,000
East Mt. Zion	Landfill cap, groundwater monitoring	Site fence, Land use restrictions	\$31,000
Havertown PCP OU2&3	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$300,000
Hellertown Manufacturing	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$175,000
Lackawanna Refuse	Landfill cap, ground and surface water sampling	Site fence	\$22,500
Lehigh Electric	Ground and surface water monitoring	Site fence	\$4,725
Millcreek Dump	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring	Land use restrictions	\$323,300
Moyers Landfill	Landfill cap, leachate collection, groundwater monitoring	Site fence	\$217,000
North Penn 1	Groundwater pump to sewage plant, monitoring	Site fence, Land use restrictions	\$12,000
North Penn 6	Groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$308,200
Raymark OU1, 2, & 3	Landfill cap, groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$36,000
Strasburg Landfill	Landfill cap, leachate treatment, residential carbon filter	Site fence	\$312,471
Wade	Soil cover, groundwater monitoring	Site fence	\$10,000
		Total:	\$3,439,658
Future State O&M Obligation	ons:		
Valmont TCE	In 2021, Groundwater monitoring	Land use restrictions	\$16,450
Sharon Steel	In 2022, Landfill cap, groundwater monitoring		\$67,260
Ryeland Road	In 2022, groundwater monitoring		\$11,500
Lower Darby Creek OU1	In 2022, ET cover, creek banks, leachate collection, engineered treatment wetlands, ground water monitoring		\$164,650

Watson Johnson OU2	In 2027, groundwater pump and treat, monitoring		\$114,000
Watson Johnson OU1	In 2028, Landfill cap system		\$25,000
Chem Fab OU2	In 2031, groundwater extraction and treatment, monitoring		\$602,416
		Total:	\$1,001,276

Terms, Abbreviations, Notations:

- "SSC": "Superfund State Contract" Document that obligates the state to meet its financial commitment under federal Superfund law. The state is obligated to fund 10 percent of the remedial action cost and fund 100 percent of the operation and maintenance costs for the sites that EPA funds. Many Superfund site cleanups are funded and performed by parties (e.g. financially viable businesses) that are responsible for the contamination. In these cases, the state is not obligated to fund 10 percent of the remedial action nor 100 percent of the operation and maintenance of the site.
- "OU": "Operable Unit" EPA frequently separates areas of contamination at sites into operable units. This separates phases of work and allows more immediate threats to be addressed quicker.

"Operation and Maintenance (O&M) activities include long-term obligations to maintain the protectiveness of the remedy. In cases where groundwater Maintenance": pump-and-treat remedial systems are installed, O&M begins 10 years after the plant is operational. Some of these obligations are perpetual in nature.

10 Percent Match Payment schedules are negotiated on a site-by-site basis depending on the length of time the remedial action is expected to take before completion. These payments do not include future operation and maintenance costs.

Note 1: O&M costs identified above are EPA estimates contained in the SSC agreement. Actual yearly costs vary and are reported in Appendices E and F.

Note 2: The 10 percent match and 100 percent O&M costs are only contract costs and do not include DEP personnel and administrative costs. DEP personnel and administrative costs for these sites and other NPL sites addressed by the responsible parties are estimated at \$1.8 million annually.